OALJ Hearing Report



Placka Which Apply

			Piease Ch	ieck i nose biod	CKS VVNICN A	ppiy
Docket No.:	A-00113647C050	1			YES	NO
	- !	• · ·	Prehearing Held	d:		X
Case Name:	PA PUC BTS v G	eorge W Weaver & Sons, Inc.	Hearing Held:		M	
			Testimony Take	en:		X
			Transcript Due:	2/22/2006	\square	
	٢	DOCIMENT	Hearing Conclu			X
Location:	HBG		Further Hearing	Needed:	X.	
	i_	FOLDER	Estimated Add'l	Days:	1	
Date:	February 1, 2006			·····		
			RECORD CLOS	SED:		<u> </u>
ALJ:	David Salapa			DATE:		
	<u> </u>		Briefs to be File	d:		X
Reporting Firm:	Sargents Court R	eporting		DATE:		
	ECEIVED		Bench Decision	:		\square
H	EVENCED		REMARKS:	Further	han	-
	0006		to be	5 Declub	6 Ma	$\sqrt{3}$
PA D.	FEB - 7 2006	90101	Parties won	hy an sott	themant.	ر
FA PUB	LIC UTILITY COMMI CRETARY'S BURE	1U		Done.		
っ <u>た</u> (on mov result in del	v av of processin	~	
Name and To	elephone Number	LEARLY - Incomplete Information	on may result in den		you represer	ntina?
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- ·	
Tony Hindermyer		4721 Broadway St.		Witness. G.W.Wenver + Son		
K GUNDA	4 - 7838	Cit.	Chata 7ia	G.W.We	aver + S	50 M
Tony Hindermyer NA (717)774-7838 IM. (717) 564-5559		ilbg City State Zip PA 17111		717-7740774		
Telephone:		E-mail Address:	Fax Number:			
	11 I Ward			1.11	The	
FREDERICKM, Liddell		t 646 Copper circle		G. W. WEAVER 3 Son		
und in		City	State Zip	117	011	07711
WCkinni	47835	LewisBERRY 6	state ^{Zip}		114	0774
Telephone:		E-mail Address:	•	Fax Number:		
JASON T. FINGER		161 S. FRONT St. Apt1.		B.W. WEAVER \$ SON INC. 7-7-774-07754 WITNUDD		
			2/-	G. W. WE	river i r	<u></u>
17 - 774-78 117 - 939-64		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	State Zip	717-770	40774 ik	Jitne
Telephone:		E-mail Address:		Fax Number:		
	ox if additional parties of	r attendees appear on back of/for	m.	<u> </u>		
	/		manda	i (DIN).	2.	
	2/6/04elp			orter's Signatur	<u></u> re	
Ç						

Note: Completion of this form does not constitute an entry of appearance, see 52 Pa. Code §§1.24 and 1.25.

#194070 rev 11/05

Name and Telephone Number	Address	Who are you representing?	
Sheri Hale	10701d 401 K Rel #34	Witness Harristong Storge Cheorge W. Were Fax Number: 794.0774	
114.2623	New Cumber and Ra 17070	CREDICE W. WENNE	
Telephone:	E-mail Address: GEOWEAV @ AOL. COM	Fax Number: ⁰ 774-0774	
	City State Zip		
Telephone:	E-mail Address:	Fax Number:	
MONROE DOVE	KEYSTONE BLDG City State Zip	Puculitness	
Telephone:	E-mail Address:	Fax Number:	
KURT KAUFFMAN	City State Zip	Pic	
Telephone: フィフ- フをフ- フジャタ	E-mail Address:	Fax Number:	
Steve Ebersole	1700 Mountain view Rd	PUC	
Telephone: 772-4754	HG5StateZipPA110E-mail Address:	Fax Number:	
Telephone. 172-9757			
	City State Zip	-	
Telephone:	E-mail Address:	Fax Number:	
Patricia T. Wiedt	Keystone Bldg. Third Fl.	Pa P. U. C. Bureau of	
POR.	Harvisburg PA 17112	Transportation + Sof	
Telephone: 787-5755	E-mail Address: pwirdt & State parks	Fax Number:	
Francis E Marshall JR Esg	FMARSHAIL & DMCLAW, CO 1200 CAM HILL BY PASS City State Zip	Weaver & Son's	
POK.	CAMP HILL PA 1701	Fax Number:	
Telephone: 008418751980	E-mail Address:	Fax Number: 717 73148	

Note: Completion of this form does not constitute an entry of appearance, see 52 Pa. Code §§1.24 and 1.25.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANA PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION Office of Administrative Law Judge P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265 February 8, 2006

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO OUR FILE

In Re: A-00113647C0501

DOCUMENT

FOLDER

(See letter dated 12/8/05)

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Bureau of Transportation and Safety

> v. George W. Weaver & Sons, Inc.

> > Various Violations

HEARING NOTICE

This is to inform you that a hearing on the above-captioned case will be held as follows:

<u>Type</u>: Further Hearing

Date: Wednesday, May 3, 2006

<u>Time</u>: 10:00 AM

Location: Hearing Room 5 Plaza Level . Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

Presiding: Administrative Law Judge David A. Salapa PO Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265 Phone: (717) 783-5452 Fax: (717) 787-0481

JOCKETED FEB 2 4 2006

<u>Attention</u>: You may lose the case if you do not come to this hearing and present facts on the issues raised.

If you intend to file exhibits, <u>2 copies</u> of all hearing exhibits to be presented into evidence <u>must</u> be submitted to the reporter. An additional copy <u>must</u> be furnished to the Presiding Officer. A copy <u>must</u> also be provided to each party of record.

Individuals representing themselves do not need to be represented by an attorney. All others (corporation, partnership, association, trust or governmental agency or subdivision) <u>must</u> be represented by an attorney. An attorney representing you should file a Notice of Appearance <u>before</u> the scheduled hearing date.

If you are a person with a disability, and you wish to attend the hearing, we may be able to make arrangements for your special needs. Please call the scheduling office at the Public Utility Commission at least (2) two business days prior to your hearing:

• Scheduling Office: (717) 787-1399

L

- AT&T Relay Service number for persons who are deaf or hearing-impaired: 1-800-654-5988
- pc: Judge Salapa Elizabeth L. Plantz, Scheduling Officer T&S - Motor Carrier Beth Plantz Docket Section Calendar File



PLEASE DOCKET

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1200 CAMP HILL BYPASS, SUITE 205 CAMP HILL, PA 17011-3700

> TEL. 717-731-4800 FAX. 717-731-4803 WWW. DMCLAW.COM

Francis E. Marshall, Jr. Attorney-at-Law Admitted in PA RECEIVED 2006 APR - W AH 9: 09 PA BULC. LAVI MUREAU

April 4, 2006

Patricia T. Wiedt Assistant Counsel Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission P.O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

RE: George W. Weaver & Some, Inc. v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission No. A-00113647C0501 Our File No.: B-175

Dear Ms. Wiedt:

I enclosed herewith an original and three (3) copies of the Settlement Agreement that you forwarded to me via e-mail for your signature in the above-referenced matter. Please sign the enclosed Agreements, provide me a signed copy along with verification of the filing of the same.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Very truly yours,

Francis E. Marshall, Jr.

DICKIE, McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.

FEM, Jr./nlb Enclosures Cc: Rick Liddell, President (w/enclosure)

•

.

 New Jersey 856-354-0192

٠

30

Ö

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY	:	
COMMISSION, BUREAU OF	:	
TRANSPORTATION AND SAFETY	:	Docket No.
	:	A-00113647C0501
v.	:	
	:	

GEORGE W. WEAVER & SON, INC.:

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

2005 APR - 5 AH 9:

PA PUC

RECEIVED

THIS AGREEMENT is by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission's ("Commission") Law Bureau Prosecution Staff ("the Prosecution"), through Assistant Counsel Patricia T. Wiedt, and Rick Liddell, President, George W. Weaver & Son, Inc., Respondent ("Respondent" or "the Company"), in the above-captioned proceeding. In pursuance of this Agreement, the Prosecution and Respondent stipulate as follows:

Introduction and Summary of Proceedings I.

Respondent maintains its principle place of business at 165 Lamont Street, 1. New Cumberland, Pennsylvania 17070.

Respondent was issued a certificate of public convenience by the 2. Commission on May 8, 1997, at Application Docket No. A-00113647.

3. Pursuant to its enforcement responsibilities, the Bureau of Transportation and Safety (Bureau) initiated the above-captioned complaint against Respondent. 05 APR - 6 11 1:34 4. On November 24, 2004, Respondent transported household goods for Tessa and Steve Ebersole from 211 Constitutional Court, Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania to 1700 Mountain View Road, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania for \$1,032.81.

5. Steve and Tessa Ebersole ("the Ebersoles") filed a Motor Carrier Complaint Form with the Commission alleging that Respondent only moved a part of their household goods and did not complete their move. In their complaint, the Ebersoles indicated that Respondent only used one of the two trucks dispatched to their residence and that only three of four men sent to their residence moved their furniture. The Ebersoles further alleged that the movers were rude and complained, and one mover walked off the job and remained seated in the truck during most of the move. The Ebersoles indicated that they did not know that Respondent was not going to complete their move until Friday, November 26, 2004, when they called the Respondent's office and discovered that no one was available or working on that day. The Ebersoles complained that they were forced to hire another moving company to complete their move, that they were unable to get another company until November 29, 2004, and that they had to pay extra rent and fees for not vacating their townhouse on time.

6. Upon review of these allegations, the Bureau alleged in the Complaint that Respondent violated 66 Pa. C.S. §1303, by charging a higher rate than that specified in the tariff on file with the Commission and alleged that Respondent violated 66 Pa. C.S. §1501 by failing to maintain, safe, adequate, efficient and reasonable service and facilities for the proper safety of its patrons and public.

7. As a result of Respondent's violation, the Bureau requested that the Commission fine Respondent seven hundred and fifty dollars (\$750.00).

Respondent filed an Answer to the Complaint on June 15, 2005. In its 8. Answer, Respondent stated that it was contesting the Complaint because it had dispatched two trucks and four men to the Ebersoles household according to the estimate and because the Ebersoles conduct was the cause of it pulling out of the move and not completing the move. Respondent indicated that the rate charged was appropriate for the number of trucks and men on the job. Respondent asserted that all four men were on the job and that nobody left the job. Respondent asserted that Mrs. Ebersole's conduct in harassing and interfering with the move coupled with her verbal abuse of the workers reached a level where it was impossible to the professional moving crew to continue to perform its services. Respondent indicated that the reason that it did not go back to complete the move was the decision of the Ebersole's, and that the Ebersole's were given the option of completing the move that same evening. Respondent asserted that it provided as reasonable service as possible due to the course of events, that its workers have been with its company for many years and are professionals, and that it made every attempt possible to provide satisfactory service to the Ebersoles.

9. During all prior settlement negotiations Respondent was firm in its position of requesting a formal hearing, however, on the day of the hearing Respondent was cooperative and participated in extensive settlement discussions in an effort to resolve the matter short of a hearing.

II. Settlement Terms

10. In recognition of the cost of further litigation, the merits of the parties' respective positions, the fact that Respondent has been providing a valuable service for approximately nine years under PUC No. A-00113647 with no complaints, and in recognition of Respondent's efforts to comply with the Commission's regulations, the parties have entered into negotiations and have agreed to settle the complaint with the terms and conditions set forth herein.

11. Respondent admits that by engaging in the actions alleged in the Bureau's Complaint, it violated 66 Pa. C.S. §1303 and §1501 by charging a higher rate than that specified on file with this Commission and by failing to maintain safe, adequate, efficient and reasonable service and facilities for the proper safety of its patrons and public over this Thanksgiving holiday period.

12. The parties agree that Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$250.00, that the allegations in the complaint shall be sustained and agree that Respondent shall waive receipt of any payment from the Ebersoles for the move of household goods of November 24, 2004.

III. Rosi Test

13. In Rosi v. Bell Atlantic Pennsylvania, Inc., 2000 Pa. PUC Lexis 5, C-00992409 (Order entered February 10, 2000), and specifically Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. NCIC Operator Services, M-00001440 (December 20, 2000), the Commission adopted a ten point test for determining whether a particular enforcement outcome is in the public interest, and as more recently discussed in the Commission

policy statement, Proposed Policy Statement for Litigated and Settled Proceedings Involving Violations of the Public Utility Code and Commission Regulations, M-00051875 (August 12, 2005). The Prosecution and Respondent submit that the terms of the Agreement are in the public interest.

14. Rosi (1): Intent. There is no evidence to indicate that Respondent intended to violate the Public Utility Code. As stated by Respondent in its Answer, it dispatched two trucks and four men to the Ebersoles household as per the estimate and it did not complete the move due conflict with the customers.

15. Rosi (2): Restitution. Respondent has agreed to waive any payment from the Ebersoles for the move on November 24, 2004.

16. Rosi (3): Preventative measures. Respondent will ensure that its bills of lading are issued at the correct rates pursuant to its tariff on file with the Commission and will maintain safe, adequate, efficient and reasonable service and facilities.

17. Rosi (4): Number of customers affected. Two customers were affected in this case specifically Steve and Tessa Ebersole.

18. Rosi (5): Procedural context. This settlement was reached immediately prior to a hearing.

19. Rosi (6): Compliance history. Respondent has had no filed complaints in its recent history.

20. Rosi (7): Cooperation. Once settlement negotiations began, Respondent was cooperative in exchanging information and ideas.

21. Rosi (8): Deterrence. Consistent civil penalties are a reliable method for bringing transportation utilities into compliance with Commission regulations. In this instance, the Prosecution recommends a monetary penalty in the amount of \$250.00 for Respondents violation of 66 Pa. C.S. §1501 by failing to maintain, safe, adequate, efficient and reasonable service and facilities for the proper safety of its patrons and public. Furthermore, Respondent has agreed to forego any charges to the complainant.

22. Rosi (9): Past decisions. This Agreement is consistent with prior decisions because it is appropriate based upon the circumstances of this case.

23. Rosi (10): Other relevant factors. Due to the fact that Respondent has a history of compliance with the Commission, and the customers affected by the violations are satisfied with the present Agreement.

IV. Miscellaneous Provisions

24. Disputes concerning the interpretation of this Agreement shall be adjudicated exclusively by the Commission.

25. The statements made in section III of this Agreement relating to the Rosi criteria are made for the sole purpose of demonstrating that this Agreement is in the public interest. The specific statements made therein may not be cited for any other purpose in any other proceeding. This restriction does not apply to the remainder of this Agreement.

26. Without regard to choice of law provisions, Pennsylvania law shall govern this Agreement, and this Agreement will be construed and enforced in accordance therewith. If, and to the limited extent that Pennsylvania law is preempted, the

Agreement shall be governed by, construed, and enforced in accordance with federal law.

27. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the Parties concerning this subject matter. The Agreement is intended to supersede all prior negotiations, understandings, and agreements. There are no other agreements, understandings, representations, or obligations of any kind concerning the subject matter of this Agreement. This Agreement represents a unitary whole, and each and every term herein is an integral part of the entire Agreement.

28. This Agreement is binding on Respondent's successors and assigns. This Agreement shall be effective on the date the Commission approves or is deemed to have approved the Agreement in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure as set forth in 52 Pa. Code § 1.1, et seq.

6,2006 Date:

Patricia T. Wiedt Assistant Counsel PA Public Utility Commission

Date:

Rick Liddell President George W. Weaver & Son, Inc.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

PLEASE DOCKET

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO OUR FILE

April 6, 2006

DOCUMENT FOLDER

Administrative Law Judge David A. Salapa PA Public Utility Commission P.O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

> RE: George W. Weaver and Son, Inc. v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission A-00113647C0501

Dear ALJ Salapa:

Enclosed please find an original and three (3) copies of the Settlement Agreement for the above referenced matter as well as the cover letter from Francis E. Marshall, Jr., counsel for George W. Weaver and Son, Inc. 1 will return a stamped copy of the agreement to his attention.

Very truly yours,

tricia 1. Wedt

Patricia T. Wiedt Assistant Counsel Law Bureau

Enclosures

PA PUC ч С



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION Office of Administrative Law Judge P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265 April 13, 2006

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO OUR FILE

In Re: A-00113647C0501

(See letter dated 2/8/06)

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Bureau of Transportation and Safety V. George W. Weaver & Sons, Inc.

CANCELLATION NOTICE

This is to inform you of the following cancellation due to the filing of a Settlement Agreement:

<u>Type</u>: Further Hearing

Date: Wednesday, May 3, 2006



<u>Time</u>: 10:00 AM

Presiding: Administrative Law Judge David A. Salapa

Please mark your records accordingly.



pc: Judge Salapa Elizabeth L. Plantz, Scheduling Officer T&S - Motor Carrier Beth Plantz Docket Section Calendar File

A-00113647C0501 PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION, BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION AND SAFETY V. GEORGE W. WEAVER & SONS, INC.

RICK LIDDELL PRESIDENT GEORGE W WEAVER & SONS INC 165 LAMONT STREET NEW CUMBERLAND PA 17070

. •

> PATRICIA T WIEDT ESQUIRE PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION LAW BUREAU PO BOX 3265 HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265