



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

ISSUED: February 28, 2008

R-00061906

PAUL E RUSSELL ESQUIRE
PPL
TWO NORTH NINTH STREET
ALLENTOWN PA 18101-1179

DOCUMENT FOLDER

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Proposed
Final Transmission Service Charge Reconciliation

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Enclosed is a copy of the Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judge Susan D. Colwell. This decision is being issued and mailed to all parties on the above specified date.

If you do not agree with any part of this decision, you may send written comments (called Exceptions) to the Commission. Specifically, an original and nine (9) copies of your signed exceptions **MUST BE FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION 2ND FLOOR, KEYSTONE BUILDING, 400 NORTH STREET, HARRISBURG, PA OR MAILED TO P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265, within **twenty (20) days** of the issuance date of this letter.** The signed exceptions will be deemed filed on the date actually received by the Secretary of the Commission or on the date deposited in the mail as shown on U.S. Postal Service Form 3817 certificate of mailing attached to the cover of the original document (52 Pa. Code §1.11(a)) or on the date deposited with an overnight express package delivery service (52 Pa. Code 1.11(a)(2), (b)). If your exceptions are sent by mail, please use the address shown at the top of this letter. A copy of your exceptions must also be served on each party of record. 52 Pa. Code §1.56(b) cannot be used to extend the prescribed period for the filing of exceptions/reply exceptions. A certificate of service shall be attached to the filed exceptions.

Replies to exceptions, if any, must be served on the Secretary of the Commission, in the manner described above, within **ten (10) days** of the date that the exceptions are due.

Exceptions and reply exceptions shall obey 52 Pa. Code 5.533 and 5.535 particularly the 40-page limit for exceptions and the 25-page limit for replies to exceptions. Exceptions should clearly be labeled as "EXCEPTIONS OF (name of party) - (protestant, complainant, staff, etc.)". Any reference to specific sections of the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Decision shall include the page number(s) of the cited section of the decision. All timely filed exceptions and replies thereto will be attached to the decision for consideration at Public Meeting. Late filed exceptions and/or late filed replies might not be considered by the Commission.

Very truly yours,

James J. McNulty
Secretary

Encls.
Certified Mail
Receipt Requested
MH

ERIC EPSTEIN
4100 HILLSDALE ROAD
HARRISBURG PA 17112

PAMELA C POLACEK ESQUIRE
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC
PO BOX 1166
100 PINE STREET
HARRISBURG PA 17108-1166

KENNETH L MICKENS ESQUIRE
OFFICE OF TRIAL STAFF
PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
PO BOX 3265
HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	:	
Proposed Final Transmission	:	
Service Charge Reconciliation	:	R-00061906

RECOMMENDED DECISION

Before
Susan D. Colwell
Administrative Law Judge

HISTORY OF THE PROCEEDING

On January 30, 2008, a public hearing was held in Harrisburg to review the annual Transmission Service Charge (TSC) reconciliation for the period August 1, 2007 to November 30, 2007, filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission by PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL). The statement was filed pursuant to the provisions of PPL's Tariff – Electric Pa. P.U.C. No. 201 and Section 1307(e) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. §§ 1307(e). A Petition to Intervene was filed by PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance (PPLICA), and was not opposed.

The hearing was attended by the following counsel: David B. MacGregor, Esq., and Paul E. Russell, Esq. for PPL; Kenneth L. Mickens, Esq. for Office of Trial Staff (OTS); and Shelby Linton-Keddie, Esq. for (PPLICA). Eric Joseph Epstein appeared on his own behalf and participated without opposition.

PPL presented one witness, Joseph M. Kleha, who sponsored Exhibit No. JMK-1. OTS introduced OTS Exhibit 1.

The hearing resulted in a transcript of 14 pages. The record closed upon its receipt on February 5, 2008. The matter is now ready for decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. A public hearing was held on January 30, 2007, to review the Proposed Final Transmission Service Charge Reconciliation Report filed by PPL with the Commission.
2. Joseph M. Kleha appeared on behalf of PPL and sponsored PPL Exhibit JMK-1.
3. OTS submitted an exhibit summarizing the results of the PPL TSC reconciliation filing, which is attached hereto as Appendix A. OTS Ex. 1.
4. PPL experienced an undercollection for the period August 1, 2007 to November 30, 2007 of \$2,078,081.00. PPL JMK-1; OTS Ex. 1.

DISCUSSION

The Commission held a public hearing on January 30, 2007, in compliance with 66 Pa. C.S. § 1307(e). The exhibits presented by PPL and OTS were moved into evidence without objection. Admission of the PPL exhibit is subject to the usual condition that the numbers contained in them remain subject to audit, and that approval of the reconciliation statement does not constitute approval of either the accuracy of the reported figures or the reasonableness of the underlying transactions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The PPL TSC reconciliation filing, summarized in Appendix A, is deemed to be an adequate filing within the meaning of Section 1307(e) of the Public

Utility Code, 66 Pa. Code § 1307(e), subject to such further review and revision as may be found necessary by the Commission.

2. Acceptance of the Statement deemed to be adequate is based on the unaudited data reported by the utility and does not constitute a final approval of the accuracy of those figures or of the reasonableness of the underlying transaction.

3. The recovery of undercollections or the refund of overcollections for the utility whose filing is deemed to be adequate should be implemented based upon the operation of the automatic adjustment clauses as set forth in its tariff.

ORDER

THEREFORE,


IT IS RECOMMENDED:

1. That the PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Transmission Service Charge Reconciliation for the period August 1, 2007 to November 30, 2007, as set forth in Appendix A of this Recommended Decision, be, and hereby is, accepted insofar as it is undisputed.

2. That acceptance of the undisputed PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Transmission Service Charge Reconciliation is expressly subject to such further review and revision as may be found necessary as a result of a subsequent Commission audit, or of some other proceeding.

3. That acceptance of the undisputed PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Transmission Service Charge Reconciliation shall not constitute approval of either the accuracy of the reported figures or the reasonableness of the underlying transactions.

Date: February 15, 2008


Susan D. Colwell
Administrative Law Judge

APPENDIX "A"

Summary of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation's
Transmission Service Charge (TSC) Reconciliation
For The Period August 1, 2007 to November 30, 2007
Docket No. R-00061906, R-00072721

	Over/(Under) Collection <u>@11/30/07*</u> (1)	<u>Interest</u> (2)	Total To Be Refunded/ <u>(Recouped)*</u> (3)=(1)+(2)
Residential	(\$2,175,903)	(\$124,436)	(\$2,300,339)
Small Commercial & Industrial	132,918	8,747	141,665
Large Commercial & Industrial - Primary	241,209	13,415	254,624
Large Commercial & Industrial - Transmission	<u>(164,468)</u>	<u>(9,563)</u>	<u>(174,031)</u>
Total	<u><u>(\$1,966,244)</u></u>	<u><u>(\$111,837)</u></u>	<u><u>(\$2,078,081)</u></u>

* - Excluding GRT

DATE: March 20, 2008

SUBJECT: R-00061906

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

TO: Office of Administrative Law Judge
Susan Hoffner

FROM: James J. McNulty
Secretary
nvl

PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES CORPORATION PROPOSED
FINAL TRANSMISSION SERVICE CHARGE RECONCILIATION

Copies of the Recommended Decision have been served upon all parties.

Neither exceptions nor requests for review from the Commissioners have been received by the Commission. This matter is referred to your office for whatever action you deem necessary.

cc: Office of Special Assistants

P.S. Please note that exceptions or reply exceptions may come in timely with certificate of mailings. A second memo will not be released for these exceptions.