

Legal Department
2301 Market Street / S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

Direct Dial: 215-841-6841

November 14, 2016

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, Second Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Eric Benedetti and Susan Benedetti v. PECO Energy Company
PUC Docket No.: C-2016-2572597

Dear Ms. Chiavetta:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission is *Preliminary Objection of Respondent, PECO Energy Company* with regard to the matter referenced above.

I have enclosed a Certificate of Service showing that a copy of the above document was served on the interested parties. Thank you for your time and attention on this matter.

Very truly yours,



Shawane Lee
Counsel for PECO Energy Company

SL/ab

cc: Certificate of Service

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

ERIC BENEDETTI
SUSAN BENEDETTI
Complainants

v.

PECO ENERGY COMPANY
Respondent

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

DOCKET NO. C-2016-2572597


NOTICE TO PLEAD

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.61(a)(2), you are hereby notified that, if you do not file a written response denying or correcting the enclosed Preliminary Objections of PECO Energy Company within 10 days from service of this notice, a decision may be rendered against you. All pleadings, such as a Reply to Preliminary Objections, must be filed with the Secretary of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, with a copy served to counsel for PECO Energy Company, Shawane L. Lee, and where applicable, the Administrative Law Judge presiding over the issue.

File with:
Rosemarie Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, Second Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

With a copy to:
Shawane L. Lee, Esq.
PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street, S-23
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Dated at Philadelphia, PA, November 14, 2016.



Shawane L. Lee
Counsel for PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street S-23
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215-841-6841
Shawane.Lee@exeloncorp.com

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

ERIC BENEDETTI	:	
SUSAN BENEDETTI	:	
Complainants	:	
v.	:	DOCKET NO. C-2016-2572597
	:	
PECO ENERGY COMPANY	:	
Respondent	:	

**PRELIMINARY OBJECTION OF RESPONDENT,
PECO ENERGY COMPANY**

Respondent, PECO Energy Company (“PECO Energy”), pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(4) respectfully petitions this Honorable Commission to dismiss the instant Complaint as legally insufficient.

1. On October 24, 2016, PECO Energy was served with a formal complaint filed by Eric and Susan Benedetti (hereafter “Complainants”). A copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit “1”.

2. In their Complaint, the Complainants ticked the box “the utility is threatening to shut off my service or has already shut off my service.” See Exhibit “1”.

3. The Complainants ticked the box “I am having a reliability, safety or quality problem with my utility service.”

4. The Complainants ticked the box “Other”.

5. The Complainants attached a letter to their formal complaint listing 10 reasons why they do not want the smart meter installed at their residence.

6. In their request for relief, the Complainants state:

We would like to keep our old analog meter and still have power to our home without threats for disagreeing with their product, smart meter. We are sticking to the customer's "right of contract." If PECO insists on installing a "smart" meter, we want PECO to sign contract stating they will assume all risks to our family, residence, and pets. PECO will promise to pay all damages for any negative consequences associated with a smart meter. If PECO refuses to insure us against the risks of smart meters than we have the right to refuse their smart meter. We have enclosed a letter stating reasons to refuse a smart meter.

We would like to keep our analog meter, not go digital to ensure the safety of our family and the privacy our rights afford us by Amendment 4 of the Constitution.

See Exhibit "1".

7. In essence, the Complainants are requesting to "opt out" of smart meter installation at their residence because they have safety concerns about the meter. The Complainants refuse to have the meter installed and object to PECO's threatened termination of their service for their refusal to have the meter installed.

8. PECO Energy simultaneously filed an Answer and the instant Preliminary Objection.

9. Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101, preliminary objections may be filed against a complaint and dismissed for legal insufficiency. 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(4).

10. Commission procedure regarding the disposition of preliminary objections is similar to that utilized in Pennsylvania civil procedure. Equitable Small Transportation Intervenor. v. Equitable Gas Co., 1994 Pa.PUC LEXIS 69, Docket No. C-00935435 (July 18, 1994).

11. In deciding preliminary objections, the Public Utility Commission must determine, based on the factual pleadings of the petitioner, if relief or recovery is possible. Roc v. Flaherty, 527 A.2d 211 (Pa. Cmwlth 1985).

12. A complaint must be able to recover under the law to survive a preliminary objection. Milliner v. Enck, 709 A.2d 417, 418 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1998) (“preliminary objection should be sustained only where it appears with certainty that, upon the facts averred, the law will not allow the plaintiff to recover”).

13. All of the non-moving party’s averments must be taken as true for the sake of deciding the preliminary objection. County of Allegheny v. Commw. of Pa., 490 A.2d 402 (Pa. 1985).

14. The court does not, however, need to accept, “unwarranted inferences from facts, argumentative allegations, or expressions of opinions.” Feingold v. McNulty, 2009 Phila. Ct. Com. Pl LEXIS 167, *3.

15. Section 703 of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S.A. § 703(b) provides that the Commission may dismiss any complaint without a hearing if, in its opinion, a hearing is not necessary to the public interest.

16. A hearing is required only when there is a disputed question of fact, and is not required to resolve questions of law. Dee-Dee Cab, Inc. v. Pa.Pub. Util. Comm’n, 817 A.2nd 593 (Pa.Commw. Ct. 2003), petition for allowance of appeal denied, 836 A.2d 123 (Pa. 2003).

17. Here, there are no genuine issues of fact and PECO Energy is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to all of the allegations in the Complaint.

I. Legal Insufficiency – Prior Commission Approval of Smart Meter Installation

18. The Complainants have requested that they be permitted to “opt out” of the smart meter installation at their residence and want the PUC to stop installation of the meter because of safety concerns.

19. PECO Energy’s Smart Meter installation plan was approved by the Pennsylvania Utility Commission on May 6, 2010, as a part of the Smart Meter Technology Procurement and Installation Plan, (“Smart Meter/Smart Grid Plan”) at docket number M-2009-2123944.

20. By way of background, Governor Edward Rendell signed Act 129 of 2008 into law on October 15, 2008. The Act took effect 30 days thereafter on November 14, 2008, and amended Section 2807 of the Public Utility Code. Among other things, the Act specifically directed that electric distribution companies (such as PECO Energy) with more than 100,000 customers file smart meter technology procurement and installation plans with the Commission for approval. See 66 Pa. C.S. § 2807(f). The statute does not provide customers with an option to “opt out” of smart meter installation.

21. On June 18, 2009, the Commission adopted a Smart Meter Procurement and Installation Implementation Order (“Implementation Order”) to establish the standards each plan must meet and to provide guidance on the procedures to be followed for submittal, review and approval of all aspects of each smart meter plan. See Smart Meter Procurement and Installation Implementation Order, entered on June 24, 2009, at Docket No. M-2009-2092655.

22. Specifically, the Commission’s Implementation Order states:

Act 129 requires EDCs to furnish smart meter technology (1) upon request from a customer that agrees to pay the cost of the smart meter at the time of the request, (2) in new building construction, and (3) in accordance with a depreciation schedule not to exceed 15 years. 66 Pa.C.S. § 2807(f)(2).

The Commission believes that it was the intent of the General Assembly to require all covered EDCs to deploy smart meters system-wide when it included a requirement for smart meter deployment “in accordance with a depreciation schedule not to exceed 15 years.”

**THEREFORE,
IT IS ORDERED:**

1. That the Commission establishes specific smart meter technology minimum capabilities and procedures for submittal, review and approval of all aspects of each smart meter plan to include cost recovery.
2. That electric distribution companies with greater than 100,000 customers adhere to the guidelines for smart meter technology procurement and installation identified in this Implementation Order.
3. That the Director of Operations convene a stakeholder meeting no later than July 17, 2009, to discuss issues related to the costs and benefits associated with the Commission imposed smart meter capability requirements.
4. That all electric distribution companies that are required to file a smart meter technology procurement and installation plan file such a plan consistent with the directives contained in this order by August 14, 2009.

See id.

23. The Commission’s Order does not have a provision for customers to “opt out” of the smart meter installation.

24. Through its Implementation Order and policies, the Commission has approved the smart meter implementation process required by Act 129.

25. Indeed, Pennsylvania PUC Chairman, Robert F. Powelson stated:

Act 129 of 2008 has really paved the way for the rollout of smart meters, also referred to as Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI), and the implementation of Act 129 continues to benefit Pennsylvania customers. As I see it, smart meter technology is a “win-win” situation for the Commonwealth – both electricity

customers and electricity providers alike reap the benefits of advanced meters.

See PaPUC Chairman Powelson on Smart Meters and Pennsylvania's Energy Future at <http://www.smartgridlegalnews.com/interviews/papuc-chairman-powelson-on-smart-meters-and-pennsylvanias-energy-future/>

26. On August 14, 2009, PECO Energy filed with the Commission its Petition of PECO Energy Company for Approval of its Smart Meter/Smart Grid Plan.

27. Amongst other things, PECO Energy's Petition requested that the Commission approve the deployment of up to 600,000 smart meters. See PECO Energy's Smart Meter/Smart Grid Petition.

28. As a part of PECO Energy's meter deployment plan, the company committed to deploy 600,000 meters by March 2013, upon receiving American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funding from the Department of Energy. See id.

29. PECO Energy's Implementation plan does not give customers the option to "opt out" of smart meter installation.

30. By Order entered May 6, 2010 at Docket No. M-2009-2123944, the Commission approved PECO Energy's Smart Meter/Smart Grid Plan.

31. The Commission's Order approving PECO Energy's plan does not provide an "opt out" provision.

32. In conjunction with the Commission's Implementation Order; the Commission-approved Smart Meter/Smart Grid Plan and continuing compliance with Act 129, PECO Energy has deployed over 194,000 Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") meters in customer's homes and businesses.

33. Act 129, the Commission's Implementation Order; and PECO Energy's Commission-approved Smart Meter/Grid Plan do not provide customers the ability to "opt out" of having a smart meter installed in their homes or businesses. See 66 Pa. C.S. § 2807(f). See also Smart Meter Procurement and Installation Implementation Order, at Docket No. M-2009-2092655. See PECO Energy's Smart Meter/Smart Grid Plan at docket number M-2009-2123944.

34. The absence of an "opt out" provision in the existing statute; Implementation Order; and Smart Meter/Smart Grid Plan is underscored by a recent bill introduced at the General Assembly.

35. House Bill 394, which was introduced on February 9, 2015, seeks to change the existing law by adding a "opt out" provision. Specifically, House Bill 394 reads as follows:

Section 1. Section 2807(f)(2)(iii) of Title 66 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes is amended to read:

§ 2807. Duties of electric distribution companies.

(f) Smart meter technology and time of use rates.-

(2) Electric distribution companies shall furnish smart meter technology as follows:

(iii) In accordance with a depreciation schedule not to exceed 15 years. Customers may opt out of receiving smart meter technology under this subparagraph by notifying, in writing, the electric distribution company. The following shall apply:

A) The electric distribution company shall provide an opt-out form to consumers upon request and may provide a method for consumers to opt out electronically through the electric distribution company's Internet website.

36. House Bill 394 attempts to address individual customer concerns about the smart meter (such as the Complainants' concerns) by allowing individual customers to "opt out" of receiving smart meter technology on the mandatory schedule established by Act 129.

37. The “opt out” provision; however, has not been scheduled for a vote by the General Assembly, and the ability to opt out of smart meter installation is not currently permissible under the law.

38. Administrative Law Judge Joel H. Cheskis reached this conclusion in the matter Maria Povacz v. PECO Energy, Docket No. C-2012-2317176 (Order entered September 28, 2012). In that case, the Complainant, Maria Povacz requested to “opt out” of installation of the smart meter at her residence. Id.

39. ALJ Cheskis issued an Initial Decision wherein he determined:

To the extent that Ms. Povacz desires the ability to opt out of the smart meter installation, she should advocate for such ability before the General Assembly.....The formal Complaint process against one Electric Distribution Company, PECO, is not the appropriate avenue for this issue to be addressed.

Id.

40. On January 24, 2013, the Commission issued a Final Order, adopting ALJ Cheskis’ Initial Decision. In the Order, the Commission specifically addressed whether a PECO customer could “opt out” of meter installation at their property as follows:

There is no provision in the Code, the Commission’s Regulations or Orders that allows a PECO customer to “opt out” of smart meter installation, as the Complainant desires to do. Accordingly, unless and until House Bill 2188, supra, passes the General Assembly, or some other provision is put in place that specifically allows customers to opt out of smart meter installation, PECO has not violated any provision of the Code, any Commission Order or Regulation or any Commission-approved Company tariff by prohibiting the Complainant from opting out.

See Maria Povacz v. PECO Energy, Docket No. C-2012-2317176 (Order adopted January 24, 2013).

41. On September 3, 2015, the Commission entered an Opinion and Order in the Smart Meter health “opt out” case Susan Kreider v. PECO, Docket No. P-2009-2495064 (Opinion and Order entered, September 3, 2015). In that case, the Commission determined that the “law does not prohibit [the Commission] from considering or holding a hearing on issues related to the safety of smart meters.”

42. Since the Kreider Order, the Commission has narrowed the cases that will proceed to hearing. For instance, in Alexander Solowij v. PECO, Docket No. F-2015-2491428 (Opinion and Order entered April 7, 2016), the Complainant objected to the installation of the Smart Meter because he believed if the meter were installed it would aggravate a health condition. PECO terminated Mr. Solowij’s service for his failure to permit installation of the meter. Administrative Law Judge Joel H. Cheskis (“ALJ Cheskis”) granted PECO’s Preliminary Objection and dismissed the complaint.

43. In his Initial Decision, ALJ Cheskis pointed out:

Since the complainant in this case does not allege that [PECO] installed a smart meter at the Complainant’s residence and does not allege that the Complainant has suffered specific physical symptoms resulting from the installation of a smart meter, Kreider is not applicable to this case. This conclusion is supported by the Commission’s statement in Kreider that its decision was not intended to create a broad reaching precedent.

See Alexander Solowij v. PECO, supra.

44. In the case Antonio Romeo v. PECO Energy Company, Docket No. C-2015-2479260 (Initial Decision entered June 4, 2015), the Complainant refused to have a smart meter installed because he feared it would cause fires and affect his safety and health.

45. Administrative Law Judge Elizabeth H. Barnes (“ALJ Barnes”) issued an Initial Decision, which granted PECO’s preliminary objections and dismissed the complaint. ALJ Barnes stated:

Although the Complainant is genuine in his concerns, the Commission’s decisions cited above are controlling. Because Act 129 of 2008 and the Commission’s orders direct the Respondent to develop and implement a smart meter procurement and installation plan and because there is no opt out provision either in the Act or the Commission’s orders, the Complaint is dismissed for legal insufficiency.

46. The Commission adopted Administrative Law Judge Elizabeth H. Barnes’ Initial Decision and determined:

There are some cases, such as this case, however, where a hearing would not alter the inevitable conclusion that this Commission cannot provide the Complainant the relief requested. *See, Floyd v. Verizon Pennsylvania LLC*, Docket No. C-2012-2333157 (Order entered April 4, 2013). Mr. Romeo has not presented a claim to which he could personally testify that would support a finding that a smart meter was responsible for any fire or damage or other specific safety or health affects he experienced within his home. For these reasons, we find *Carlock* distinguishable from the case now before us and agree with the ALJ’s dismissal.

Antonio Romeo v. PECO Energy Company C-2015-2479260 (Opinion and Order entered Mar. 3, 2016)

47. The case at bar is similar to the Romeo case. The Complainants do not have a meter installed and allege they do not want the meter because they have safety concerns and fear the meter will cause “cancer and many other diseases, illness” through electromagnetic radiation. The Complainants have not made any specific factual averments of a safety or health effect experienced. The Complainants speculatively suggest safety and health concerns with the meter and cannot personally testify that a smart meter was responsible for a safety or

health issue that affected them or their home. As the Complainants have not averred a specific safety or health issue caused by the meter, the Complainants' formal complaint must be dismissed as a matter of law.

48. PECO Energy is required by law to install smart meters throughout its service territory, and there is no provision providing customers with an option of "opting out."

49. Assuming that everything the Complainants allege in their Complaint is true, PECO Energy is operating under the basis of Act 129 and the specific direction given to the company by the legislature and the Commission through the Commission's Implementation Order.

50. As the law currently stands, pursuant to Act 129 and the Commission's Implementation Order, customers do not have the ability to "opt out" of smart meter installation.

51. Further, PECO has the right to terminate a customer's service for failure to permit access to install the Smart Meter.

52. Pursuant to Section 10.5, PECO Energy has a right to access the premises of a customer at all reasonable times for the purpose of installing, removing or changing any or all equipment belonging to the company. See Section 10.5 of PECO Electric Service Tariff.

53. Section 18.3 of PECO Energy's tariff, permits the company to terminate a customer for cause if access to the meter is refused. See Section 18.3 of PECO Electric Service Tariff.

54. PECO Energy's Commission approved tariff is prima facie reasonable and has the full force and effect of law. 66 Pa.C.S. § 316; *Kossmann v. PA PUC*, 694 A.2d 1147 (Pa Cmwl 1997); *Brockway Glass Co. v. PA. PUC*, 437 A.2d 1067 (Pa. Cmwl 1981).

55. In this case, the Complainants are refusing the installation of the Smart Meter; therefore, they are subject to termination consistent with Section 10.5 and 18.3 of PECO's tariff.

56. The Complainants' Complaint, objecting to the installation of a smart meter at their home does not allege a violation of any order, law or tariff that can be the basis of any finding against PECO Energy.

57. Because PECO Energy's smart meters are being deployed in compliance with the Commission-approved Smart Meter/Smart Grid Plan, and the law does not provide for the Complainants to "opt out" of smart meter installation, there is no legal basis for the Complainants' Complaint.


58. For the reasons set forth above, the Complainants' Complaint should be dismissed as a matter of law.

59. Therefore, the Complainants are not entitled to relief under the law.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, PECO Energy Company respectfully requests that your Honorable Commission summarily dismiss the Complainants' formal complaint, and all issues which were raised in the Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,



Shawane L. Lee
Counsel for PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street, S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699
(215) 841-6841

Fax: 215.568.3389
Shawane.Lee@exeloncorp.com

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

ERIC BENEDETTI
SUSAN BENEDETTI
Complainants
v.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

DOCKET NO. C-2016-2572597

PECO ENERGY COMPANY
Respondent

VERIFICATION

I, Shawane L. Lee, hereby declare that I am counsel for PECO Energy Company; that as such I am authorized to make this verification on its behalf; that the facts set forth in the foregoing Pleading are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I make this verification subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904 pertaining to false statements to authorities.

Date: November 14, 2016



Shawane L. Lee

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

ERIC BENEDETTI	:	
SUSAN BENEDETTI	:	
Complainants	:	
v.	:	DOCKET NO. C-2016-2572597
	:	
PECO ENERGY COMPANY	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Shawane L. Lee, hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of PECO Energy Company's Preliminary Objection in the above matter upon all interested parties by mailing a copy, properly addressed and postage prepaid to:

Eric Benedetti
Susan Benedetti
3216 Skippack Pike
Worcester, PA 19490
Via First Class Mail

November 14, 2016



Shawane L. Lee
Counsel for PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street, S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699
(215) 841-6841
Fax: 215.568.3389
Shawane.Lee@exeloncorp.com

EXHIBIT 1

Botak, Amy:(PECO)

From: eServe@pa.gov
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 9:21 AM
To: Lee, Shawane L:(PECO)
Cc: Botak, Amy:(PECO)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] PA PUC eServe Notice

Importance: High

Dear Shawane L Lee,

A(n) Formal Complaint has been served in this proceeding. This document is docketed as **C-2016-2572597**.

You may view this document at

Formal Complaint

You are receiving this email because you are a(n) **Respondent** for this case and have agreed to be served electronically. By selecting electronic service (eService), you have agreed that this notification constitutes valid service. Electronic service of any and all documents will be in place of paper service.

Thank You,
Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

** Please do not respond to this automatically generated email.*

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Formal Complaint

Filing this form begins a legal proceeding and you will be a party to the case. If you do not wish to be a party to the case, consider filing an informal complaint.

To complete this form, please type or print legibly in ink.

1. Customer (Complainant) Information

Provide your name, mailing address, county, telephone number(s), e-mail address and utility account number. It is your responsibility to update the Commission with any changes to your address and to where you want documents mailed to you.

Name Eric and Susan Benedetti

Street/P.O. Box 3216 SKIPPACK PIKE PO Box 238 Apt #

City Worcester State PA Zip 19490

County Montgomery

Telephone Number(s) Where We Can Contact You During the Day:

(610) 584-1228 (home)

(610) 608-8182 Eric (mobile)

(610) 608-8172 (Susan)

E-mail Address (optional): Benedetti7@verizon.net, Susan.benedetti@verizon.net

Utility Account Number (from your bill) 11301-01208

If your complaint involves utility service provided to a different address or in a different name than your mailing address, please list this information below.

Name

Street/P.O. Box

City State Zip

2. Name of Utility or Company (Respondent)

Provide the full name of the utility or company about which you are complaining. The name of your utility or company is on your bill.

PECO - an Exelon Company

RECEIVED

OCT 19 2016

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU

3. Type of Utility Service

Check the box listing the type of utility service that is the subject of your complaint (check only one):

- ELECTRIC WASTEWATER/SEWER
 GAS TELEPHONE/TELECOMMUNICATIONS (local, long distance)
 WATER MOTOR CARRIER (e.g. taxi, moving company, limousine)
 STEAM HEAT

4. Reason for Complaint

What kind of problem are you having with the utility or company? Check all boxes below that apply and state the reason for your complaint. Explain specifically what you believe the utility or company has done wrong. Provide relevant details including dates, times and places and any other information that may be important. If the complaint is about billing, tell us the amount you believe is not correct. Use additional paper if you need more space. Your complaint may be dismissed without a hearing if you do not provide specific information.

- The utility is threatening to shut off my service or has already shut off my service.
- I would like a payment agreement.
- Incorrect charges are on my bill. Provide dates that are important and an explanation about any amounts or charges that you believe are not correct. Attach a copy of the bill(s) in question if you have it/them.
- I am having a reliability, safety or quality problem with my utility service. Explain the problem, including dates, times or places and any other relevant details that may be important.
- Other (explain).

Note: If your complaint is only about removing or modifying a municipal lien filed by the City of Philadelphia, the Public Utility Commission (PUC) cannot address it. Only local courts in Philadelphia County can address this type of complaint. The PUC can address a complaint about service or incorrect billing even if that amount is subject to a lien.

In addition, the PUC generally does not handle complaints about cell phone or Internet service, but may be able to resolve a dispute regarding voice communications over the Internet (including the inability to make voice 911/E911 emergency calls) or concerns about high-speed access to Internet service.

6. Requested Relief

How do you want your complaint to be resolved? Explain what you want the PUC to order the utility or company to do. Use additional paper if you need more space.

We would like to keep our ^{old} analog meter and still have power to our home without threats for disagreeing with their product, smart meter. We are sticking to the customers "right of contract."

① If PECO insists on installing a "smart" meter, we want PECO to sign contract stating they will assume all risks to our family, residence, and pets. PECO will promise to pay all damages for any negative consequences associated with a smart meter. If PECO refuses to insure us against the risks of smart meters then we have the right to refuse their smart meter. We have enclosed a letter stating reasons to refuse a smart meter.

② We would like to keep our analog meter, not go digital to ensure the safety of our family + the privacy our rights afford us by Amendment 4 of the constitution.

Note: The PUC can decide that a customer was not billed correctly and can order billing refunds. The PUC can also fine a utility or company for not following rules and can order a utility or company to correct a problem with your service. Under state law, the PUC cannot decide whether a utility or company should pay customers for loss or damages. Damage claims may be sought in an appropriate civil court.

6. Protection From Abuse (PFA)

Has a court granted a "Protection From Abuse" order that is currently in effect for your personal safety or welfare? The PUC needs this information to properly process your complaint so that your identity is not made public.

Note: You must answer this question if your complaint is against a natural gas distribution utility, an electric distribution utility or a water distribution utility AND your complaint is about a problem involving billing, a request to receive service, a security deposit request, termination of service or a request for a payment agreement.

Has a court granted a "Protection From Abuse" order for your personal safety or welfare?

YES

NO

If your answer to the above question is "yes," attach a copy of the current Protection From Abuse order to this Formal Complaint form.

7. Prior Utility Contact

a. Is this an appeal from a decision of the PUC's Bureau of Consumer Services (BCS)?

YES

NO

Note: If you answered yes, move to Section 8. No further contact with the utility or company is required. If you answered no, answer the question in Section 7 b. and answer the question in Section 7 c. if relevant.

b. If this is not an appeal from a BCS decision, have you spoken to a utility or company representative about this complaint?

YES

NO

*- written letter informing PUCO
we did not want a smart meter
in 2015.*

*- spoke w/ them 2/2015 on phone refusing S.M.
- spoke w/ them 10/2016 on phone refusing S.M.*

Note: You must contact the utility first if (1) you are a residential customer, (2) your complaint is against a natural gas distribution utility, an electric distribution utility or a water utility AND (3) your complaint is about a billing problem, a service problem, a termination of service problem, or a request for a payment agreement.

c. If you tried to speak to a utility company representative about your complaint but were not able to do so, please explain why.

The PECO Company refused to hear our complaint and told us we had to conform to their request or they would shut off our power.

Note: Even if you are not required to contact the utility or company, you should always try to speak to a utility or company representative about your problem before you file a Formal Complaint with the PUC.

8. Legal Representation

If you are filing a Formal Complaint as an individual on your own behalf, you are not required to have a lawyer. You may represent yourself at the hearing.

If you are already represented by a lawyer in this matter, provide your lawyer's name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address, if known. Please make sure your lawyer is aware of your complaint. If represented by a lawyer, both you and your lawyer must be present at your hearing.

Lawyer's Name _____

Street/P.O. Box _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Area Code/Phone Number _____

E-mail Address (if known) _____

Note: Corporations, associations, partnerships, limited liability companies and political subdivisions are required to have a lawyer represent them at a hearing and to file any motions, answers, briefs or other legal pleadings.

9. Verification and Signature

You must sign your complaint. Individuals filing a Formal Complaint must print or type their name on the line provided in the verification paragraph below and must sign and date this form in ink. If you do not sign the Formal Complaint, the PUC will not accept it.

Verification:

WE & Susan + Eric Benedetti, hereby state that the facts above set forth are true and correct (or are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief) and that I expect to be able to prove the same at a hearing held in this matter. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

S. Benedetti
(Signature of Complainant)

10-18-16
(Date)

Title of authorized employee or officer (only applicable to corporations, associations, partnerships, limited liability companies or political subdivisions)

Note: If the Complainant is a corporation, association, partnership, limited liability company or political subdivision, the verification must be signed by an authorized officer or authorized employee. If the Formal Complaint is not signed by one of these individuals, the PUC will not accept it.

10. Two Ways to File Your Formal Complaint

Electronically. You must create an account on the PUC's eFiling system, which may be accessed at <http://www.puc.pa.gov/efiling/default.aspx>.

Note: If you are appealing your Bureau of Consumer Services (BCS) decision, you must file your formal complaint by mail.

Mail. Mail the completed form with your original signature and any attachments, by certified mail, first class mail, or overnight delivery to this address:

Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
400 North Street
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Note: Formal Complaints sent by fax or e-mail will not be accepted.

If you have any questions about filling out this form, please contact the Secretary's Bureau at 717-772-7777.

Keep a copy of your Formal Complaint for your records.

10-19-16

10/19/16

Dear Peco Ceo ,President,Case Managers, and Anyone of Concern to this matter:

We are writing you because we have many concerns about "smart" meters. We are NOT giving our consent for installation at our property 3216 Skippack Pike Worcester Pa 19490. We have been informed by legal advice that we have a "right of contract". Our signature or consent must be voluntary and not coerced in anyway. As of 10/18/16 we received a letter from PECO threatening to turn off power if we do not comply with the installation of a smart meter. Our right of contract insures that we may not be penalized for signing or agreeing to anything we disagree with.

We have been informed that if PECO is offering any product that comes with a risk that PECO must insure our family,place of residence, and pets against the risk of having a smart meter . We, The Benedettis are offering PECO a contract called Conditional Acceptance. PECO must sign this contract before we agree to the installation of the smart meter. This contract states that PECO will ACCEPT the dangers and risks associated with smart meters to the Benedetti Family, pets, and residence. By accepting the risk to our family, pets and place of residence PECO MUST promise to pay all damages for any negative consequences associated with the smart meter.

If PECO refuses to insure The Benedetti Family at 3216 Skippack Pike Worcester PA 19490 , then The Benedetti's have the right to refuse your smart meter.

It would be our preference to avoid legal action and look forward to your response.

In addition we have been advised to file a formal grievance complaint to PPUC.

Mr. and Mrs. Benedetti



Eric Benedetti

10/19/16



Susan Benedetti

10/19/16

5.
* letter reasons why Benedetti Family refuses smart meters.

Eric and Susan Benedetti
3218 Skippeck Pike
Worcester, Pa 19490

Peco Utility Co., President, General Manager or Board Chair
PECO
2301 Market Street
N1-12
Philadelphia, PA 19103

10-18-16

Dear Ceo and all Agents, Officers, Employees, Contractors, and Interested Parties:

In regard to your possible intention to install a "smart" or other digital utility meter at the above address, those meters violate the law. The cause endangerment to people in their vicinity due to the following factors:

1. They individually identify electrical devices and record when they are operated, causing invasion of privacy.
2. They monitor household activity and occupancy in violation of rights and domestic privacy.
3. They transmit wireless signals that are interceptable by unauthorized and distant parties.
4. No power company or other individual agency has the consent to conduct surveillance or monitoring or to emit (EMF) on our property or residence with a digital meter.
5. Those with access to the data can review a permanent history of household activities taken and viewed unlawfully and without the consent of occupants and subjects of the surveillance.
6. Those databases may be shared with, or fall into the hands of, unauthorized law enforcement, private hackers of wireless transmissions and other unidentified parties for use against the interests of the energy subscribers and the occupants of the structures.
7. "Smart" meters by definition, surveillance devices that violate federal and state wiretapping laws by recording and storing databases of private and personal activities and behaviors recorded without consent or knowledge of those people who are monitored.
8. It is possible, for example, with analysis of certain "smart" meter data, for unauthorized and distant parties to determine medical conditions, sexual activities, vacancy patterns, general affluence, trade secrets and physical locations of occupants.

9. By intentional transmission and/or incidental disruption of house current, digital meters emit cancer-causing electromagnetic radiation, which violates laws against public endangerment, assault and commission of bodily harm.
10. Digital meters are designed to transmit using electromagnetic radiation known to cause cancer and many other diseases, illness, and symptoms.

For the above reasons, and by right of occupancy and property ownership, I prohibit, and deny consent of, any installation and use of monitoring, eavesdropping, surveillance, and radiation emitting devices on my property and place of residence, especially in the form of a digital, transmitting utility meter.

Any attempt to install any such device directed at me or other occupants on my property or place of residence will constitute trespass, stalking, wiretapping, and assault, all prohibited and punishable by law through criminal and civil actions. All persons, government agencies and private organizations responsible for installing or operating monitoring devices that I consider unlawful will be fully liable for major financial and compliance claims and demands in excess of one million dollars.

This is legal notice. The liabilities and obligations listed above are true and binding upon all parties upon delivery of this notice. These terms and conditions apply without regard to status or existence of any "opt-out" contract.

Under my authority as owner and/or occupant of the above property, and under your implied or expressed application to enter that property, this is an adhesion contract to which you are now bound until and unless you respond with factual rebuttal in a sworn statement by an authorized and identified party within 21 days of this delivery. Any rebuttal must show your authority to install an unlawful radiation-emitting surveillance device (digital electric "meter") on my property without my consent. Expect rebuttal to any such claim. Any failure to timely show or prove full binding authority to install the unlawful and harmful device on my property and/or place of occupancy will be an agreement with all terms and conditions herein. We deny and refuse any past, present, and future proposal, offer, demand or claim contrary to any terms or conditions herein.

Notice to principle is notice to agent, and notice to agent is notice to principal.

Eric Benadetti
Susan Benadetti




10/18/14
10/12/14

Susan + Eric BENEDETTI
3216 SKIDACK PIKE POBX:
Worcester, PA 19440 (238)

CERTIFIED MAIL



7015 3010 0002 0678 0491



1000



17120

U.S. POSTAGE
WORCESTER, PA
OCT 18 1988
\$6.68
R0303K141125-01

RETURN RECEIPT
REQUESTED

SECRETARY
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
400 North St.
Harrisburg, PA 17120

1712080079 C000

