

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101

T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

March 23, 2001

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

Mary Pawluczyk
3149 Cedar Street
Philadelphia, PA 19134-4449

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0146 (Mary Pawluczyk)

007781

Dear Ms. Pawluczyk:


We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,



Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

March 23, 2001

Alice S. Wojciechowski
3401 Chippendale Ave.
Philadelphia, PA 19136-3503

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0147 (Alice S. Wojciechowski)

007782

Dear Ms. Wojciechowski:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission

Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

DOCUMENT FOLDER

DOCKETED

MAR 26 2001

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

March 23, 2001

Florence A. Drake
3227 Gaul Street
Philadelphia, PA 19134

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0148 (Florence A. Drake)

Dear Ms. Drake:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

007703

SECRETARY
03/26/01 9:21 AM

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

March 23, 2001

007784

Barbara McDevitt
3169 Memphis Street
Philadelphia, PA 19134

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0149 (Barbara McDevitt)

Dear Ms. McDevitt:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101

T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

907785

March 23, 2001

Jennifer McClosky-Morris
3417 W. Penn Street
Philadelphia, PA 19129

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0150 (Jennifer McClosky-Morris)

Dear Mrs. McClosky-Morris:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart /js

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101

T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

March 23, 2001

Barbara P. Russo
7243 Valley Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19128

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0151 (Barbara P. Russo)

RECEIVED
MARCH 26 11 39 21

Dear Ms. Russo:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart/jls

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

WOLF BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP
DOCUMENT FOLDER

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

01/07/01

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

March 23, 2001

Theresa Alicea
1341 N. Delaware Avenue, Suite 303
Philadelphia, PA 19125

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0152 (Theresa Alicea)

01/07/01
RECEIVED
SECRETARY

Dear Ms. Alicea:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart /s/

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

667198

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

March 23, 2001

Daniel Dougherty
1729 Pine Street
Philadelphia, PA 19130

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0153 (Daniel Dougherty)

03/23/01 11:21 AM
RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S OFFICE

Dear Mr. Dougherty:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart /js

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

MAR 26 2001

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

March 23, 2001

Eliane Matheos
2005 N. 49th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19131

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0154 (Eliane Matheos)

RECEIVED
GENERAL COUNSEL
MAR 26 AM 9:21

Dear Ms. Matheos:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission

Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

717-190

March 23, 2001

James Marino
2740 S. Colorado Street
Philadelphia, PA 19145

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0155 (James Marino)

RECEIVED
MARCH 25 11 30 AM '01

Dear Mr. Marino:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101

T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

RECEIVED
GENERAL
MAR 26 11 51 21

March 23, 2001

Amelia Kimble
142 W. Roselyn Street
Philadelphia, PA 19120

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0156 (Amelia Kimble)

Dear Ms. Kimble:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart jlg

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

WOLF, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

00-192

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

March 23, 2001

Joan M. Lucier
1234 Day Street
Philadelphia, PA 19125

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0157 (Joan M. Lucier)

RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S BUREAU
MAR 26 AM 9:21

Dear Ms. Lucier:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

March 23, 2001

Edward & Helen White
1309 Disston Street
Philadelphia, PA 19111

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0158 (Edward & Helen White)

Dear Mr. and Mrs. White:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart (jlg)

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

RECEIVED DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
MARCH 26 11 36 AM '01

Wolf Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP
DOCUMENT FOLDER

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

March 23, 2001

Ricardo J. Martin
2729 Poplar Street
Philadelphia, PA 19130

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0159 (Ricardo J. Martin)

Dear Mr. Martin:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart/jlg

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101

T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

17-195

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

March 23, 2001

Margaret F. Smith
3150 Cedar Street
Philadelphia, PA 19134

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0160 (Margaret F. Smith)

RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S OFFICE
MARCH 26 AM 9:21

Dear Ms. Smith:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

2001/3/26

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S BUREAU
MARCH 26 11 51 AM '01

March 23, 2001

James E. McGurn
1714 Afton Street
Philadelphia, PA 19111

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0161 (James E. McGurn)

Dear Mr. McGurn:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

7797

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

March 23, 2001

David Patrick Carney
6136 Cottage Street
Philadelphia, PA 19135

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0162 (David Patrick Carney)

MAR 26 11:52 AM '01
RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S OFFICE

Dear Mr. Carney:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart [Signature]

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

POCKETED
MAR 26 2001

7198

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

March 23, 2001

Theresa Costello
3117 Tulip Street
Philadelphia, PA 19134

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0163 (Theresa Costello)

SECRET
RECEIVED
PHILADELPHIA
MARCH 25 AM 5:22

Dear Mr. Costello:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart *MS*

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED

MAR 26 2001

667799

March 23, 2001

Daniel R. Holmes
3614 E. Thompson Street
Philadelphia, PA 19134

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0164 (Daniel R. Holmes)

Dear Mr. Holmes:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

SECRET
RECORDED
INDEXED
MAR 26 2001

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
MAR 26 2001

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

2001800

March 23, 2001

Kathryn Dadario
3866 Manayunk Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19128

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0165 (Kathryn Dadario)

SECRETARY'S OFFICE
MARCH 25 2001

Dear Ms. Dadario:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark Stewart

Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

~~ORIGINAL~~
BEFORE THE

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2001

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

In Re: Petition of Philadelphia Gas Works for :
Waiver of Certain Notification and Filing :
Requirements and Establishment of : Docket Nos. R-00006042
Expedited Hearing Schedule for Base : and R-00006042C0001 *et al.*
Rate Proceeding :

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS' ANSWER TO CEPA, *et al.*'s
MOTION TO DISMISS OBJECTIONS AND TO COMPEL
ANSWERS TO CEPA'S INTERROGATORIES, SET I

The Motion to Compel of CEPA, *et al.* demands responses from Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") to interrogatories seeking information that is irrelevant in this proceeding because Section 2212 of the Public Utility Code creates a unique ratemaking scheme for PGW that places off limits before this Commission the kinds of ratemaking adjustments CEPA intends to recommend based upon the information sought by these discovery requests. The Office of Consumer Advocate ("OCA") has moved to compel responses to interrogatories seeking similar information for the same purpose, and PGW's response to the OCA raises the same issues as this response to CEPA's motion.

PGW also filed a Motion in Limine requesting a ruling from the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") concerning the proper ratemaking methodology and requirements to be applied by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC" or "Commission"). PGW's Motion in Limine goes to the heart of PGW's objections to CEPA's (and the OCA's) interrogatories, and rulings on both CEPA's and the OCA's motions to compel should be deferred until the ALJ rules on the Motion in Limine in order to assist the parties in determining in advance the testimony

and adjustments that may be submitted by the parties in response to PGW's base rate request, thereby avoiding the expenditure of time and energy in advocating unnecessary and legally irrelevant issues and adjustments — and unnecessary time and energy in discovery disputes.

Just as important, the ALJ and the PUC should rule on the Motion in Limine before the motions to compel in order to resolve any remaining ambiguity that may exist regarding the scope of the PUC's ratemaking authority in the wake of the PUC's Interim Settlement Order¹ which, when read in context, clearly acknowledges that the PUC's "statutory obligations" under Section 2212(e) include the "authorization of the rate changes and cash reserves."² This acknowledgment would seem to require approval of the Motion in Limine and denial of CEPA's and the OCA's motions to compel, and reinforcement of this acknowledgment now would benefit all parties, as well as PGW and its customers, for the reasons set forth above.

Notwithstanding the irrefutable legal requirements set forth below and in PGW's Motion in Limine, as an accommodation to CEPA, PGW is willing to provide the requested data (except for CEPA-49, to which PGW has fully responded), subject only to a stipulation by CEPA or a directive by the ALJ that providing the data does not constitute an admission that any adjustments that might be made using such information are legally permissible or that PGW in any way is waiving its position that the Public Utility Code does not permit such revenue requirement adjustments. Such a stipulation would appear to be the most sensible way of

¹ Order entered February 21, 2001 at Docket Nos. R-00005654 and R-00005619.

² Id. at 7, ¶ 1; see also Joint Petition for Settlement at ¶s 25 and 28.

handling this difference of opinion without further burdening the parties or the ALJ, pending resolution of PGW's Motion in Limine.

In specific response to CEPA's Motion, PGW states as follows:

In order for OCA's discovery to be proper, it must appear reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. 52 Pa. Code § 5.321(c). In addition, responding to CEPA's interrogatories cannot cause unreasonable annoyance, oppression or burden to PGW. 52 Pa. Code § 5.361(a)(2). The subject interrogatories satisfy neither requirement.

Response to Motion to Compel — CEPA-1 through 21, 23 through 30, 32 through 42.

These interrogatories question such minutia as the cost difference in operating sedans as opposed to vans, why a particular cents per mile fee is charged to employees who may use their company vehicle for a personal errand (amazingly three interrogatories were devoted to this topic), extermination costs, cafeteria trash removal costs, and whether PGW and any of the City's departments are both members of the same organizations and if so why.³ By CEPA's own admission, these interrogatories "are aimed at determining whether the PGW FY 2001 test year budget, which is one basis for the determination of the Company's base rates, is a reasonable and prudent budget, or whether it contains costs which are unreasonable and imprudent and which may not be passed on to ratepayers through base rates." CEPA Motion at 9. Whether PGW's FY 2001 budget "is a reasonable and prudent budget" is for the Philadelphia Gas Commission to decide, not the PUC. Therefore, CEPA's interrogatories clearly seek irrelevant information and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence

³ See, e.g., CEPA-7, 14-16, 29(a) and 36.

In its Motion, CEPA asserts that under the Natural Gas Choice and Competition Act, PGW is “subject to regulation and control by the commission with the same force as if the service were rendered by a public utility.” Motion at ¶ 5. However, CEPA fails to include the key phrase that is determinative on these issues – “Subject to the provisions of this section [2212].” Those provisions include the commandment of Subsection 2212(e) that the PUC follow the previously applicable ratemaking methodology and requirements and ensure that PGW can satisfy its bond covenants, and Subsection 2212(s)’s reservation to the City of Philadelphia of control over PGW’s management, budgets, activities, *etc.* For the reasons set forth below, these subsections render CEPA’s interrogatories irrelevant and incapable of leading to the discovery of admissible evidence. Accordingly, responding to CEPA’s interrogatories would cause unreasonable annoyance, oppression or burden to PGW.

CEPA fails to recognize that Section 2212 subjects PGW to a unique ratemaking scheme which renders the rate case adjustments envisioned by CEPA improper for at least three reasons.

First, Subsection 2212(e) requires the Commission to follow the same ratemaking methodology and requirements previously applicable to PGW. PGW’s Fiscal Year 2000-2001 budget, on which its \$65 million revenue requirement is based, is made up virtually entirely of expenditures, programs and operations that were approved by the PGC for inclusion in prior approved budgets, and, thus, funded by PGW’s rates. The PGC is expected to act on the budget very soon. Thus, CEPA’s interrogatories are in the wrong proceeding and at the wrong time.

Second, PGW’s prior ratemaking method obligated its prior ratemaking authority (the PGC) to set rates sufficient to fund its budget, which included a level of charges that enabled PGW to meet its bond covenants. 66 Pa. C.S. § 2212(e). One of PGW’s key bond covenants

obligates PGW to have sufficient cash on hand to pay its obligations, including its debt service, when those obligations become due. Whether or not the type of expenditure at issue was previously approved by the PGC, the PUC cannot refuse to allow such expenditures to be included in PGW's rates without making it impossible for PGW to have the necessary cash to meet its expenditures when they come due. Another covenant with which PGW must comply requires it to maintain certain debt service ratios. If PGW's rate increase is substantially reduced because of such adjustments, then PGW will likely fail to maintain the required debt service coverage requirement and violate this covenant as well.

Finally, once the PGC acts on PGW's proposed 2000-2001 budget, the specific expenditures, programs and operations in PGW's 2000-2001 budget will be set. Under Section 2212, the PUC will be obligated to fund that budget, as approved by the PGC. Adjustments to exclude the cost of certain PGW operations or programs intrudes into the City's budget approval authority as well. Prior to the passage of the Gas Choice Act, the PGC exercised two separate responsibilities: first it approved PGW's annual budget; and second, it approved a level of rates to fund that budget. Since the PUC assumed jurisdiction of PGW on July 1, 2000, it has the responsibility to set PGW's rates, but the PGC retains authority to approve PGW's budgets. 66 Pa. C.S. § 2212(b) and (s). The approach followed by the PGC was to rule upon whether specific activities and operations were properly included in the budget. Once that determination was made, the PGC was obligated to fund the operation at whatever level it had authorized. If the PUC now were to disallow a particular expense item (the cafeteria subsidy, for example), the only way that PGW could absorb such a revenue adjustment and avoid a violation of its bond covenants and prior ratemaking approach would be by actually altering its operation to eliminate

the expenditure. Putting aside the fact that, in most instances, the expenditures at issue have already been spent or are subject to long term or binding commitments, the principal reason that this result is barred is because forcing such actions would directly infringe on the City's right to operate and manage the Gas Works, a right specifically guaranteed by the Public Utility Code's Section 2212(s).

As indicated, PGW's operating budget continues to be reviewed and approved by the PGC. Accordingly, questions about whether a particular activity or operation should or should not be undertaken, or whether a particular expenditure should be incurred, is a matter for PGW's budgeting authority — the PGC — to decide. Moreover, the PUC clearly cannot direct or prohibit these kind of activities and management decisions indirectly — by refusing to allow their costs in rates — when it is prohibited from doing so directly. It is axiomatic that an administrative agency cannot accomplish indirectly what it is prohibited from accomplishing directly.

PGW's legal position is hardly an "astonishing theory" (CEPA Motion at ¶11) in view of the unique ratemaking scheme for PGW. This unique scheme does indeed "narrow" the PUC's ratemaking inquiry (as CEPA admits at ¶ 10 of its motion), but it does not transform the PUC's ratemaking inquiry into a "ministerial act." The passthrough of PGC approved budget amounts is no different than the passthrough of other costs that are determined by agencies other than the PUC to be proper. For example, the FERC-approved prices of a gas utility's prudent gas purchases must be passed through to ratepayers, and FERC-approved electric transmission rates are not subject to revision by the PUC.

the expenditure. Putting aside the fact that, in most instances, the expenditures at issue have already been spent or are subject to long term or binding commitments, the principal reason that this result is barred is because forcing such actions would directly infringe on the City's right to operate and manage the Gas Works, a right specifically guaranteed by the Public Utility Code's Section 2212(s).

As indicated, PGW's operating budget continues to be reviewed and approved by the PGC. Accordingly, questions about whether a particular activity or operation should or should not be undertaken, or whether a particular expenditure should be incurred, is a matter for PGW's budgeting authority — the PGC — to decide. Moreover, the PUC clearly cannot direct or prohibit these kind of activities and management decisions indirectly — by refusing to allow their costs in rates — when it is prohibited from doing so directly. It is axiomatic that an administrative agency cannot accomplish indirectly what it is prohibited from accomplishing directly.


PGW's legal position is hardly an "astonishing theory" (CEPA Motion at ¶11) in view of the unique ratemaking scheme for PGW. This unique scheme does indeed "narrow" the PUC's ratemaking inquiry (as CEPA admits at ¶ 10 of its motion), but it does not transform the PUC's ratemaking inquiry into a "ministerial act." The passthrough of PGC approved budget amounts is no different than the passthrough of other costs that are determined by agencies other than the PUC to be proper. For example, the FERC-approved prices of a gas utility's prudent gas purchases must be passed through to ratepayers, and FERC-approved electric transmission rates are not subject to revision by the PUC.

Response to Motion to Compel — CEPA-49

PGW's answer to this interrogatory was responsive. CEPA's failure to submit the questions to which it really seeks answers does not provide a basis for requiring a further response from PGW. CEPA need only ask additional questions.

WHEREFORE, PGW respectfully requests that ALJ Cynthia Williams Fordham defer ruling on CEPA's Motion to Compel pending disposition of PGW's Motion in Limine or, in the alternative, that CEPA's Motion to Compel be summarily denied.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel Clearfield
Kevin J. Moody
Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP
212 Locust Street, Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
(717) 237-7160

Dated: March 28, 2001

R-00006042 C0166
125042

Formal Complaint Form
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

ORIGINAL

Please Print: (you may also type your answers directly onto the form as it appears on your screen)

1. Your name, mailing address and telephone number:

Name BARBARA CAREY

Street/P.O.Box 20537th 63rd St Apt #

City PHILA State PA Zip 19151

County PHILA Area Code/Home Phone 215-477-7033
Area Code/Work Phone

2. Name of company your complaint concerns: PQU

3. What is your complaint? (Use additional paper if need more space).

#52 million rate increase requested by PQU should not be approved by PUC because of the following reason:

Please see Addendum.

4. What do you want the Public Utility Commission to do about your complaint?
(Use additional paper if need more space).

Please reinstate the Senior Citizens' 20% discount because:
- fixed income + hardship
- Gas tax payers + Seniors, we have earned +
deserved this 20% discount.

5. You must sign and date your complaint below.

DOCUMENTED FOR FILE

The information I have placed on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that I could be punished under Pennsylvania State Law if I purposely give false information.

Barbara Carey
Signature

3/23/01
Date

Continued on next page

DOCKETED
MAR 27 2001

march 23, 2001
Formal Complaint Form
Addendum

3. What IS Your Complaint?

- Gross & obvious mismanagement & total reduction of Customer Service & the Parts & Labor Program (Please, Hurry-up & Wait) at PGW.
- Increase in the natural gas costs is one subject but mismanagement by PGW is another important subject.
- How dare PGW ask Phila. taxpayers to pay for their bad mismanagement!

PGW's Low Income Customer Responsibility Program (CRP)

- Confusion & disorder
- Billing errors & Computer/Equipment Problems
- Incorrect bills or no bills at all in one or more billing period to CRP Customers.

Over ->

- CPP Customers do not & will not pay gas bills & get all the consideration & breaks.

Now customers who pay their bills on time are also payers for the CPP customers who are tardy, etc.

This is not fair! We pay promptly & on time, but get no consideration for this — unlike the CPP customers.

4. Now customers that pay their gas bill on time should be given a discount for this effort & incentive!

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DATE: MARCH 28, 2001

SUBJECT: R-00006042C0166

TO: Office of Administrative Law Judge

FROM: *Jih* James J. McNulty, Secretary

DOCKETED
MAR 27 2001

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

BARBARA CAREY
v.
PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

Attached is copy of a formal complaint filed in connection with the above docketed proceeding.

This matter is assigned to your office for necessary action.

Attachment - copy of complaint

cc:

Bureau of Fixed Utility Services - w/copy of complaint

Office of Trial Staff - w/copy of complaint

Office of Special Assistants - w/copy of complaint

JIH

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P O BOX 3265, HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

MARCH 28, 2001

DANIEL CLEARFIELD ESQ
WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP
212 LOCUST ST SUITE 300
HARRISBURG PA 17101

RE: PA PUC vs PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS
Docket Number R-00006042C0166

Dear Sir/Madam:


A Complaint has been filed against you in the above-captioned matter before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission by BARBARA CAREY.

This complaint, of which the attached is a true and correct copy, has been presented and filed of record with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S., requires the Commission to serve on each party named in a complaint a copy of the complaint.

Within twenty (20) days from the date on which this complaint is served, you may either satisfy this complaint or comply with the provisions of 52 Pa. Code, Section 5.61 et seq., as amended.

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Very truly yours,



James J. McNulty
Secretary

(SEAL)

Certified Mail
Return Receipt Requested

DOCUMENT
MAR 27 2001

JH

R-00006042 C0167
125042

Formal Complaint Form
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

ORIGINAL

Please Print: (you may also type your answers directly onto the form as it appears on your screen)

1. Your name, mailing address and telephone number:

Name Miss Agnes F. Kleschick

Street/P.O.Box 3016 Cedar Street Apt # _____

City Philadelphia State PA Zip 19134-4319

County Philadelphia Area Code/Home Phone 215-634-7625
Area Code/Work Phone _____

2. Name of company your complaint concerns: Philadelphia Gas Works

3. What is your complaint? (Use additional paper if need more space).

I am on the budget plan with PGW, and, accordingly, I had been paying \$59.00 each month. In January, my charges were \$119.97 (amount due \$71.00), and in February, the charges came to \$156.78 (amount due \$84.00). What's next?

4. What do you want the Public Utility Commission to do about your complaint? (Use additional paper if need more space).

Stop allowing these rate increases!!! If PGW lost money, let them get some of it back from the officers of the company who were stealing from them. Why should the utility users have to pay for gross company mismanagement???

DOCUMENT FOLDER

You must sign and date your complaint below.

The information I have placed on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that I could be punished under Pennsylvania State law if I purposely give false information.

Signature Agnes F. Kleschick

Date 3-27-01

RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S BUREAU
01 MAR 28 AM 9:43

Continued on next page

DOCKETED

MAR 28 2001

SECRETARY 34
PENNSYLVANIA Public Utility Commission
PO BOX 3265
HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DATE: MARCH 28, 2001

SUBJECT: R-00006042C0167

TO: Office of Administrative Law Judge

FROM:  James J. McNulty, Secretary

DOCKETED
MAR 28 2001

**DOCUMENT
FOLDER**

MISS AGNES F. KLESCHICK
v.
PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

Attached is copy of a formal complaint filed in connection with the above docketed proceeding.

This matter is assigned to your office for necessary action.

Attachment - copy of complaint

cc:

Bureau of Fixed Utility Services - w/copy of complaint

Office of Trial Staff - w/copy of complaint

Office of Special Assistants - w/copy of complaint

JIH

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P O BOX 3265, HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

MARCH 28, 2001

DANIEL CLEARFIELD ESQ
WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP
212 LOCUST ST SUITE 300
HARRISBURG PA 17101

RE: PA PUC vs PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS
Docket Number R-00006042C0167

Dear Sir/Madam:


A Complaint has been filed against you in the above-captioned matter before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission by MISS AGNES F. KLESCHICK.

This complaint, of which the attached is a true and correct copy, has been presented and filed of record with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S., requires the Commission to serve on each party named in a complaint a copy of the complaint.

Within twenty (20) days from the date on which this complaint is served, you may either satisfy this complaint or comply with the provisions of 52 Pa. Code, Section 5.61 et seq., as amended.

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Very truly yours,



James J. McNulty
Secretary

(SEAL)

Certified Mail
Return Receipt Requested

DOCKETED

MAR 28 2001

JIH

RECEIVED

R-00006042 C0168
125042

MAR 29 2001

Formal Complaint Form
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission SECRETARY'S BUREAU

008465

MAR 29 AM 8:55

Please Print: (you may also type your answers directly onto the form as it appears on your screen)

ORIGINAL RECEIVED SECRETARY'S BUREAU

1. Your name, mailing address and telephone number:

Name JOE DOUGHERTY

Street/P.O.Box 209 SHURS LANE Apt # _____

City PHILA State PA Zip 19128

County PHILA Area Code/Home Phone 215 487-3931
Area Code/Work Phone _____

2. Name of company your complaint concerns: PGW AND PUC

3. What is your complaint? (Use additional paper if need more space).

Why is the PUC REFERRED to as the PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - THE PUC DOES NOT WORK IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST. SEE THE ATTACHED ARTICLES. GAS RATES ARE FAIR TO PGW NOT THE PUBLIC. WHY ARE PEOPLE WHO PAY BILLS PUNISHED FOR THOSE WHO REFUSE TO?

4. What do you want the Public Utility Commission to do about your complaint? (Use additional paper if need more space).

CHANGE YOUR NAME TO THE UC. THE UTILITIES COMMISSION. YOUR COMMISSION IS NOT SERVING THE PUBLIC. I INVITE A HIGH RANKING PUC MEMBER TO RESPOND TO ME REGARDING MY COMPLAINT.

5. You must sign and date your complaint below.

DOCUMENT FOLDER

The information I have placed on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that I could be punished under Pennsylvania State Law if I purposely give false information.

Signature Joseph Dougherty Date 3/24/2001

MAR 29 2001 Continued on next page

PLEASE KEEP THE RATE CHANGE NOTICE IT IS A PIECE OF WORTHLESS TRASH.

My address and phone number ARE LISTED ABOVE!! FOR ANYONE AT PUC who is AWAKE.

(11)

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DATE: MARCH 29, 2001

SUBJECT: R-00006042C0168

TO: Office of Administrative Law Judge

FROM:  James J. McNulty, Secretary

DOCKETED
MAR 29 2001

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

JOE DOUGHERTY
v.
PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

Attached is copy of a formal complaint filed in connection with the above docketed proceeding.

This matter is assigned to your office for necessary action.

Attachment - copy of complaint

cc:

Bureau of Fixed Utility Services - w/copy of complaint
Office of Trial Staff - w/copy of complaint
Office of Special Assistants - w/copy of complaint

JH

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P O BOX 3265, HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

MARCH 29, 2001

DANIEL CLEARFIELD ESQ
WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP
212 LOCUST ST SUITE 300
HARRISBURG PA 17101

RE: PA PUC vs PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS
Docket Number R-00006042C0168

Dear Sir/Madam:


A Complaint has been filed against you in the above-captioned matter before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission by JOE DOUGHERTY.

This complaint, of which the attached is a true and correct copy, has been presented and filed of record with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S., requires the Commission to serve on each party named in a complaint a copy of the complaint.

Within twenty (20) days from the date on which this complaint is served, you may either satisfy this complaint or comply with the provisions of 52 Pa. Code, Section 5.61 et seq., as amended.

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Very truly yours,



James J. McNulty
Secretary

(SEAL)

Certified Mail
Return Receipt Requested

DOCKETED

MAR 29 2001

JIH

R-00006042 C 169

125042

Formal Complaint Form
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

ORIGINAL

Please Print: (you may also type your answers directly onto the form as it appears on your screen)

1. Your name, mailing address and telephone number:

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Name LARRY A. McCORKLE

Street/P.O.Box 4724 PRINCETON AVE Apt # REAR

City Ph. In del ph. A State PA Zip 19135

County Phila Area Code/Home Phone NONE
Area Code/Work Phone 215-464-9300

2. Name of company your complaint concerns: Philadelphia Gas Works.

3. What is your complaint? (Use additional paper if need more space).

FROM OCT 6 2000 TO 10/7/2000 MY GAS COST RATE WAS .10982 PER CCF
ON DEC " " " " INCREASED TO .30445 PER CCF
IN JAN 2001 " " " " " TO .61985 PER CCF
FROM FEB TO MAR 2001 IT INCREASED AGAIN TO .66959 CCF
THEY RAISED MY RATE 660% IN 3 MONTHS

4. What do you want the Public Utility Commission to do about your complaint?
(Use additional paper if need more space).

I WANT TO KNOW IF YOU, THE PUC ALLOWED THESE RATE INCREASES.
ON 11/28/00 YOU DISSALLOWED A 280% INCREASE, I WANT TO KNOW
WHEN THE PUC ALLOWED INCREASES OF SUCH MAGNITUDE OR IF THE
PGW IS OVERCHARGING ME, AND WHAT ARE MY OPTIONS.

5. You must sign and date your complaint below. IF PGW IS OVERCHARGING I
WANT A REFUND.

The information I have placed on this form is true and correct to the best of my
knowledge. I understand that I could be punished under Pennsylvania State Law
if I purposely give false information.

Larry McCorkle
Signature

3/28/01
Date

Continued on next page

DOCKETED

APR 12 2001

3

RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S BUREAU
01 APR -2 AM 9:40

2

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DATE: APRIL 12, 2001

SUBJECT: R-00006042C0169

TO: Office of Administrative Law Judge

FROM:  James J. McNulty, Secretary

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

LARRY A. MCCORKLE
v.
PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

Attached is copy of a formal complaint filed in connection with the above docketed proceeding.

This matter is assigned to your office for necessary action.

Attachment - copy of complaint

cc:

Bureau of Fixed Utility Services - w/copy of complaint

Office of Trial Staff - w/copy of complaint

Office of Special Assistants - w/copy of complaint

JIH

DOCKETED

APR 12 2001

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P O BOX 3265, HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

APRIL 12, 2001

DANIEL CLEARFIELD ESQ
WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP
212 LOCUST ST SUITE 300
HARRISBURG PA 17101

RE: PA PUC vs PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS
Docket Number R-00006042C0169

DOCUMENT
FOLDER


Dear Sir/Madam:

A Complaint has been filed against you in the above-captioned matter before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission by LARRY A. MCCORKLE.

This complaint, of which the attached is a true and correct copy, has been presented and filed of record with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S., requires the Commission to serve on each party named in a complaint a copy of the complaint.

Within twenty (20) days from the date on which this complaint is served, you may either satisfy this complaint or comply with the provisions of 52 Pa. Code, Section 5.61 et seq., as amended.

Very truly yours,



James J. McNulty
Secretary

(SEAL)

Certified Mail
Return Receipt Requested

JIH

DOCKETED

APR 12 2001

12504 ORIGINAL

Formal Complaint Form
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

01 APR -6 AM 9:34

RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Please Print: (you may also type your answers directly onto the form as it appears on your screen)

1. Your name, mailing address and telephone number:

Name Edna M. Kendrick

Street/P.O.Box 6735 N. Bouvier St Apt #

City Phila State Pa Zip 19126

County Phila Area Code/Home Phone 215)548-2791
Area Code/Work Phone _____

2. Name of company your complaint concerns: Phila Gas Works

3. What is your complaint? (Use additional paper if need more space).

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

DOCKETED

APR 12 2001

4. What do you want the Public Utility Commission to do about your complaint?
(Use additional paper if need more space).

*I want the PUC
to stop the Phila Gasco from
repeatedly increasing rates
and to reduce gas rates.*

5. You must sign and date your complaint below.

*Continue next
page*

The information I have placed on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that I could be punished under Pennsylvania State Law if I purposely give false information.

Edna M. Kendrick
Signature

4/02/01
Date

Continued on next page

Continue

I need the gas co to come out and check heater and thermostat to ensure they are working properly. I have a service contract with the gas co.

Thank you
Edna M. Penduck

Dec 28, 2000

6735 N. Bouvier St
Phila, Pa 19126

To Phila Gas Works
acct no. /invoice no. 55772899280

To Whom It May Concern;

This letter is in regard to my dec,00 gas bill. I donot understand why I was charged \$150.00 for a one month bill. The house was not lived in full time. I work out of town and come home on weekends.

I called the gas co and spoke with a repercentative / customer service. I was advised to have the heater and thermostat checked out, but was unable to reach that dept after repeated calls, only automated voices, suggesting that I call back.

I have a contract on the aforementioned. I would like to set up an appointment for a gas service repair person to come out and check the gas heater and thermostat.

I cannot afford an expensive bill as december's every month. Please resolve this problem ASAP.

my bills continue to increase without my using a lot of gas.

Sincerely,

Edna M. Kendrick

Edna M. Kendrick

April 3, 01

To this date I have not been able to reach the gas co to set up an date to check out heater & thermostat as requested. No one from the gas co has tried to reach me in regard to the matter. I would like to set up an appt for April 10, 01 in the afternoon.

Sincerely Edna M. Kendrick

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DATE: APRIL 12, 2001

SUBJECT: R-00006042C0170

TO: Office of Administrative Law Judge

FROM:  James J. McNulty, Secretary

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

EDNA M. KENDRICK
v.
PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

Attached is copy of a formal complaint filed in connection with the above docketed proceeding.

This matter is assigned to your office for necessary action.

Attachment - copy of complaint

cc:

Bureau of Fixed Utility Services - w/copy of complaint

Office of Trial Staff - w/copy of complaint

Office of Special Assistants - w/copy of complaint

JIH

DOCKETED

APR 12 2001

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P O BOX 3265, HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

APRIL 12, 2001

DANIEL CLEARFIELD ESQ
WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP
212 LOCUST ST SUITE 300
HARRISBURG PA 17101

RE: PA PUC vs PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS
Docket Number R-00006042C0170

DOCUMENT
FOLDER


Dear Sir/Madam:

A Complaint has been filed against you in the above-captioned matter before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission by EDNA M. KENDRICK.

This complaint, of which the attached is a true and correct copy, has been presented and filed of record with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S., requires the Commission to serve on each party named in a complaint a copy of the complaint.

Within twenty (20) days from the date on which this complaint is served, you may either satisfy this complaint or comply with the provisions of 52 Pa. Code, Section 5.61 et seq., as amended.

Very truly yours,



James J. McNulty
Secretary

(SEAL)

Certified Mail
Return Receipt Requested

JH

DOCKETED

APR 12 2001

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED

APR 20 2001

April 18, 2001

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Larry A. McCorkle
4724 Princeton Ave., Rear
Philadelphia, PA 19135

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0169 (Larry A. McCorkle)

011830

Dear Mr. McCorkle:


We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,



Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

DOCKETED

APR 20 2001

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

April 18, 2001

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Joe Dougherty
209 Churs Lane
Philadelphia, PA 19128

011829

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0168 (Joe Dougherty)

Dear Mr. Dougherty:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,



Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

01 APR 18 AM 9:11
RECEIVED
SECRETARY'S OFFICE
PUBLIC UTILITIES

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED
APR 20 2001

April 18, 2001

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Agnes F. Kleschick
3016 Cedar Street
Philadelphia, PA 19134-4319

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0167 (Agnes F. Kleschick)

011827

Dear Miss Kleschick:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,



Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWART@WOLFBLOCK.COM

DOCKETED

APR 20 2001

April 18, 2001

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Barbara Carey
2053 N. 63 Street
Philadelphia, PA 19151

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0166 (Barbara Carey)

011828

Dear Ms. Carey:

We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,



Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP

212 Locust Street
Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101

T: 717 237 7160
F: 717 237 7161
www.wolfblock.com

MARK S. STEWART
DIRECT DIAL: (717) 237-7191
E-MAIL: MSTEWARD@WOLFBLOCK.COM

April 18, 2001

DOCKETED
APR 29 2001
DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Edna M. Kendrick
6735 N. Bouvier St.
Philadelphia, PA 19126

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works
Docket No. R-00006042C0170 (Edna M. Kendrick)

011831

Dear Ms. Kendrick:


We are writing to acknowledge receipt of the complaint you filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC") regarding Philadelphia Gas Works' ("PGW") request for an increase in its base rates. Please be advised that we represent PGW.

Your complaint has been included in the PUC's investigation of PGW's request for a base rate increase. You should expect to receive information directly from the PUC related to that inquiry. While no dates have been set, the PUC will hold public input hearings at which you will be able to express your concerns to the PUC as part of the official record of this rate inquiry.

Due to the rising cost of natural gas throughout the United States over the past year, consumers who use natural gas, especially for heating, have experienced higher bills. Even though these gas cost increases have been felt by its customers, PGW has not had an increase in its base rates in over nine (9) years. However, PGW has now found it necessary to file this rate increase request in order to maintain the necessary resources to continue to provide gas service to its customers.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,



Mark S. Stewart

For WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR and SOLIS-COHEN LLP

MSS/jlg

cc: James McNulty, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
Les Fyock
Abby Pozefsky

0000 6042 C 171

125042

Formal Complaint Form
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

ORIGINAL

Please Print: (you may also type your answers directly onto the form as it appears on your screen)

1. Your name, mailing address and telephone number:

Name Lorna Harmon
Street/P.O.Box 8228 Forrest Avenue Apt # _____
City Phila State PA Zip 19150
County Phila Area Code/Home Phone 215-247-5305
Area Code/Work Phone 215-685-7413

RECEIVED
2001 APR 19 AM 9:09
PA P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

2. Name of company your complaint concerns: Phila. Public Gas Utility PGW

3. What is your complaint? (Use additional paper if need more space).
Three rate hikes in a few months is outrageous. Someone else other than citizens who pay their bills on time should have to pay these additional rate hikes.

DOCKETED
APR 19 2001

4. What do you want the Public Utility Commission to do about your complaint?
(Use additional paper if need more space).
Please ask Congress or whoever to pick up the excessive rate hikes.

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

5. You must sign and date your complaint below.

The information I have placed on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that I could be punished under Pennsylvania State Law if I purposely give false information.

Lorna Harmon and Ruth Hawkins 2-27-01
Signature Lorna Harmon Date

Continued on next page

Ruth Hawkins

10

6. If you are represented by a lawyer you must provide your lawyer's name, address and telephone number.

Lawyer's Name _____

Street _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Area Code/Phone Number _____

Mail to:

Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
P. O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

For more information, please contact the Secretary's Bureau at 717-772-7777.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA


DATE: APRIL 19, 2001

SUBJECT: R-00006042C0171

DOCKETED

APR 19 2001

TO: Office of Administrative Law Judge

FROM:  James J. McNulty, Secretary

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

LORNA HARMON

v.

PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

Attached is copy of a formal complaint filed in connection with the above docketed proceeding.

This matter is assigned to your office for necessary action.

Attachment - copy of complaint

cc:

Bureau of Fixed Utility Services - w/copy of complaint

Office of Trial Staff - w/copy of complaint

Office of Special Assistants - w/copy of complaint

JIH

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P O BOX 3265, HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

APRIL 19, 2001

DANIEL CLEARFIELD ESQ
WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP
212 LOCUST ST
SUITE 300
HARRISBURG PA 17101

DOCKETED
APR 19 2001

RE: PA PUC vs PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS
Docket Number R-00006042C0171

DOCUMENT
FOLDER


Dear Sir/Madam:

A Complaint has been filed against you in the above-captioned matter before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission by LORNA HARMON.

This complaint, of which the attached is a true and correct copy, has been presented and filed of record with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S., requires the Commission to serve on each party named in a complaint a copy of the complaint.

Within twenty (20) days from the date on which this complaint is served, you may either satisfy this complaint or comply with the provisions of 52 Pa. Code, Section 5.61 et seq., as amended.

Very truly yours,



James J. McNulty
Secretary

(SEAL)

Certified Mail
Return Receipt Requested

JIH