

Motter, Dawn

From: Colwell, Susan
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2005 9:44 AM
To: Motter, Dawn
Subject: RE C-20043504

No bother at all. Fran asked me about this, and I told her that I think that Doris is the woman's middle name, but that she uses it instead of Margaret. The Complaint I adjudicated was filled out as "Doris," and that is what I called her when I had the telephonic hearing. She indicated that one person lived at the address. I believe that Doris and Margaret are the same person. I have no reason to think anything else.

Thanks for paying attention!
Susan Colwell

-----Original Message-----

From: Motter, Dawn
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2005 4:12 PM
To: Colwell, Susan
Subject: C-20043504

Sorry to bother you Judge Colwell but I discovered a problem with this case. The 1st formal complaint was filed by a Margaret D. Rudacille. That complaint was received on 8/3/04. The 2nd formal complaint was filed by Doris Rudacille. That complaint was received on 8/16/04. Both formal complaints list the SAME address but the handwriting on the complaints is totally different. Page 3 of your decision states that the complainant lives alone. I first thought that maybe Margaret & Doris lived together but your decision states that Doris lives alone. CU2D only lists Margaret Rudacille since she filed the first complaint. I'm just confused about what to do at this point since the names are not matching. I have the document folder out if you want to see it. By the way, My supervisor had told me to e-mail you. Thanks in advance for your assistance.

Dawn M. Motter
Secretary's Bureau
Pa. Public Utility Commission
717-214-7250
E-mail address: DMotter@state.pa.us

KJR

Act 294

Case Identification:

C-20043504; Doris Rudacille v. Columbia Gas of PA, Inc.

Initial Decision By:

ALJ Susan D. Colwell

Deadline for Return to OSA:

May 3, 2005

This decision has not been reviewed by OSA.

RECEIVED

MAY 04 2005

OFFICE OF SPECIAL ASSISTANTS

* * * * *

I want full Commission review of this decision.

Dendell J. Skeland
Commissioner

5/1/05
Date

I do not want full Commission review of this decision.

Commissioner

Date

Act 294

Case Identification:

C-20043504; Doris Rudacille v. Columbia Gas of PA, Inc.

Initial Decision By:

ALJ Susan D. Colwell

Deadline for Return to OSA:

May 3, 2005

This decision has not been reviewed by OSA.

RECEIVED

MAY 03 2005

OFFICE OF SPECIAL ASSISTANTS

* * * * *

I want full Commission review of this decision.

Robert K. Bloom

Commissioner

5-3-05

Date

I do not want full Commission review of this decision.

Commissioner

Date

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
Public Utility Commission
May 4, 2005

SUBJECT: C-20043504 – Doris Rudacille v. Columbia Gas of PA, Inc.

TO: James J. McNulty
Secretary

DOCKETED
JUN 20 2005

FROM: Cheryl Walker, Director
Office of Special Assistants

Pursuant to the requirements of Act 294, (66 Pa. C.S. §332(h)), Chairman Holland and Vice Chairman Bloom have requested full review of the Administrative Law Judge's Initial Decision in the above captioned proceeding. The second request for review was dated May 4, 2005.

Please notify the Office of Administrative Law Judge to prepare the case for consideration at a future Public Meeting.

BTL

**DOCUMENT
FOLDER**

DATE: May 25, 2005

DOCKETED
JUN 20 2005

SUBJECT: C-20043504

TO: Office of Administrative Law Judge

**DOCUMENT
FOLDER**

FROM: James J. McNulty
Secretary
nvl

DORIS RUDACILLE
VS
COLUMBIA GAS OF PENNSYLVANIA INC

BTL

The attached is assigned to your Bureau to prepare the case for consideration at a future Public Meeting

nvl

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P. O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

May 25, 2005

Doris Rudacille
917 West King Street
York Pa 17404

DOCKETED
JUN 20 2005

C-20043504; Doris Rudacille v. Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania Inc

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

BTL


**DOCUMENT
FOLDER**

The purpose of this letter is to advise you that on May 4, 2005 the Commission exercised its right under 66 Pa. C. S. Section 332(h) to review the above captioned case at Public Meeting.

Therefore, the Initial Decision of the Administrative Law Judge will be placed on a future Public Meeting Agenda for the review of the full Commission.

You will be notified at a subsequent date of the action of the Commission in this matter.

Very truly yours,


James J. McNulty
Secretary

nvl

cc: All Parties of Record
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Special Assistants
Law Bureau