

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Sally and Timothy Dingman

v.

Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.

DOCKETED
MAR 05 2007

C-20066955

PREHEARING ORDER

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

An initial telephonic hearing in this case is scheduled for Thursday, March 15, 2007, at 10:00 a.m. Accordingly, the parties are hereby directed to comply with the following requirements:

1. If you intend to present any documents or exhibits for my consideration, you must send one (1) copy to the other party and three (3) copies to me one week before the hearing. Be sure that you serve me directly with a copy of any document that you file in this proceeding at the time of filing. If you send me any correspondence or document, you must send a copy to all other parties. For your convenience, a copy of the Commission's current service list of the parties to this proceeding is enclosed with this Order.

2. If you or any proposed witness will be at a telephone number that is different than the number on the hearing notice, you must notify me of that telephone number at least one (1) week before the hearing.

3. A request for a change of the scheduled hearing date must be submitted in writing no later than five (5) days before the hearing. 52 Pa. Code §1.15(b). Requests for changes of initial hearings must be sent to the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, with copies to all parties of record. The correct address is: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Office of Administrative Law Judge, 1103 Pittsburgh State Office Building, 300 Liberty Avenue,

Pittsburgh, PA 15222-1210 Only the undersigned Administrative Law Judge or Office of Administrative Law Judge Scheduling Unit may grant a request for a change of an initial hearing. Such changes are granted only in rare situations where sufficient cause exists. Should any party have any questions regarding this procedure, you may contact me at 412-565-3550.

4. **YOU MAY LOSE THIS CASE, IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PART IN THIS HEARING AND PRESENT EVIDENCE ON THE ISSUES RAISED.**

5. **ALTHOUGH THIS HEARING IS BEING CONDUCTED TELEPHONICALLY FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE PARTIES, IT IS STILL A FORMAL HEARING AND WILL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COMMISSION'S RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE.**

6. Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §§1.21 & 1.22, you may represent yourself, if you are an individual, or you may have an attorney represent you. However, if you are a partnership, limited liability company, corporation, trust, association or governmental agency or subdivision, you must have an attorney represent you in this proceeding. Unless you are an attorney, you may not represent someone else.


7. The Complainant bears the burden of proof in this proceeding and must show by a preponderance of the evidence that the Respondent has violated the Public Utility Code or a regulation or an Order of this Commission so that the Complainant is entitled to the relief requested in the complaint.

8. If you intend to subpoena witnesses for the hearing, you should review the procedures established in 52 Pa. Code §5.421. You must submit your written application to me sufficiently in advance of the hearing date so the other parties will have the required ten (10) days' notice to answer or object, and so you will have enough time to receive the subpoena and serve it.

9. Any party may conduct discovery to learn the factual basis of another party's position in this case. However, 52 Pa. Code §5.331(b) provides, in relevant part, that "[a] party shall initiate discovery as early in the proceedings as reasonably possible." Additionally, 52 Pa. Code §5.322 provides, in relevant part, that "parties are encouraged to exchange information on an informal basis." All parties are urged to cooperate in informal information exchanges and in conducting discovery. Cooperation is preferable to disagreements, which require my participation to resolve. There are limitations on discovery (52 Pa. Code §5.361) and sanctions for abuse of the discovery process (52 Pa. Code §§5.371 & 5.372).

10. It is the Commission's policy to encourage settlements. 52 Pa. Code §5.231(a). Therefore, you are urged to discuss informally between yourselves the possible settlement of this case at least one week before the hearing. If you are unable to settle this case, you may still resolve as many questions or issues as possible during your informal discussion.

Date: January 31, 2007



Mark A. Hoyer
Administrative Law Judge

ORIGINAL

Hawke

McKeon

Sniscak &

Kennard LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

William T Hawke
Kevin J. McKeon
Thomas J. Sniscak
Norman James Kennard
Lillian Smith Harris
Scott T. Wyland
Todd S. Stewart
Craig R. Burgraff

Steven D. Snyder
Janet L. Miller
Steven K. Haas
William E. Lehman
Rikardo J. Hull
Katherine E. Lovette
Amy A. Whitney

100 North Tenth Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101 Phone: 717.236.1300 Fax: 717.236.4841 www.hmsk-law.com

February 12, 2007

RECEIVED
2007 FEB 12 PM 4:23
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

James J. McNulty, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street - Filing Room (2 North)
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: Sally and Timothy Dingman v. Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.; Docket No. C-20066955; FILING OF CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION

Dear Mr. McNulty:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission are the original and three (3) copies of a Certificate of Satisfaction in connection with the above-referenced case. This Certificate indicates that Verizon Pennsylvania Inc. ("Verizon PA") and Sally and Timothy Dingman resolved the issues raised in the formal complaint filed at Docket No. C-20066955 amicably. The formal complaint filed at Docket No. C-20066955 should be withdrawn and the Commission's file closed.

If you have any questions with regard to this filing, please direct them to me. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Regards,

William E. Lehman
Counsel for Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.

DOCUMENT FOLDER

cc: Honorable Mark M. Hoyer
Stacy Nolan, Scheduling Officer - Office of Administrative Law Judge
Sally and Timothy Dingman

MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 1778 HARRISBURG, PA 17105

67

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

SALLY AND TIMOTHY DINGMAN,

Complainants

v.

VERIZON PENNSYLVANIA INC.,

Respondent

Docket No. C-20066955


RECEIVED
2007 FEB 12 PM 4:23
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION

We, Sally and Timothy Dingman, hereby certify that Verizon PA has satisfied the Complaint filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and docketed at Complaint Docket No. C-20066955. Accordingly, we hereby withdraw our Complaint in this proceeding.

This Certificate is being provided in accordance with 52 Pa. Code §5.24(a).

Dated this 6th day of Feb, 2007.


Sally and Timothy Dingman
1105 Lakeview Drive
Latrobe, PA 15650

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

DOCKETED
FEB 15 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing document upon the parties, listed below, in accordance with the requirements of §1.54 (relating to service by a party).

Service by first class mail:

Sally and Timothy Dingman
1105 Lakeview Drive
Latrobe, PA 15650

RECEIVED
2007 FEB 12 PM 4:23
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Dated this 12th day of February, 2007.



William E. Lehman
Counsel for Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.

ORIGINAL



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
Office of Administrative Law Judge
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265
February 13, 2007

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

In Re: C-200⁶36955

(SEE LETTER DATED 1-23-07)

Sally & Timothy Dingman v. Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.

Cancellation Notice

This is to inform you of the following cancellation:

Type: Initial Telephonic Hearing
Date: Thursday, March 15, 2007
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Presiding: Administrative Law Judge Mark A. Hoyer

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Please mark your records accordingly.

pc: Judge Hoyer
Ona Lester
Beth Plantz
Docket Section
Calendar File

DOCKETED
FEB 20 2007

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

DATE: February 13, 2007

SUBJECT: C-20066955
Sally and Timothy Dingman v. Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.

TO: Wanda Zeiders
Docket Management

FROM: Ona Lester, ALJ Support Staff
Office of Administrative Law Judge

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

On February 13, 2007, a Certificate of Satisfaction was filed in the above-captioned proceeding. If no objection is filed to this certificate within 10 days of the filing, this proceeding will be closed.

All parties should be notified that the case is closed and a copy of that notification placed in the document folder.

Attachment

pc: ALJ Mark A. Hoyer
Beth Plantz
Case File

DOCKETED
FEB 20 2007



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

March 16, 2007

C-20066955

SALLY & TIMOTHY DINGMAN
1105 LAKEVIEW DRIVE
LATROBE PA 15650

SALLY & TIMOTHY DINGMAN
v.
VERIZON PENNSYLVANIA INC

BTL

DOCKETED
MAR 13 2007

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Please be advised that the Commission has marked closed the above-entitled proceeding.

Very truly yours,

James J. McNulty
Secretary

cc: All parties of Record

nvl

DOCUMENT
FOLDER