

January 26, 2016

Via Electronic Filing

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

RE: Frank Rezzetano v. Duquesne Light Company
Docket No. C-2015-2462441

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed please find Duquesne Light Company's Opposition to Complainant's Petition for Extension of Time to File Exceptions. A copy of this document has been served upon Complainant in accordance with Commission regulations.

Sincerely,



Jeremy V. Farrell
Attorney for Duquesne Light Company

Enclosure

c: Frank Rezzetano (with enclosure)

LIT:580801-1 014657-158498

4. Complainant did not seek an extension of time until at least¹ two weeks after the deadline for filing Exceptions and after the Initial Decision had been made a Final Order. While Duquesne Light is certainly sympathetic to Complainant's concerns, and previously agreed to extend the hearing date while Complainant recuperated from a previous injury, the record in this matter is closed and the Commission's decision is final. Duquesne Light respectfully requests that Complainant's Petition be denied and that the Commission's Final Order stand.

WHEREFORE, Respondent, Duquesne Light Company, respectfully requests that the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission deny Complainant's Petition for Extension of Time to File Exceptions.

Respectfully submitted,

TUCKER ARENSBERG, P.C.



Jeremy V. Farrell, Esquire
PA I.D. No. 316258

1500 One PPG Place
Pittsburgh, PA 15222
(412) 594-3938
Counsel for Respondent, Duquesne Light
Company

LIT:580801-1 014657-158498

¹ Complainant's Petition did not reach the Commission until January 11, 2016. See January 16, 2016 Correspondence from Secretary Chiavetta attached as Exhibit C. Complainant did not serve a copy his Petition on Duquesne Light. Id.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

November 23, 2015

C-2015-2462441

Frank Rezzetano

v.

Duquesne Light Company

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed is a copy of the Initial Decision of the Office of Administrative Law Judge.

If you do not agree with any part of this decision, you may send written comments (called Exceptions) to the Commission. Your signed Exceptions to the decision, if any, must be: 1) **filed** with the Secretary of the Commission, and 2) mailed or hand-delivered to each party of record, **within twenty (20) days** of the date of this letter.

To file Exceptions with the Secretary of the Commission, you must mail or hand-deliver them as follows:

If using U.S. Postal Service:

Secretary
Pa. Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

If using Overnight or Hand Delivery Service:

Secretary
Pa. Public Utility Commission
400 North Street
Commonwealth Keystone Building, 2nd Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Or, instead of mailing or hand-delivering your Exceptions, you may electronically file them with the Secretary of the Commission. To do so, you need to establish an account on the Commission's eFiling system, which may be accessed at <http://www.puc.state.pa.us/eFiling/default.aspx>. Please note that Exceptions sent to the Commission by fax or e-mail will **not** be accepted for filing.

In addition to filing your Exceptions with the Secretary of the Commission, a courtesy copy of your Exceptions should be e-mailed to the Commission's Office of Special Assistants (OSA) at ra-OSA@pa.gov. If the document is too large to e-mail, please mail or hand-deliver a copy on CD-ROM or DVD (or other data storage media), in Microsoft Word 2010 format or other compatible format to either address noted above.

Replies to Exceptions, if any, must be **filed** with the Secretary of the Commission and **served** on each party of record and the Commission's OSA, in the manner described above. **They are due within ten (10) days of the date when Exceptions are due.**

It is your responsibility to serve all the parties with your Exceptions and Replies to Exceptions. Failure to do so may render your filing unacceptable. A certificate of service (see format in 52 Pa. Code §1.58) shall be attached to the filed Exceptions or Replies to Exceptions.

Exceptions and Replies to Exceptions shall follow 52 Pa. Code §§5.533 and 5.535 particularly the 40-page limit for Exceptions and the 25-page limit for Replies to Exceptions. Exceptions should clearly be labeled as "EXCEPTIONS OF (name of party) - (protestant, complainant, staff, etc.)". Any reference to specific sections of the Administrative Law Judge's Initial Decision shall include the page number(s) of the cited section of the decision.

If no Exceptions are received, the decision of the Administrative Law Judge could become final without further Commission action. You will receive written notification if this occurs. However, even if no exceptions are received, the Commission may review and change the decision pursuant to Section 332(h) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. § 332(h).

NW
Enclosures
Certified Mail
Receipt Requested


Very truly yours,

Rosemary Chiavetta
Secretary

EXHIBIT A

PENNSYLVANIA
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Frank Rezzetano

v.

Duquesne Light Company

:
:
:
:
:

C-2015-2462441

FINAL ORDER


In accordance with the provisions of Section 332(h) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. §332(h), the decision of Administrative Law Judge Katrina L. Dunderdale dated November 13, 2015, has become final without further Commission action;

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the complaint of Frank P. Rezzetano, Jr., against Duquesne Light Company, at Docket No. C-2015-2462441, is denied for the failure to satisfy the burden of proof.
2. That the Secretary shall mark this case closed and discontinued.

BY THE COMMISSION,



Rosemary Chiavetta
Secretary

(SEAL)

ORDER ENTERED: December 28, 2015

EXHIBIT B



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
400 NORTH STREET, HARRISBURG, PA 17120

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

January 16, 2016

ALL PARTIES OF RECORD

Re: Frank Rezzetano, Complainant v.
Duquesne Light Company, Respondent
Docket Number: C-2015-2462441

On January 11, 2016, my office received the enclosed Petition for Extension of Time to file Exceptions from the Complainant in the above captioned matter. Our review of the Complainant's filing revealed no certificate of service or other indication that the other parties of record to the case were served with the Complainant's filing. Therefore, we have enclosed a copy of the Complainant's filing to constitute service.

Due to the failure of the Complainant to provide service on all the parties of record to the case, and in order to avoid prejudice to any party, the Respondent shall have until Tuesday, January 26, 2016, to file a response.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta
Secretary

Enclosure
RC: nvl

cc: All Parties of Record
Office of Special Assistants

EXHIBIT C

12-29-15

C-2015-2462441

Secretary PUC

Case # 2015 2462441

I am a Senior Citizen, I have no office nor anyone working for me that could have filed exceptions in this case.

I was in a bad accident in December 2015, I fell off a ladder, head first, and cracked all the ribs on one side, + 3 breaks in my back. I am in terrible discomfort and pain. I was rushed to Presbyterian Hospital trauma center, and came home a week ago. I am in terrible pain and Dr. can't do much about it. I take pills to get the pain edge off, but I have more the pain + discomfort. It will take 6 to 8 weeks for pain to subside.

I am in no condition to think or file properly.

I read this decision, it is all distorted facts of what the facts are. The gist of this case is not in this decision. ~~Get~~ Please grant me at least 30 day extension to file exceptions, thank you

Respectfully

Frank Pizzetana

PO Box 10283

729 PO 19232

4128418278

RECEIVED

DEC 31 2015

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

