



Law Department

Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York NY 10003-0987
www.conEd.com

ORIGINAL

John L. Carley
Assistant General Counsel
(212) 460-2097
FAX: (212) 677-5850
Email: carleyj@coned.com

May 8, 2006

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Honorable James J. McNulty
Secretary
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-3265

RECEIVED

MAY 9 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Re: Complaint of William and Irene Loosemore
Complaint No. C-20066258

Dear Secretary McNulty:

I enclose an original and three copies of the Answer of Pike County Light & Power Company ("Pike") to the Complaint filed by William and Irene Loosemore against Pike. As noted in the enclosed Answer, the Commission should dismiss this Complaint without hearing.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at the telephone number or address listed above.

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Very truly yours,


John L. Carley
Assistant General Counsel

c: William and Irene Loosemore
113 Rod & Gun Club Road
Milford, PA 18337

76

RECEIVED

MAY 9 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

WILLIAM AND IRENE LOOSEMORE,
Complainant

V.

PIKE COUNTY LIGHT & POWER
COMPANY, Respondent

Complaint Docket No. C – 20066258

ANSWER OF PIKE COUNTY LIGHT & POWER COMPANY

INTRODUCTION

On April 27, 2006, Pike County Light & Power Company (“Pike” or the “Company”) was served with a copy of a complaint (“Complaint”) filed by its customers, William and Irene Loosemore (“Complainant”). In their complaint, Complainant objects to the recent increase in Pike’s default service rates. Complainant informs the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) that, “We want our electric bill to be [a] reasonable monthly bill.”

As set forth in greater detail below, the rate increase that Complainant objects to already has been reviewed and approved by the Commission. Accordingly, the conduct that the Complainant objects to is simply Pike billing its customers according to the filed rates contained in Pike’s Commission approved electric tariff. The Complaint is completely without merit and should be dismissed summarily by the Commission.

In response to the Complaint, Pike states as follows:

DOCKETED
MAY 16 2006

**DOCUMENT
FOLDER**

DISCUSSION

1. On May 31, 2005, Pike filed a plan to establish default service rates for the period beginning January 1, 2006. Default service rates are those rates charged to customers, such as the Complainant, who do not take service from electric generation suppliers. To increase its ability to achieve the goal of providing default service coverage in an economic fashion, Pike proposed to utilize commodity swap transactions rather than physical purchases.

2. On September 23, 2005, the Commission issued an Order ("September 23 Order") approving Pike's auction proposal with certain modifications. One such modification was the Commission's directive to increase, from one to three days, the amount of time for the Commission to review the auction results and notify Pike of its recommendation.

3. Pike conducted the auction on October 25, 2005. Copies of the auction results and impacts on customers' bills were provided electronically to counsel for the Office of Consumer Advocate ("OCA"), Office of Small Business Advocate ("OSBA"), as well as to the Commission Staff on October 25, 2005. These bids provided Pike's default service customers with fixed prices for energy and capacity for a two-year period (i.e., 2006-2007). In setting default service rates, Pike does not mark up the auction prices.

4. No comments or complaints were received from OCA or OSBA during the three-day Commission review period. Commission Staff requested, and was provided by Pike, certain additional information during this three-day Commission review period.

5. In a letter to Pike dated October 28, 2005, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, Commission Secretary James McNulty stated that “the auction appears to have been conducted in accordance with RFP Guidelines” and that “[F]urther investigation does not appear warranted”. Accordingly, the Commission approved the auction results and directed Pike to file a supplement to its Tariff incorporating the default service rates resulting from the auction.

6. On January 1, 2006, Pike’s new default service rates became effective. The Commission-approved rates are the subject of the Complaint.

7. At its open session on January 27, 2006, the PAPUC unanimously adopted a motion of Commissioner Shane directing the PAPUC’s Law Bureau " to initiate a fact finding investigation into the competitive electric market in Pike County Power's service territory." On February 14, 2006, the Commission in Docket No. P-00052168 initiated a fact-finding investigation in the competitive electric market in Pike’s service territory (“Fact-Finding Investigation”). The Fact-Finding Investigation is ongoing.


8. The basis of the Complaint is that Pike’s default service rates filed with and approved by the Commission are somehow unreasonable. The fact that these rates increased as of January 1, 2006 does not detract from their validity. Pike denies Complainant’s allegation that its default service rates are unreasonable since the Commission has reviewed and approved these very rates. Pursuant to the long established filed rate doctrine, Pike could not charge the Complainant any rate other than the Commission-approved rate of which he complains. *Cheltenham & Abington Sewerage Co. v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission*, 344 Pa. 366, 25 A.2d 334 (Pa. 1942).

9. Pursuant to the filed rate doctrine, Pike is required to bill its default service customers in accordance with its existing Commission-approved default service rates until the Commission approves new default service rates. Any such new rates would apply on a prospective basis only.

CONCLUSION

The Complainant filed its Complaint in order to oppose the increase in Pike's default service rates that became effective on January 1, 2006. The very default service rates at issue in the Complaint, however, have been reviewed and approved by the Commission. Under the filed rate doctrine, Pike can only bill the Complainant these default service rates. Accordingly, the Commission should dismiss this Complaint, without hearing.

Respectfully submitted,



John L. Carley
Assistant General Counsel
Pike County Light &
Power Company
4 Irving Place
Room 1815-S
New York, NY 10003
(212) 460-2097 (Phone)
(212) 677-5850 (Fax)
carleyj@coned.com

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

WILLIAM A. ATZL, JR., being duly sworn, according to law, upon his oath
deposes and says:

- 1. I am a Director in the Rate Engineering Department of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. ("Con Edison"), an affiliate of Pike County Light & Power Company ("Pike"), and in that capacity I make this Affidavit. I am familiar with Pike's gas tariff, as well as its rates and charges for gas service.
- 2. I have read the attached Answer, and the same is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

William A. Atzl, Jr.
William A. Atzl, Jr.

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 9th day
of May, 2006.

John L. Carley
Notary Public

JOHN L. CARLEY
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 4906281
Qualified in Rockland County
Commission Expires August 31, 2009

RECEIVED
MAY 9 2006
PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

EXHIBIT A

RECEIVED

MAY 9 2006

**PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU**



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

October 28, 2005

P-00052168

RECEIVED

OCT 31 2005

CON EDISON LAW DEPT.

JOHN L CARLEY
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
4 IRVING PLACE
NEW YORK NY 10003

Re: Pike County Light & Power Company – Results of Auction for Financial Swap Transactions, including the impact on Retail Rates for Default Service to Residential, General, Municipal Street Lighting and Private Lighting Customers

Dear Mr. Carley:

On October 25, 2005, Pike County Light & Power Company (“Pike”) submitted electronically the results of its Request for Proposals (“RFP”) auction process, including the impact on retail rates for residential, general, municipal street lighting and private lighting customers receiving default service effective January 1, 2006, at Docket No. P-00052168. On October 27, 2005, Pike filed the results with the Commission’s Secretary.

This filing is submitted pursuant to the RFP process and Default Service Implementation Plan (“Plan”) filed May 31, 2005 and approved by the Commission in its Order, entered September 23, 2005, at Docket No. P-00052168, as modified by the Order.

Pike requests that the Commission approve the results of the auction by 11:59 p.m. October 28, 2005.


Further investigation does not appear to be warranted at this time and the auction appears to have been conducted in accordance with the RFP Guidelines.

Therefore, we approve the results of the auction and direct Pike to file a supplement to its Tariff Electric – Pa. P.U.C No. 8, no later than 60 days prior to an effective date of January 1, 2006, incorporating the proposed tariff language changes approved in the Commission’s Order, entered September 23, 2005, at Docket No. P-00052168, as modified by the Order and the default service rates resulting from the auction.

If you are dissatisfied with the resolution of this matter, you may, as set forth in 52 Pa. Code §5.44, file a petition with the Commission within 10 days of the date of this letter.

Please direct any questions to H. Edwin Rodrock, Energy Supervisor, Bureau of Fixed Utility Services at (717) 783-6185.

Sincerely,



James J. McNulty
Secretary

cc: John L. Carley, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (electronic)
William Atzl, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (electronic and first class mail)
Michael Hassell, Esq., Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP (electronic and first class mail)
Tanya McCloskey, OCA (electronic and first class mail)
Aron Beatty, OCA (electronic and first class mail)
William Lloyd, OSBA (electronic and first class mail)
Robert Eckenrod, OTS (electronic and first class mail)
Kerry Klinefelter, Bureau of Fixed Utility Services
Kathy Aunkst, Secretary's Bureau