

Susan Kreider v. PECO Energy Company
Customer Account #47458-02006
PUC Docket No.: C-2015-2469655

RECEIVED

2016 MAY 19 AM 10: 32

FINAL ARGUMENTS following INITIAL HEARING March 7, 2016
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Administrative Law Judges Darlene D Heep & Christopher P Pell

PA P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

This document due on May 23, 2016, acknowledges the 3rd Anniversary of the date PECO's 'Grid-One Solutions Team' deployed a smart meter on my house. It is telling that PECO refers to their AMI roll-out using this military derived from the late 18th Century French *déployer*, *v. to position (troops or equipment) in readiness for combat, as along a front or line.*

The letter I had received in advance of the deployment failed to warn me of the dangers posed by smart meter microwave technology. PECO announced that it was for our 'mutual convenience' their plan to deploy these meters *under load*, trespassing through neighborhoods with vulnerable outdoor sockets. Though the onset was insidious, a bellwether for such things I soon felt the negative impact this meter had on my health. I reached out to PECO and then consulted an attorney. It is my right to defend my safety.

Of a dozen or so electric metering options and years to carefully plan, PECO chose the least safe with respect to health, privacy and security. They assumed the role not of just monopoly electricity distributor, but captor. Their tactics are harassment, fraud and extortion.

On my last Invoice PECO's Estimated Meter Reading on April 25, 2016 was 13,121 kWh or 390 kWh since their prior reading in March 2016. The Actual Meter Reading on April 27, 2016 was 6,691 or 244 kWh since March 2016. Adjust for the 5,780 kWh they are carrying from the final reading of the smart meter removed August 26, 2014, the sum is 12,471. The difference between PECO's Estimated Readings and my Actual Readings are 650 kWh. *Essentially, PECO is charging me an Opt-Out fee.*

It has become apparent to me that PECO is less concerned about micromanaging my conservative electric usage than they are about losing my spot as a relay position of my neighbor's data on their complex grid.

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission granted a Hearing to resolve these disputes in July of 2015. Much to my inconvenience, PECO cancelled twice with little notice. Despite these fits and starts attributed to PECO's lack of preparedness, PECO now takes issue with me because I did not hire an expert medical witness.

A citizen appearing *pro se* may not have the deep pocket corporate or investor money to bankroll a case as PECO / Exelon Corporation does to hire a medical expert. A victim of PECO's human experiment in

Susan Kreider v. PECO Energy Company

Customer Account #47458-02006

PUC Docket No.: C-2015-2469655

wireless smart metering, implemented without consent, why should I then have to hire an expert to prove I was sickened by the meter and felt better when I removed it? Did Mr. Smith not have my updated Testimony prepared for the Hearing to provide to Mark Israel, MD which detailed this *empirical* evidence? At the Hearing, Smith read from the outdated Notice of Self Help written by my attorney prior to when I experienced the relief from smart meter removal.

Rapid deployment of AMI is irresponsible, worse than human experimentation, because there are no established goals, study pilots, control groups, meaningful data collection, and analysis. PECO's paid experts ignored a large body of scientific literature on the effects of low-level pulsed electromagnetic frequency that its smart meters emit, including microwave warfare dating back to the 1920s and 30s. How dare they opine that both the worsening of my health and the subsequent improvement could not have been caused by the smart meter and its removal?

Mark Israel's testimony was insulting not just to me—a Registered Nurse for 23 years with a Master's of Science Degree in Instructional Technology— but also to everybody in the Courtroom. His opinions were condescending and predictable. In fact, for his paid statements had he come up with any conclusion other than "Ms. Kreider does not have electromagnetic hypersensitivity," well, you could have knocked me over with a feather!

Respectfully submitted,

Susan Kreider

Susan Kreider

c.c.: Shawane L Lee, Esquire
Thomas Carl Watson, Esquire
The Honorable Judge Christopher P Pell, ALJ
The Honorable Judge Darlene D Heep, ALJ
PA PUC Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary

Re: Docket No.: C-2015-2469655

Secretary Chiavetta,

Likely this is no longer relevant
but since I am submitting final
arguments I enclose the copy of my
Certified Mail Receipt.

How it relates to my Docket is
that in his Initial Order Judge
Salapa made a decision not to
rule on health issues because he
had not received my
rebuttal to PECCO's response
to my formal Complaint
but the Receipt time
stamp shows it arrived.



Susan Kreider

Docket # : C-2015-2469655

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
PA Public Utilities Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Bldg
400 North Street, 2nd Fl,
Harrisburg, PA 17120

2. Article Number

(Transfer from service label)

7012 0470 0002 3347 4479

PS Form 3811, July 2013

Domestic Return Receipt

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *William W. Pugh* Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

APR 03 2015

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type

- Certified Mail® Priority Mail Express™
- Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
- Insured Mail Collect on Delivery

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)

Yes

Docket #: C-2015-2469655

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE



First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box •

Susan Kreider
169 W Queen Lane
Philadelphia, PA

19144-6274



Ms. Susan Kreider
169 W. Queen Ln.
Philadelphia, PA 19144-6274



Pa. Public Utilities Commission
Keystone Commonwealth Building
Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta
400 North Street
Harrisburg, Pa 17120-0200

17120\$0079 0000

