

Legal Department 2301 Market Street / S23-1 P.O. Box 8699 Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

Direct Dial: 215-841-6863

August 16, 2016

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street, Second Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Maria Povacz v. PECO Energy Company Docket No. C-2015-2475023

Cynthia Randall and Paul Albrecht v. PECO Energy Company Docket No. C-2016-2537666

Stephen and Diane Van Schoyck v. PECO Energy Company Docket No. C-2015-2478239

Laura Sunstein Murphy v. PECO Energy Company Docket No. C-2015-2475726

Dear Ms. Chiavetta:

Enclosed for filing is the Joint Motion of PECO Energy Company and the Above-Named Complainants for an Omnibus Schedule Revision.

Very truly yours,

Ward L. Smith

Counsel for PECO Energy Company

WS/ab Enclosure cc: Christopher P. Pell, ALJ
Darlene D. Heep, ALJ
Certificate of Service

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Maria Povacz :

:

v. : C-2015-2475023

:

PECO Energy Company :

Cynthia Randail and Paul Albrecht :

v. : C-2016-2537666

.

PECO Energy Company :

Stephen and Diane Van Schoyck :

v. : C-2015-2478239

:

PECO Energy Company :

Laura Sunstein Murphy :

•

v. : C-2015-2475726

:

PECO Energy Company :

Joint Motion of PECO Energy Company and the Above-Named Complainants For An Omnibus Schedule Revision

PECO Energy Company ("PECO") and the four above-named Complainants hereby jointly propose an omnibus revision to the procedural schedules in the PECO AMI cases noted

¹ The Van Schoycks are currently evaluating whether to continue to prosecute their claim, but pending the results of that evaluation join the present motion.

above. The proposed new schedule that we are proposing will, we believe, save substantial time and resources – as many as nine avoided hearing days — for the Commission and the parties.

The basic theme of the new schedule is that Complainants will jointly present their expert witnesses – Dr. Pall and Dr. Marino – only once, and at the earliest opportunity (Sep 14-16). The Complainants and their treating physicians will appear on the first date currently reserved for their cases. The last case will be Ms. Murphy's case in December.² After PECO has heard all of the Complainants' testimony, it will present its witnesses once, in December, and respond to all of the testimony using a single appearance by each PECO witness.

The new proposed schedule has the following attributes and advantages:

- Numerous hearing days will be eliminated.
- Only currently scheduled hearing days will be utilized no new hearing days will need to be coordinated or scheduled.
- Each expert witness will appear only once, absent unusual circumstances described below.
- The Complainants' two expert witnesses Dr. Pall and Dr. Marino will appear Sept.
 14-16 during the window currently scheduled for *Povacz* hearings.³

² Ms. Murphy continues to believe that she will need until December to be sufficiently recovered to appear at hearing.

³ In order to facilitate this early appearance by Dr. Marino – approximately two weeks earlier than previously contemplated – Complainants have agreed to allow a deposition rather than written interrogatories, and PECO has agreed to an appropriate sharing of the cost of the deposition.

- The testimony of Dr. Andrew A. Marino will be incorporated into the docket of each
 of the above-noted proceedings, regardless of whether witness
 identification/testimonial deadlines have passed in a given case.
- The Complainants and their treating physicians in *Randall/Albrecht* and *Van Schoyck* will appear on the dates currently designated for their full evidentiary hearings, which is expected to free four hearing days in each of those weeks.
- Ms. Murphy and her treating physician will appear as scheduled on December 5.
- PECO's witnesses will appear December 6-10, after the final Complainant (Ms.
 Murphy) has rested her case.
- Briefing on all cases will occur thereafter.⁴
- If, for any reason, Ms. Murphy is not available to appear as scheduled on December 5, PECO will proceed that week in the other open cases and present its testimony in those cases. Briefing will proceed in the other cases. In that event, PECO will have the option to file a Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute in *Murphy*. Ms. Murphy will retain all rights to oppose such a Motion and/or to propose an alternative procedure/schedule to finalize her case at that time.
- Complainants will have the option to present oral surrejoinder testimony from Dr.
 Pall or Marino the week of Dec 5-9.

⁴ The parties have different legal theories of the case(s), and therefore do not have a Joint Proposal for the structure of briefs. However, the parties do expect to use a single, omnibus, brief for all cases, and will continue to work to make a Joint Proposal on briefing if Your Honors adopt the schedule proposed in this Joint Motion.

The following table provides a comparison of the existing and proposed schedules:

Proposed Schedule Revision

Dates	Proposed Use	Estimated Duration	Current Use	Hearing Days Saved
Sept 14-16	Complete Pall cross in all cases; Marino direct in all cases; Marino cross in all cases	3 days	Completion of Povacz Hearings	
Sept 22	Free	0 days	Povacz – Eison Testimony	1 day
Sept 26-30	Ms. Randall, Mr. Albrecht, treating physician(s) (one day)	1 day	Full slate of witnesses, both parties, Randall/Albrecht	4 days
Oct 24-28	Mr and Mrs. Van Schoyck, treating physicians	1 day	Full slate of witnesses, both parties, Van Schoyck	4 days
Dec 5-9	Cross of Ms. Murphy and treating physician; PECO rebuttal if needed in Randall and Van Shoyck; PECO oral rejoinder in all cases; cross of PECO witnesses in all cases	5 days	Full slate of witnesses, both parties, Murphy	
Total		10 days		9 days

whether the alternative location is in Harrisburg or Philadelphia. PECO takes no position as to the location of the hearings. Ms. Murphy requests that Your Honors and counsel convene as soon as practicable for a telephone conference regarding the requested alternative hearing location.

Respectfully submitted on August 16, 2016, by:

The above-named Complainants, by:

topan D. Theny

Steve G. Harvey

Steve Harvey Law

1880 JFK Blvd

Suite 1715

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-438-6600

steve@steveharveylaw.com

PECO Energy, by:

Ward Smith

Assistant General Counsel PECO Energy Company

215-841-6863

ward.smith@exeloncorp.com

Shawane Lee

Assistant General Counsel

PECO Energy Company

215-841-6841

shawane.lee@exeloncorp.com

Ed Lanza
The Lanza Firm, LLC
P.O. Box 61336
Harrisburg, PA 17106
717-576-2696
ed@lanzafirm.com

Tom Watson Watson & Renner 202-258-6577 tw@w-r.com

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Maria Povacz

Docket No. C-2015-2475023

V.

PECO Energy Company

Cynthia Randall and Paul Albrecht

V.

PECO Energy Company

Stephen and Diane Van Schoyck

V.

PECO Energy Company

Cynthia Randall and Paul Albrecht

Docket No. C-2016-2537666

Docket No. C-2015-2478239

V.

PECO Energy Company

Docket No. C-2015-2478239

V.

PECO Energy Company

Docket No. C-2015-2475726

٧.

PECO Energy Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ward L. Smith, hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the Joint Motion of PECO Energy Company and the Above-Named Complainants for an Omnibus Schedule Revision in the above matter upon all interested parties via e-mail and postage prepaid to:

Via Electronic Mail

Ed Lanza, Esquire The Lanza Firm, LLC P.O. Box 61336 Harrisburg, PA 17106

Via Electronic Mail

Stephen G. Harvey 1880 JFK Boulevard Suite 1715 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Dated at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, August 16, 2016

Ward L. Smith

Counsel for PECO Energy Company

2301 Market Street, S23-1

Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

(215) 841-6863

Fax: 215.568.3389

Ward. Smith@exeloncorp.com