

BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

ROBERT BURDGE

v.

PECO ENERGY COMPANY

F-02018661

**DOCKETED**  
DEC 22 2006

**DOCUMENT  
FOLDER**

**INTERIM ORDER  
SETTING RESOLUTION CONFERENCE**

On or about November 17, 2006, Robert Burdge ("Complainant") filed a complaint against PECO Energy Company ("Respondent"), at the above-captioned docket number. On or about December 18, 2006, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.

Based upon a review of the information contained in this material, I direct the parties to attempt to resolve this matter themselves. Respondent shall contact Complainant no later than January 4, 2007, to set a mutually convenient time, date and place for Respondent and Complainant to hold a conference about resolving the case. The conference must take place no later than January 18, 2007, unless this is not possible.

**Within ten (10) days following the conference, Respondent shall file a short report with Herbert R. Nurick ("Mediator"), setting forth:**

- (a) The time, date and place of the conference;
- (b) Who participated for each party;
- (c) A statement whether a full resolution, including withdrawal of complaint, was achieved, and, if not, whether the parties consent to have this case set for mediation by the mediation staff of the Commission; and
- (d) A statement of any issues which have been resolved, if a full resolution was not achieved.

The Commission encourages mediation if the parties cannot reach an agreement through the resolution conference. Mediation is an informal process in which the parties attempt to resolve the case with the help of a mediator. The mediator is a neutral staff member of the Commission who does not give advice, represent any party, evaluate or make a decision. Instead, the mediator assists the parties in their efforts to come to an agreement.

If the parties do not reach an agreement on their own, and do not consent to mediate, they are entitled to the hearing process. The hearing process usually includes the presentation of oral testimony and other evidence before a Commission administrative law judge, who will then consider the case and make a written decision to resolve it.


If it is not possible to have the conference by the date set for that purpose, Respondent shall file a report with the Mediator, on or before ten (10) days following the conference due date, giving the reason(s) why the due date could not be met.

In either situation, Respondent must file a report with the Mediator by the applicable due date set forth above.

If you have any questions, you should contact the Mediator. His address and phone number are:

Herbert R. Nurick  
P. O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265  
Telephone: (717) 783-5428  
Email: hnurick@state.pa.us

Date: December 21, 2006

  
VERONICA A. SMITH  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

**Legal Department**

Exelon Business Services Company  
2301 Market Street/5231  
PO Box 2699  
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

Telephone 215 841-5544  
Fax 215 568 3389  
www.exeloncorp.com

Direct Dial: 215-841-6841  
April 16, 2007

James McNulty, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street  
Second Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

**ORIGINAL**

**Re: Robert D. Burdge v. PECO Energy Company**  
**Docket Number: F-02018661**


Dear Secretary McNulty:

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.24(b), PECO Energy Company certifies that the parties in the above referenced complaint have reached an accord.

By copy of this letter, I am alerting the Complainant of its right to object to the closing of this matter in writing to the Public Utility Commission within ten (10) days of the date of this letter.

If additional information is needed about this matter, please contact me at my direct-dial number above. Thank you.

Sincerely,

  
Michael S. Swerling  
Counsel for PECO Energy Company

**DOCUMENT  
FOLDER**

cc: Mr. Robert Burdge  
Mediator Herbert R. Nurick  
PUC Scheduling

**RECEIVED**

APR 17 2007

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

BTL

27



BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

ROBERT BURDGE

COMPLAINANT

v.

PECO ENERGY COMPANY

RESPONDENT

DOCKET NO. F-02018661

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael S. Swerling, hereby certify that I have this day served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document upon the interested parties and in the manner indicated below.


Service by first class mail:

Mr. Robert Burdge  
125 East Mount Airy Avenue  
Philadelphia, PA 19119

RECEIVED

APR 17 2007

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

  
Michael S. Swerling  
Counsel for PECO Energy Company  
2301 Market Street, S23-1  
P.O. Box 8699  
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699  
(215) 841-6841  
Michael.Swerling@exeloncorp.com

Dated: April 16, 2007

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

DATE: April 19, 2007

SUBJECT: Robert D. Burdge v. PECO Energy Company  
F-02018661

TO: Wanda Zeiders  
Docket Management

FROM: Linda Salome, ALJ Support Staff  
Office of Administrative Law Judge

On April 17, 2007, a Certificate of Satisfaction was filed in the above-captioned proceeding. If no objection is filed to this certificate within 10 days of the filing, this proceeding will be closed.

All parties should be notified that the case is closed and a copy of that notification placed in the document folder.

Attachment

pc: Herbert Nurick, Mediation Coordinator  
Beth Plantz  
Case File

**DOCKETED**  
APR 27 2007

DOCUMENT  
FOLDER

BTL