

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	:	R-00061625
	:	
Office of Consumer Advocate	:	R-00061625C0001
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
Borough of Phoenixville	:	

PREHEARING ORDER

A telephonic prehearing conference followed by a mediation session was held on February 2, 2007. Administrative Law Judge Charles E. Rainey, Jr. presided at the prehearing conference. Present at the prehearing conference were the Borough of Phoenixville (Borough), the Office of Trial Staff (OTS) and the Office of Consumer Advocate (OCA).

1. Prehearing Conference Memoranda

In response to my Prehearing Conference Order dated January 22, 2007, prehearing conference memoranda were submitted by the Borough, OTS and OCA.

RECEIVED

FEB - 9 2007

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

2. Evidentiary Hearing and Briefing Schedule

The following evidentiary hearing and briefing schedule was adopted:

March 16, 2007	Direct Testimony of the Borough due in-hand
April 6, 2007	Direct Testimony of Parties other than the Borough due in-hand
§ April 27, 2007	Rebuttal Testimony of the Borough due in-hand
May 11, 2007	Surrebuttal Testimony of Parties other than the Borough due in-hand
May 21 – 22, 2007	Evidentiary Hearings
June 12, 2007	Main Briefs due in-hand
June 26, 2007	Reply Briefs due in-hand

The evidentiary hearings will be held in an available hearing room in the Commonwealth Keystone Building, 400 North Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The evidentiary hearings will begin at 10:00 a.m.

Written testimonies and briefs are due in-hand by 4:00 p.m. on the due dates. Technical terms and concepts are to be clearly defined and explained in written testimonies and briefs.

Briefs must follow the content and form for briefs as set forth in 52 Pa. Code §5.501. Briefs shall include proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and ordering paragraphs. Briefs shall be as concise as possible. Any unpublished opinions, decisions or policies cited in a brief, must be attached to the brief.


3. Public Input Hearings

The parties indicated in their prehearing conference memoranda that the public has not shown substantial interest in this proceeding so as to necessitate holding one or more public input hearings. If a party becomes aware of sufficient public interest in this proceeding so as to necessitate holding one or more public input hearings, the party is to confer with the other parties and then submit to me in writing as soon as possible, proposed dates, times and specific locations for one or more public input hearings.

4. Document Submission

Written testimonies and briefs may be delivered to me via electronic mail on the date due as long as a hard copy is delivered to me by the following business day via overnight mail or hand delivery.

The parties may enter into an agreement in regard to the manner in which they will serve documents on each other. The parties are otherwise required to serve documents on each other consistent with the Commission's rules at Title 52 of the Pennsylvania Code.


Charles E. Rainey, Jr.
Administrative Law Judge

Date: February 6, 2007

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Borough of Phoenixville
Docket Nos. R-00061625 & R-61625C0001

SERVICE LIST

Edmund J. Berger, Esquire
Berger Law Firm PC
2104 Market Street
Camp Hill, PA 17011

Borough Manager
Borough of Phoenixville
140 Church Street
Phoenixville, PA 19460

Christine Meloni Hoover
Assistant Consumer Advocate
Jennedy E. Santolla
Assistant Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street
5th Floor, Forum Place
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

Johnnie E. Simms, Esquire
Robert V. Eckenrod, Esquire
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Office of Trial Staff
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265