

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Sergey Karamov

v.

PECO Energy Company

DOCKETED
NOV 27 2006

C-20066841

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2006

PREHEARING ORDER

**DOCUMENT
FOLDER**

An initial telephonic hearing in this case is scheduled for Monday, December 11, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. Accordingly, the parties are hereby directed to comply with the following requirements:

1. If you intend to present any documents or exhibits for my consideration, you must send one (1) copy to the other party and three (3) copies to me. All copies must be received at least five days before the hearing. This includes a copy of a Protection from Abuse (PFA) Order if you marked the "yes" response on the Complaint form that asks if you are a victim under a PFA. Be sure that you serve me directly with a copy of any document that you file in this proceeding at the time of filing. If you send me any correspondence or document, you must send a copy to all other parties. For your convenience, a copy of the Commission's current service list of the parties to this proceeding is enclosed with this Order.

2. If you or any proposed witness will be at a telephone number that is different than the number on the hearing notice, you must notify me of that telephone number at least one (1) week before the hearing.

3. A request for a change of the scheduled hearing date must be submitted in writing no later than five (5) days prior to the hearing. 52 Pa. Code §1.15(b). Requests for changes of initial hearings must be sent to the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, 1103

Pittsburgh State Office Building, 300 Liberty Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222. Only the undersigned Administrative Law Judge or OALJ Scheduling Staff may grant a request for a change of an initial hearing. Such changes are granted only in rare situations where sufficient cause exists. Requests for changes of subsequent hearings, if any, should also be served directly on me.

4. YOU MAY LOSE THIS CASE, IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PART IN THIS HEARING AND PRESENT EVIDENCE ON THE ISSUES RAISED.

5. ALTHOUGH THIS HEARING IS BEING CONDUCTED TELEPHONICALLY FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE PARTIES, IT IS STILL A FORMAL HEARING AND WILL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COMMISSION'S RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE.

6. Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §§1.21 & 1.22, you may represent yourself, if you are an individual, or you may have an attorney represent you. However, if you are a partnership, corporation, trust, association or governmental agency or subdivision, you must have an attorney represent you in this proceeding. Unless you are an attorney, you may not represent someone else.


7. The Complainant bears the burden of proof in this proceeding and must show by a preponderance of the evidence that the Respondent has violated the Public Utility Code or a regulation or an Order of this Commission so that the Complainant is entitled to the relief requested in the complaint.

8. If you intend to subpoena witnesses for the hearing, you should review the procedures established in 52 Pa. Code §5.421. You must submit your written application to me sufficiently in advance of the hearing date so the other parties will have the required ten (10) days' notice to answer or object, and so you will have enough time to receive the subpoena and serve it.

9. Any party may conduct discovery to learn the factual basis of another party's position in this case. However, 52 Pa. Code §5.331(b) provides, in relevant part, that "[a] party shall initiate discovery as early in the proceedings as reasonably possible." Additionally, 52 Pa. Code §5.322 provides, in relevant part, that "parties are encouraged to exchange information on an informal basis." All parties are urged to cooperate in informal information exchanges and in conducting discovery. Cooperation is preferable to disagreements, which require my participation to resolve. There are limitations on discovery (52 Pa. Code §5.361) and sanctions for abuse of the discovery process (52 Pa. Code §§5.371 & 5.372).

10. Commission policy is to encourage settlements. 52 Pa. Code §5.231(a). Therefore, you are urged to discuss informally between yourselves the possible settlement of this case at least one week before the hearing. If you are unable to settle this case, you may still resolve as many questions or issues as possible during your informal discussion.

Date: November 2, 2006


Robert P. Meehan
Administrative Law Judge

Legal Department

Exelon Business Services Company
2301 Market Street/ 523-1
PO Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

Telephone 215 841 5544
Fax 215 568 3389
www.exeloncorp.com

Business Services
Company

ORIGINAL

Direct Dial: 215.841.6841
December 11, 2006

James McNulty, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Second Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RECEIVED

DEC 13 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Re: **Sergey Karamov v. PECO Energy Company**
Docket Number: C-20066841


Dear Secretary McNulty:

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.24(b), PECO Energy Company certifies that the parties in the above referenced complaint have reached an accord.

By copy of this letter, I am alerting the Complainant of its right to object to the closing of this matter in writing to the Public Utility Commission within ten (10) days of the date of this letter.

If additional information is needed about this matter, please contact me at my direct-dial number above. Thank you.

Sincerely,


Michael S. Swerling
Counsel for PECO Energy Company

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

cc: Mr. Sergey Karamov
Administrative Law Judge Robert P. Meehan
Stacey Nolan

BTL

RECEIVED

DEC 13 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

SERGEY KARAMOV
COMPLAINANT

v.

PECO ENERGY COMPANY
RESPONDENT

DOCUMENT
FOLDER


DOCKET NO. C-20066841

DOCKETED
DEC 14 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION

I, Michael S. Swerling, Esquire, representing PECO Energy Company ("PECO Energy") in this matter, hereby certify that the issues raised in the Formal Complaint filed by Complainant with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and docketed at Complaint Docket Number C-20066841 have been satisfied.

This Certificate of Satisfaction is provided pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.24(b). Unless Complainant files an objection to this Certificate within ten (10) days of its filing, the Formal Complaint shall be withdrawn and the Commission's file closed.



Michael S. Swerling
Counsel for PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street, S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699
(215) 841-6841
Michael.Swerling@exeloncorp.com

Date: December 11, 2006

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

DEC 13 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

SERGEY KARAMOV
COMPLAINANT

v.


PECO ENERGY COMPANY
RESPONDENT

DOCKET NO. C-20066841

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael S. Swerling, hereby certify that I have this day served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document upon the interested parties and in the manner indicated below.

Service by first class mail:
Mr. Sergey Karamov
17776 NE 90th Street, T395
Redmond, WA 98052


Michael S. Swerling
Counsel for PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street, S23-1
P. O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699
(215) 841-6841
Michael.Swerling@exeloncorp.com

Dated: December 11, 2006

ORIGINAL

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

DATE: December 11, 2006
SUBJECT: C-20066841
Sergey Karamov v. PECO Energy Company
TO: Wanda Zeiders
Docket Management
FROM: Stacy Nolan, ALJ Support Staff
Office of Administrative Law Judge

On December 11, 2006, a Certificate of Satisfaction was filed in the above-captioned proceeding. If no objection is filed to this certificate within 10 days of the filing, this proceeding will be closed.

All parties should be notified that the case is closed and a copy of that notification placed in the document folder.

The Initial Telephonic Hearing scheduled for December 11, 2006 has been cancelled.

Attachment

pc: ALJ Robert P. Meehan
Beth Plantz
Case File

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

DOCKETED
DEC 12 2006

BTL

OALJ Hearing Report


Please Check Those Blocks Which Apply

Docket No.:	C-20066841		YES	NO
Case Name:	Sergey Karamov	Prehearing Held:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	v.	Hearing Held:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	PECO Energy Company	Testimony Taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Location:	Pittsburgh	Transcript Due:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Hearing Concluded:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Date	December 11, 2006	Further Hearing Needed:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Estimated Add'l Days:		
ALJ:	Robert P. Meehan	RECORD CLOSED:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reporting Firm:	Commonwealth Reporting Company	DATE:	12-11-06	
		Briefs to be Filed:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		DATE:		
		Bench Decision:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		REMARKS:	<i>Certificate of Satisfaction being filed. Case by Secretary letter</i>	

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY - Incomplete Information may result in delay of processing.

Name and Telephone Number	Address	Who are you representing?						
Sergey Karamov	17776 NE 90 th Street, Apt. T-395	Self						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">City</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">State</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">Zip</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Redmond</td> <td style="text-align: center;">WA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">98052</td> </tr> </table>	City	State	Zip	Redmond	WA	98052	BTL
City	State	Zip						
Redmond	WA	98052						
Telephone: 425-373-6033	E-mail Address:	Fax Number:						
Michael S. Swerling, Esq.	2301 Market Street, S23-1 P.O. Box 8699	PECO Energy Company						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">City</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">State</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">Zip</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Philadelphia</td> <td style="text-align: center;">PA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">19101-8699</td> </tr> </table>	City	State	Zip	Philadelphia	PA	19101-8699	DOCUMENT FOLDER
City	State	Zip						
Philadelphia	PA	19101-8699						
Telephone 215-841-6841	E-mail Address:	Fax Number:						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">City</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">State</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">Zip</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </table>	City	State	Zip				DOCUMENT FOLDER
City	State	Zip						
Telephone:	E-mail Address:	Fax Number:						

Check this box if additional parties or attendees appear on back of form.


 Reporter's Signature

Note: Completion of this form does not constitute an entry of appearance, see 52 Pa. Code §§1.24 and 1.25.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

February 1, 2007

C-20066841

SERGEY KARAMOV
17776 NE 90TH STREET
APT T-395
REDMOND WA 98052


SERGEY KARAMOV
V.
PECO ENERGY COMPANY

DOCKETED
JAN 31 2007

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Please be advised that the Commission has marked closed the above-entitled proceeding

Very truly yours,


James J. McNulty
Secretary

nvl
cc: All parties of Record
Office of ALJ

**DOCUMENT
FOLDER**