



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

November 5, 2015

E-FILED

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

**Re: Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company for Approval of its
Long-Term Infrastructure Improvement Plan
Docket No. P-2015-2508942**

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed for filing please find the Answer, Notice of Intervention, Public Statement, and Verification, on behalf of the Office of Small Business Advocate, in the above-captioned proceeding.

Copies have been served today on all known parties in this proceeding, as indicated on the enclosed Certificate of Service. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Daniel G. Asmus".

Daniel G. Asmus
Assistant Small Business Advocate
Attorney ID No. 83789

Enclosures

cc: The Honorable Charles E. Rainey, Jr.
Parties of Record

3. Admitted. By way of further answer, the citations to Section 1351 of the Public Utility Code, being writings, speak for themselves, and no further answer is required.

4. Admitted. By way of further answer, the citations to Sections 1352 (referencing long-term infrastructure improvement plans (“LTIPs”)) and 1353 (referencing distribution system improvement charges (“DSICs”)) of the Code, being writings, speak for themselves, and no further answer is required.

5. It is admitted that on August 2, 2012, the Commission issued its Final Implementation Order with respect to Act 11. By way of further answer, the Final Implementation Order, being a writing, speaks for itself, and no further answer is required.

6. It is admitted that on May 27, 2014, the Commission issued a Final Order adopting LTIP regulations. By way of further answer, the Final Order, being a writing, speaks for itself, and no further answer is required.

7. It is admitted that Section 121.4(e) of the LTIP regulations lists the criteria for approval of an LTIP. By way of further answer, Section 121.4(e), being a writing, speaks for itself, and no further answer is required.

8. It is admitted that Sections 121.4(f) and (g) of the LTIP regulations contemplates the filing of a new or revised LTIP upon Commission Order. By way of further answer, Sections 121.4(f) and (g), being writings, speak for themselves, and no further answer is required.

II. MET-ED'S LONG-TERM INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PLAN

9. The averments of this paragraph state conclusions of law to which no response is required.

10. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

11. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

A. Identification of Types and Ages of Property to be Improved, Repaired and Replaced

12. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which, no response is required.

B. Initial Schedule for Planned Repair and Replacement of Eligible Property

13. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

C. General Description of the Location of Eligible Property

14. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

D. Estimate of Quantity of Eligible Property

15. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

E. Projected Annual Expenditures

16. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

F. Acceleration of Infrastructure Improvement and Maintenance of Customer Service

17. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

18. The OSBA is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments of this paragraph, and therefore, they are denied.

G. Workforce Management and Training Plan for Performance of Work in Cost Effective, Safe and Reliable Manner

19. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

H. Description of the Utility's Outreach and Coordination Activities with Third Parties

20. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.


I. Estimated Implementation of Met-Ed's DSIC

21. The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

III. CONCLUSION

The averments of this paragraph constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

WHEREFORE, the OSBA respectfully requests that the Commission refer the Companies' Petition to the Office of Administrative Law Judge for hearings and the preparation of an initial decision.


Daniel G. Asmus
Assistant Small Business Advocate
Attorney ID No. 83789

For:
John R. Evans.
Small Business Advocate

Office of Small Business Advocate
300 North Third Street, Suite 202
Harrisburg, PA 17101
717-783-2525
717-783-2831 (fax)


November 5, 2015

5. This Intervention is filed regarding the Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company for Approval of its Long-Term Infrastructure Improvement Plan (“Petition”) that was filed with the Commission on October 19, 2015.

6. In view of the foregoing, the Small Business Advocate respectfully requests that the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission:

- A. Direct the Office of Administrative Law Judge to holding hearings on the *Petition* and prepare an initial decision; and
- B. Grant such other relief as may be necessary or appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,


Daniel G. Asmus
Assistant Small Business Advocate
Attorney ID No. 83789

Office of Small Business Advocate
300 North Second Street, Suite 202
Harrisburg, PA 17101
(717) 783-2525
(717) 783-2831 (fax)

Dated: November 5, 2015

**BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company:
for Approval of its Long-Term : **Docket No. P-2015-2508942**
Infrastructure Improvement Plan :

**PUBLIC STATEMENT
OF THE SMALL BUSINESS ADVOCATE**

The Small Business Advocate is authorized and directed to represent the interests of small business consumers of utility services in Pennsylvania under the provisions of the Small Business Advocate Act, Act 181 of 1988, 73 P.S. §§ 399.41 - 399.50 (“Act”). The Act further provides that the Small Business Advocate is to issue publicly a written statement setting forth concisely the specific interest of small business consumers to be protected by his initiation of or intervention in any proceeding involving those interests before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) or any other agency or court. This public statement relates to the Small Business Advocate’s intervention in the above-captioned Commission proceedings.

On October 19, 2015, Metropolitan Edison Company (“Met-Ed”), filed a Petition for approval of its long-term infrastructure improvement plan, initiating the above-captioned proceeding.

The Small Business Advocate is intervening in the above-captioned proceeding in order to protect the interests of Met-Ed’s small business customers. A thorough inquiry by the Commission into all of the elements of Met-Ed’s petition is necessary to ensure that Met-Ed’s proposals for making and paying for distribution system improvements are in accord with the Public Utility Code and with the Commission’s regulations and policies.

In view of the foregoing, the Small Business Advocate is requesting that the petition be subject to investigation and evidentiary hearings before the Commission. The Small Business Advocate will ask the Commission to deny or modify any aspect of the Met-Ed's proposal that is not proven by Met-Ed to be in accord with the Public Utility Code and with the Commission's regulations and policies.

Dated: November 5, 2015

VERIFICATION

I, Daniel G. Asmus, hereby state that the facts set forth herein above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and that I expect to be able to prove the same at a hearing held in this matter. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

Date: November 5, 2015



(Signature)

**BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company:
for Approval of its Long-Term :
Infrastructure Improvement Plan :**

Docket No. P-2015-2508942

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of the foregoing have been served via email and/or first-class mail (unless other noted below) upon the following persons, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

The Honorable Charles E. Rainey, Jr.
Chief Administrative Law Judge
PA Public Utility Commission
400 North Street, 2nd Floor West
Commonwealth Keystone Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120
crainey@pa.gov
(E-mail and Hand Delivery)

Tanya J. McCloskey, Esquire
Acting Consumer Advocate
Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street - 5th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923
tmccloskey@paoca.org
(E-mail and Hand Delivery)

Johnnie E. Simms, Esquire
Director and Chief Prosecutor
Bureau of Investigation & Enforcement
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor West
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265
josimms@pa.gov
(E-mail and Hand Delivery)

Lauren M. Lepkoski, Esquire
FirstEnergy Service Company
2800 Pottsville Pike
P.O. Box 16001
Reading, PA 19612-6001
llepkoski@firstenergycorp.com

David J. Dulick, Esquire
Pennsylvania Rural Electric Association
Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc.
212 Locust Street
P.O. Box 1266
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1266

Charis Mincavage, Esquire
Vasiliki Karandrikas, Esquire
Teresa K. Schmittberger, Esquire
Elizabeth P. Trinkle, Esquire
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
100 Pine Street
PO Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166
cmincavage@mwn.com
vkandrikas@mwn.com
tschmittberger@mwn.com
etrinkle@mwn.com

Thomas J. Sniscak, Esquire
William E. Lehman, Esquire
Hawke McKeon & Sniscak, LLP
P. O. Box 1778
Harrisburg, PA 17105-1778
tjsniscak@hmslegal.com
welehman@hmslegal.com

Scott J. Rubin, Esquire
333 Oak Lane
Bloomsburg, PA 17815-2036
scott.j.rubin@gmail.com

Thomas T. Niesen, Esquire
Thomas, Niesen & Thomas
212 Locust Street, Suite 600
Harrisburg, PA 17101
tniesen@tntlawfirm.com

Charles E. Thomas, III, Esquire
Thomas, Niesen & Thomas, LLC
212 Locust Street, Suite 600
Harrisburg, PA 17101
cet3@tntlawfirm.com


Michael Panfil
John Finnigan
Environmental Defense Fund
1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20009
mpanfil@edf.org
jfinnigan@edf.org

Heather Langeland, Esquire
200 First Avenue, Suite 200
Pittsburgh, PA 15222
langeland@pennfuture.org

Harry S. Geller
Elizabeth R. Marx
Pennsylvania Utility Law Project
118 Locust Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1414
pulp@palegalaid.net

Donald R. Wagner
Linda R. Evers
Michael A. Gruin
Stevens & Lee
111 N. Sixth Street
Reading, PA 19601

DATE: November 5, 2015


Daniel G. Asmus
Assistant Small Business Advocate
Attorney ID No. 83789