

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Kenneth Andre Dade EA-6297

v.

Securus Technologies, Inc.

:
:
:
:
:
:

C-2016-2547396


SECOND PREHEARING ORDER

On August 18, 2016, the Respondent in this proceeding, Securus Technologies, Inc. (Securus) filed a Certificate of Satisfaction indicating that the formal complaint filed by the Complainant, Kenneth Andre Dade, had been satisfied. Subsequently, on or about August 23, 2016, the Complainant filed a document titled, "Motion to Strike, Dismiss, or Suspend Tariff No. 3 Supplement No. 5 in its Entirety." In this document, Mr. Dade reasserted the issue raised in his complaint that rates for phone calls placed by inmates from the SCI Fayette facility were increased without prior notice having been provided. Mr. Dade requested in this document, among other things, that he be permitted to go forward with a hearing in this proceeding. He also requested to have "*Pro-Hac-Vice* appointed for the next hearing."

Since Mr. Dade requested in his August 23, 2016 submission that a hearing in this matter be scheduled, and it was filed within ten days of the filing of the Certificate of Satisfaction, I will treat the submission as a timely objection to the Certificate of Satisfaction and direct that a hearing be scheduled to enable Mr. Dade to present evidence on the issues raised in his complaint. A separate telephonic hearing notice will be sent to the parties indicating the date and time of the hearing. You must be available when I attempt to contact you or I will dismiss your case. If you will be at a telephone number that is different than the number on the hearing notice, you must notify me of that telephone number at least seven (7) days before the hearing. All other provisions contained in my prior Prehearing Order dated July 8, 2016 remain applicable.

As noted, the Complainant requested that *Pro-Hac-Vice* be appointed for him for the hearing. Please note that the Commission takes no role in the selection or appointment of counsel for parties to proceedings held before it. If the Complainant desires to be represented by legal counsel in this proceeding, he will have to take whatever steps he deems necessary to secure such representation.

Date: November 7, 2016



Steven K. Haas
Administrative Law Judge

C-2016-2547396 - KENNETH ANDRE DADE v. SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES INC

KENNETH ANDRE DADE EA-6297
PO BOX 9999
LABELLE PA 15450-0999
724.364.2200

DAVID P. ZAMBITO ESQUIRE
COZEN O'CONNOR
17 NORTH SECOND ST SUITE 1410
HARRISBURG PA 17101
717.703.5900
-ACCEPTS E-SERVICE-

