BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement

v. :

PECO Energy Company

ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

C-2015-2514773

On November 23,2016, PECO Energy Company (PECO) filed a prehearing conference memorandum requesting that I issue a protective order in this proceeding, pursuant to 52 Pa.Code § 5.365(a) and enclosed a proposed order with its memorandum. At the prehearing conference held on November 29, 2016, the Commission's Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (I&E) requested additional time to review PECO's proposed protective order. On December 8, 2016, I&E informed me that it had no objection to the proposed protective order.

The Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure permit the Commission to issue protective orders limiting the availability of certain trade secret or other confidential information. 52 Pa.Code § 5.365. The party seeking the protective order has the burden to establish that the potential harm to the party providing the information would be substantial and the harm to the party if the information is disclosed without restriction outweighs the public's interest in free and open access to the administrative hearing process. 52 Pa.Code § 5.365(a), Petition for Protective Order of GTE North Inc., 1996 Pa PUC LEXIS 95, Docket No. G-00940402, (Order entered August 8, 1996); ITT Communications Services' Petition for a Protective Order, 1991 Pa PUC LEXIS 193, Docket No. R-912017, (Order entered November 5, 1991)

In determining whether to issue a protective order, the Commission, pursuant to 52 Pa.Code § 5.365(a), should consider the following factors:

- (1) The extent to which the disclosure would cause unfair economic or competitive damage.
- (2) The extent to which the information is known by others and used in similar activities.
- (3) The worth or value of the information to the party and to the party's competitors.
- (4) The degree of difficulty and cost of developing the information.
- (5) Other statutes or regulations dealing specifically with disclosure of the information.

The proposed protective order defines protected information as Proprietary Information. There are two categories of Proprietary Information: Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information. A producing party may designate as Confidential Information materials that if disclosed would subject a party to the risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury. A producing party may designate as Highly Confidential Information those materials that the producing party deems to be of such a commercially sensitive nature, relative to the business interests of itself or other parties to this proceeding, or of such a private or personal nature, that the producing party determined that a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials is appropriate. The parties shall endeavor to limit the information designated as Highly Confidential Information.

The proposed protective order provides that Confidential Information shall be disclosed solely to counsel to the parties in this proceeding, parties' employees, officers and members who are directly responsible for reviewing, preparing or presenting evidence, cross-examination or argument in this proceeding and outside expert consultants retained by the parties' counsel for this proceeding. Highly Confidential Information shall be provided solely to other parties' counsel and outside expert consultants retained by the parties' counsel for this proceeding.

The Commission's regulation at 52 Pa.Code § 5.365 requires that a protective order apply the least restrictive means of limitation that will provide the necessary protections from disclosure. The proposed protective order does this. Therefore, I will grant PECO's request filed November 23, 2016, for issuance of a protective order.

ORDER

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. That the request for issuance of a protective order, filed November 23, 2016 by PECO Energy Company, is granted.
- 2. The proposed protective order attached to the prehearing conference memorandum filed November 23, 2016 is approved, adopted and incorporated into this order. A copy of the protective order is attached.

Date: December 9, 2016

David A. Salapa

Administrative Law Judge

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement, Complainant

Docket No. C-2015-2514773

V,

PECO Energy Company, Respondent

PROTECTIVE ORDER

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. This Protective Order is hereby GRANTED and shall establish procedures for the protection of all materials and information identified in Paragraphs 2 and 3 below, which are or will be filed with the Commission, produced in discovery, or otherwise presented during the above-captioned proceeding and all proceedings consolidated with it. All persons now or hereafter granted access to the materials and information identified in Paragraph 2 of this Protective Order shall use and disclose such information only in accordance with this Order.
- 2. The information subject to this Protective Order is all correspondence, documents, data, information, studies, methodologies and other materials, whether produced or reproduced or stored on paper, cards, tape, disk, film, electronic facsimile, magnetic or optical memory, computer storage devices or any other devices or media, including, but not limited to, electronic mail (e-mail), furnished in this proceeding that the producing party believes to be of a proprietary or confidential nature and are so designated by being stamped "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material. Such materials are referred to in this Order as "Proprietary Information." When a statement or exhibit is identified for the record, the portions thereof that constitute Proprietary Information shall be designated as such for the record.

- 3. For purposes of this Protective Order there are two categories of Proprietary Information: "CONFIDENTIAL" and "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material. A producing party may designate as "CONFIDENTIAL" those materials that are customarily treated by that party as sensitive or proprietary, that are not available to the public, and that, if generally disclosed, would subject that party or its clients to the risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury. A producing party may designate as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" those materials that are of such a commercially sensitive nature, relative to the business interests of parties to this proceeding, or of such a private or personal nature, that the producing party determined that a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials is appropriate. The parties shall endeavor to limit the information designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material.
- 4. Subject to the terms of this Protective Order, Proprietary Information shall be provided to counsel for a party who meets the criteria of a "Reviewing Representative" as set forth below. Such counsel shall use or disclose the Proprietary Information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, testimony, cross examination or argument in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, such counsel may allow others to have access to Proprietary Information only in accordance with the conditions and limitations set forth in this Protective Order.
- 5. Information deemed "CONFIDENTIAL" shall be provided to a "Reviewing Representative." For purposes of "CONFIDENTIAL" Proprietary Information, a "Reviewing Representative" is a person who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate and is:

- i. A statutory advocate, or an attorney for a statutory advocate pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.8 or an attorney who has formally entered an appearance in this proceeding on behalf of a party;
- ii. An attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in subparagraph (i) above;
- iii. An expert or an employee of an expert retained by a party for the purpose of advising that party or testifying in this proceeding on behalf of that party; or
- iv. Employees or other representatives of a party to this proceeding who have significant responsibility for developing or presenting the party's positions in this docket.
- 6. Information deemed "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material shall be provided to a Reviewing Representative, provided, however that a Reviewing Representative, for purposes of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material, is limited to a person who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate and is:
 - i. A statutory advocate, or an attorney for a statutory advocate, pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.8 or an attorney who has formally entered an appearance in this proceeding on behalf of a party;
 - ii. An attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in subparagraph (i);
 - iii. An outside expert or an employee of an outside expert retained by a party for the purposes of advising that party or testifying in this proceeding on behalf of that party; or
 - iv. A person designated as a Reviewing Representative for purposes of HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material pursuant to paragraph 11.

Provided, further, that in accordance with the provisions of Sections 5.362 and 5.365(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362, 5.365(e)) any party may, by objection or motion, seek further protection with respect to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material, including, but not limited to, total prohibition of disclosure or limitation of disclosure only to particular parties.

- For purposes of this Protective Order, a Reviewing Representative may not be a 7. "Restricted Person" absent agreement of the party producing the Proprietary Information pursuant to Paragraph 11. A "Restricted Person" shall mean: (a) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any competitor of the parties or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services or advising another person who has such duties; (b) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any affiliate of a competitor of the parties (including any association of competitors of the parties) or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services or advising another person who has such duties; (c) an officer, director, stockholder, owner, agent (excluding any person under Paragraph 6.i or 6.ii), or employee of a competitor of a customer of the parties or of a competitor of a vendor of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer or vendor of the parties; and (d) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of an affiliate of a competitor of a customer of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer of the parties; provided, however, that no expert shall be disqualified on account of being a stockholder, partner, or owner unless that expert's interest in the business would provide a significant motive for violating the limitations of permissible use of the Proprietary Information. For purposes of this Protective Order, stocks, partnership or other ownership interests valued at more than \$10,000 or constituting more than a 1% interest in a business establish a significant motive for violation.
- 8. If an expert for a party, another member of the expert's firm or the expert's firm generally also serves as an expert for, or as a consultant or advisor to, a Restricted Person, that expert must: (1) identify for the parties each Restricted Person and all personnel in or associated with the expert's firm that work on behalf of the Restricted Person; (2) take all reasonable steps to segregate those personnel assisting in the expert's participation in this proceeding from those

personnel working on behalf of a Restricted Person; and (3) if segregation of such personnel is impractical, the expert shall give to the producing party written assurances that the lack of segregation will in no way adversely affect the interests of the parties or their customers. The parties retain the right to challenge the adequacy of the written assurances that the parties' or their customers' interests will not be adversely affected. No other persons may have access to the Proprietary Information except as authorized by order of the Commission.

- 9. Reviewing Representatives qualified to receive "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material may discuss HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material with their client or with the entity with which they are employed or associated, to the extent that the client or entity is not a "Restricted Person," but may not share with, or permit the client or entity to review or have access to, the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material.
- Representative in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order, which are hereby expressly incorporated into the certificate that must be executed pursuant to Paragraph 12(a). Proprietary Information shall be used as necessary, for the conduct of this proceeding and for no other purpose. Proprietary Information shall not be disclosed in any manner to any person except a Reviewing Representative who is engaged in the conduct of this proceeding and who needs to know the information in order to carry out that person's responsibilities in this proceeding.
- 11. Reviewing Representatives may not use anything contained in any Proprietary
 Information obtained through this proceeding to give any party or any competitor of any party a
 commercial advantage. In the event that a party wishes to designate as a Reviewing
 Representative a person not described in paragraph 6 (i) through (iii) above, the party must first
 seek agreement to do so from the party providing the Proprietary Information. If an agreement is
 reached, the designated individual shall be a Reviewing Representative pursuant to Paragraph 6

- (iv) above with respect to those materials. If no agreement is reached, the party seeking to have a person designated a Reviewing Representative shall submit the disputed designation to the presiding Administrative Law Judge for resolution.
- 12. (a) A Reviewing Representative shall not be permitted to inspect, participate in discussions regarding, or otherwise be permitted access to Proprietary Information pursuant to this Protective Order unless that Reviewing Representative has first executed a Non-Disclosure Certificate in the form provided in Appendix A, provided, however, that if an attorney or expert qualified as a Reviewing Representative has executed such a certificate, the paralegals, secretarial and clerical personnel under his or her instruction, supervision or control need not do so. A copy of each executed Non-Disclosure Certificate shall be provided to counsel for the party asserting confidentiality prior to disclosure of any Proprietary Information to that Reviewing Representative.
- (b) Attorneys and outside experts qualified as Reviewing Representatives are responsible for ensuring that persons under their supervision or control comply with the Protective Order.
- 13. The parties shall designate data or documents as constituting or containing Proprietary Information by stamping the documents "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material. Where only part of data compilations or multi-page documents constitutes or contains Proprietary Information, the parties, insofar as reasonably practicable within discovery and other time constraints imposed in this proceeding, shall designate only the specific data or pages of documents which constitute or contain Proprietary Information. The Commission and all parties, including the statutory advocates and any other agency or department of state government will consider and treat the Proprietary Information as within the exemptions from disclosure provided in the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Act (65 P.S.

§ 67.101 et seq.) until such time as the information is found to be non-proprietary.

- 14. Any public reference to Proprietary Information by a party or its Reviewing Representatives shall be to the title or exhibit reference in sufficient detail to permit persons with access to the Proprietary Information to understand fully the reference and not more. The Proprietary Information shall remain a part of the record, to the extent admitted, for all purposes of administrative or judicial review.
- 15. Part of any record of this proceeding containing Proprietary Information, including but not limited to all exhibits, writings, testimony, cross examination, argument, and responses to discovery, and including reference thereto as mentioned in paragraph 14 above, shall be sealed for all purposes, including administrative and judicial review, unless such Proprietary Information is released from the restrictions of this Protective Order, either through the agreement of the parties to this proceeding or pursuant to an order of the Commission.
- 16. The parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of Proprietary Information and to question or challenge the admissibility of Proprietary Information. If a party challenges the designation of a document or information as proprietary, the party providing the information retains the burden of demonstrating that the designation is appropriate.
- 17. The parties shall retain the right to object to the production of Proprietary Information on any proper ground, and to refuse to produce Proprietary Information pending the adjudication of the objection.
- 18. Within 30 days after a Commission final order is entered in the above-captioned proceeding, or in the event of appeals, within thirty days after appeals are finally decided, the receiving party, upon request, shall either destroy or return to the parties all copies of all documents and other materials not entered into the record, including notes, which contain any

Proprietary Information. In its request, a providing party may specify whether such materials should be destroyed or returned. In the event that the materials are destroyed instead of returned, the receiving party shall certify in writing to the providing party that the Proprietary Information has been destroyed. In the event that the materials are returned instead of destroyed, the receiving party shall certify in writing to the providing party that no copies of materials containing the Proprietary Information have been retained.

Date:	, 2016	
		David A. Salapa
		Administrative Law Judge

APPENDIX A

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement, Complainant v. PECO Energy Company, Respondent	: Docket No. C-2015-2514773
NON-DISCLO	OSURE CERTIFICATE
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:	
The undersigned is the	of
(the receiving party).	
The undersigned has read an	d understands the Protective Order deals with the
	undersigned agrees to be bound by, and comply with,
the terms and conditions of said Order, whi	
	SIGNATURE
	PRINT NAME
	ADDRESS
	EMPLOYER

DATE:

C-2015-2514773 – PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION BUREUA OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT v. PECO

ROMULO L DIAZ JR ESQUIRE PECO ENERGY COMPANY 2301 MARKET STREET LEGAL DEPT S23-1 PHILADELPHIA PA 19103 215-841-6857 ACCEPTS E SERVICE

HEIDI WUSHINSKE ESQUIRE BI&E 400 NORTH STREET PO BOX 3265 HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265 717-214-9594 ACCEPTS E SERVICE