COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 7

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Application of Jeffrey R. Larson. For the right to transport, as a common carrier, parcels, packages and items of property, no single parcel, package or item of property to exceed one hundred (100) pounds in weight, between points in the county of Allegheny.

Docket No. A-00111241

Prehearing Conference

Pages 1 through 11

Hearing Room 2 State Office Building 300 Liberty Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Thursday July 14, 1994

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

ROBERT P. MEEHAN, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

GLENN BARTIFAY, Esquire 1408 Fifth Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (For the Applicant)

152DOCUMENT FOLDER

WILLIAM A. GRAY, Esquire 2310 Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (For the Protestants)

DOCKETED

JERI A. SIMON, Esquire 312 Boulevard of the Allies Suite 700 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 (For the Protestants)

JUC2 7 1994

Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.

700 Lisburn Road Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011

(717) 761-7150

1-800-334-1063

1	<u>CONTENTS</u>
2	<u>WITNESSES</u> <u>DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS</u>
3	For the Applicant:
4	(None.
5	For the Protestants:
6	(None.
7	
8	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
9	NUMBERS FOR IDENTIFICATION IN EVIDENCE
10	For the Applicant:
11	(None.)
12	For the Protestants:
13	(None.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Any reproduction of this transcript is
25	prohibited without authorization by the certifying reporter.

P R O C E E D I N G S

10:00 a.m.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ROBERT P. MEEHAN: This is the date set by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for hearing on the application of Jeffrey R. Larson at Docket Number A-00111241. I am Robert Meehan, the Administrative Law Judge assigned by the Commission to preside at the hearing and render an initial decision on the application.

Are there any preliminary matters that need to be addressed before we commence with the hearing?

(No response.)

Hearing none, is the applicant ready to present its case?

MR. BARTIFAY: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE MEEHAN: Okay.

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, I believe this is a preliminary hearing.

JUDGE MEEHAN: So it is a prehearing conference.

MR. GRAY: Right, a prehearing conference.

MR. BARTIFAY: Yes.

JUDGE MEEHAN: I misread the notice. I thought this was going to be a hearing.

MR. GRAY: We may not mind them having to go forward unprepared at this time.

MR. BARTIFAY: No, no.

JUDGE MEEHAN: Noting that correction, what matters need to be addressed by any of the parties?

MR. BARTIFAY: Well, we were hoping to enter into a restricted covenant which would allow for the withdrawal of the protests.

JUDGE MEEHAN: Okay. Have you had any discussions on that so far?

MR. BARTIFAY: No, there hasn't been.

MR. GRAY: I'm not sure, Your Honor, what the restrictive covenant is. We did receive a letter, or I did receive a letter, from counsel for the applicant which had very vague parameters for an amendment which perhaps we could discuss in more detail.

JUDGE MEEHAN: All right.

MR. GRAY: I have two other preliminary matters, if I could, Your Honor.

JUDGE MEEHAN: Go ahead.

MR. GRAY: Hopefully, we can reach some agreement, but just so I don't forget them.

JUDGE MEEHAN: All right.

MR. GRAY: First, Your Honor, I am representing the clients that I filed protests for in this proceeding, and I am also entering an appearance for Courier Unlimited, Inc., at the request of its attorney, Raymond A. Thistle.

Secondly, I had filed interrogatories in this case and

sent them on April 14, and I have not received answers to them. I would request that Your Honor fix a date when answers are due to those, perhaps ten days from today. The answers are long overdue, and I wouldn't want to get too far down the road without having those answers so that I can better prepare my case.

MR. BARTIFAY: Your Honor, if I could respond?

JUDGE MEEHAN: Sure.

MR. BARTIFAY: We weren't served with a copy of the protests for all the parties you're representing but for, I think, Courier Unlimited, and I asked for a copy of the protests for ten days from receiving that, to send a response to the interrogatories. I haven't received it. I don't think that's an unreasonable request.

MR. GRAY: I don't know that it's not unreasonable, but I sent the protests by certified mail and have a certified mail receipt that I sent the protests to them, so I'm not buying this statement that...

MR. BARTIFAY: From Mr. Larson or from our law firm?

MR. GRAY: I sent, by certified mail and have a certified mail receipt as required by the Rules of Practice

of this Commission, to Mr. Hertzberg at the address set forth on the notice.

MR. BARTIFAY: Did someone sign for it from our firm?
MR. GRAY: I assume they did.

MR. BARTIFAY: It's not in our file. If it was signed for, it was misfiled.

JUDGE MEEHAN: The letter transmittal for the protests is April 14th, and it does show copies were sent to counsel for the applicant by certified mail. My understanding is that the interrogatories were sent by regular mail on the 21st of June.

MR. BARTIFAY: Yes, we received the interrogatories.

MR. GRAY: No, the interrogatories were sent on April 14th, Your Honor.

JUDGE MEEHAN: Did you send a second set? A copy of the letter I have from your office is dated June 21st.

MR. GRAY: May I see that, Your Honor?

(Judge handing document to counsel.)

JUDGE MEEHAN: April 14th is the letter I have from your office transmitting the protest to the Commission.

MR. GRAY: Okay. That may be what it is. My notes are confusing. This may very well be right in that the interrogatories were sent on June 21st. In any event, answers are due. And, by the way...

MR. BARTIFAY: Can you send me...

MR. GRAY: Well, I did. I did do that, too. The letter went out to you on July the 12th. That went directly to you.

MR. BARTIFAY: With a copy of the protests?

MR. GRAY: Yes.

MR. BARTIFAY: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GRAY: And, I indicated that we wanted the answers to the interrogatories as soon as possible but in no event later than ten days from the date of this letter.

All I am requesting is that the Judge establish that as a requirement, that the answers be filed.

MR. BARTIFAY: I can stipulate to that, that we will file answers ten days after the receipt of the -- file ten days after, I guess, the mailing date of the protests, which you said was the 12th, correct?

MR. GRAY: I would be satisfied with ten days from today.

MR. BARTIFAY: Okay.

JUDGE MEEHAN: Anything further?

MR. BARTIFAY: Can we discuss restrictive covenants here at this meeting, or do you suggest that we do that outside of the meeting?

JUDGE MEEHAN: If counsel for the protestants is willing to take some time to discuss that possibility, you can do that off the record and I will leave the room.

I don't participate in those discussions, and under the Commission's regulations, that would generally be viewed as the possibility of settling the case, and those matters are off the record and are not put on the record.

If counsel for protestants is willing to take some time to discuss that, that's a possibility, but my experience is that it usually takes more than just a discussion. We can certainly go off the record for a while and let you explain further your position and find out what their positions are if you would like to do that.

MR. BARTIFAY: Yes, we would like to do that if counsel for the protestants agrees.

MR. GRAY: Sure.

MS. SIMON: That's fine with me.

JUDGE MEEHAN: Let's go off the record. We will take a recess and then come back and see if there's any possibility of reaching that, and we can take that into consideration when deciding when the hearing for the application would be scheduled and try to give the parties enough time to finalize it. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

JUDGE MEEHAN: We are back on the record. The parties have been discussing the possibility of achieving a restrictive amendment, and at the moment it appears that that might be a possibility.

In light of that, I am going to request the case be scheduled for hearing some time in September. Hopefully, that will provide enough time that the parties can conclude their discussions. Otherwise, it would be later than that

before the matter could be scheduled for a hearing.

My information, so far today, is that the parties will continue their discussion on the possible restrictive amendment and the applicant will answer the protestants' interrogatories within ten days of today's date.

Was there anything else that needed to be addressed at this particular point in time?

MR. GRAY: The only other request I would have, Your Honor, is, and perhaps we could get an agreement on this, that for any witnesses that the applicant intends to call at the initial hearing, their names, addresses and the companies they represent be provided to us no later than 15 days prior to the hearing.

I believe it's in my interrogatories, and of course they are continuing in nature anyway, but just so that we are clear on the record, if we might. The Rules provide that you give advance notice of witnesses who will be testifying, so I would request that that be provided, if we can't resolve this, within 15 days prior to the scheduled hearing.

MR. BARTIFAY: Yes, that's fine.

JUDGE MEEHAN: All right. Is there anything further that we have to address this morning?

MR. BARTIFAY: No, Your Honor.

MR. GRAY: Nothing.

MS. SIMON: No.

JUDGE MEEHAN: We will adjourn the prehearing conference, and everyone will receive a notice from the Commission when the matter is set for the hearing, and that will let everybody know how much time they have to try and resolve the restricted amendment possibilities.

(Whereupon, at 10:50 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

BY: <u>Jacker Schunger Spin</u>
Barbara Scrimizzi Spin

My Commission expires March 25, 1996.

Notarial Seal

Barbara A. Scrimizzi, Notary Public
Chartiers Twp., Washington Courty
My Commission Expires March 25, 1996

Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries