

BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

KAREN JONES

v.

PECO ENERGY COMPANY

F-01968175

DOCUMENT  
FOLDER

**DOCKETED**  
APR 24 2006

INTERIM ORDER  
SETTING RESOLUTION CONFERENCE

On or about March 6, 2006, Karen Jones ("Complainant") filed a complaint against PECO Energy Company ("Respondent"), at the above-captioned docket number. On or about March 30, 2006, Respondent filed an answer to the complaint.

Based upon a review of the information contained in this material, I direct the parties to attempt to resolve this matter themselves. Respondent shall contact Complainant no later than May 1, 2006, to set a mutually convenient time, date and place for Respondent and Complainant to hold a conference about resolving the case. The conference must take place no later than May 15, 2006, unless this is not possible.

Within ten (10) days following the conference, Respondent shall file a short report with Herbert R. Nurick ("Mediator"), setting forth:

- (a) The time, date and place of the conference;
- (b) Who participated for each party;
- (c) A statement whether a full resolution, including withdrawal of complaint, was achieved, and, if not, whether the parties consent to have this case set for mediation by the mediation staff of the Commission; and
- (d) A statement of any issues which have been resolved, if a full resolution was not achieved.

The Commission encourages mediation if the parties cannot reach an agreement through the resolution conference. Mediation is an informal process in which the parties attempt to resolve the case with the help of a mediator. The mediator is a neutral staff member of the Commission who does not give advice, make a decision, or represent any party. Instead, the mediator assists the parties in their efforts to come to an agreement.

If the parties do not reach an agreement on their own, and do not consent to mediate, they are entitled to the hearing process. The hearing process usually includes the presentation of oral testimony and other evidence before a Commission administrative law judge, who will then consider the case and make a written decision to resolve it.


If it is not possible to have the conference by the date set for that purpose, Respondent shall file a report with the Mediator, on or before ten (10) days following the conference due date, giving the reason(s) why the due date could not be met.

In either situation, Respondent must file a report with the Mediator by the applicable due date set forth above.

If you have any questions, you should contact the Mediator. His address and phone number are:

Herbert R. Nurick  
P. O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265  
(717) 783-5428  
Email: hnurick@state.pa.us

Date: April 17, 2006



---

VERONICA A. SMITH  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

**Legal Department**

Exelon Business Services Company  
2301 Market Street/ 523-1  
PO Box 8699  
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

Telephone 215 841 5544  
Fax 215 568 3389  
www.exeloncorp.com

Business Services  
Company

Direct Dial: 215 841 6841

May 10, 2006

# ORIGINAL

James McNulty, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street, Second Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RECEIVED

MAY 10 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
COMMONWEALTH BUILDING


RE. **Karen Jones v. PECO Energy Company**  
**Pa. PUC Docket No.: F-01968175**  
**FILING OF CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION**

Dear Secretary McNulty:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission are the original and three (3) copies of a Certificate of Satisfaction in connection with the above-referenced case. This Certificate indicates that PECO Energy Company and the Complainant have resolved the issues raised in the Formal Complaint. Unless the Complainant files an objection to the enclosed Certificate of Satisfaction within ten (10) days, the Formal Complaint should be withdrawn and the Commission's file closed.

If you have any questions with regard to this filing, please contact me at 215.841.6841. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Lisa A. Lutz  
Counsel for PECO Energy Company

DOCUMENT  
FOLDER

LAL/zr

cc: Ms. Karen Jones  
Mr. Herbert R. Nurick, Mediator  
Sue Licon

RJP

10

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

KAREN JONES  
COMPLAINANT

v.

PECO ENERGY COMPANY  
RESPONDENT

DOCUMENT  
FOLDER

DOCKET NO. F-01968175

**DOCKETED**  
MAY 17 2006


RECEIVED  
MAY 17 2006

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
DOCKET NO. F-01968175

CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION

I, Lisa A. Lutz, Esquire, representing PECO Energy Company ("PECO") in this matter, hereby certify that the issues raised in the Formal Complaint filed by Complainant with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and docketed at Complaint Docket No. F-01968175 have been satisfied.

This Certificate of Satisfaction is provided pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.24(b). Unless Complainant files an objection to this Certificate within ten (10) days of its filing, the Formal Complaint shall be withdrawn and the Commission's file closed.



Lisa A. Lutz  
Counsel for PECO Energy Company  
2301 Market Street, S23-1  
P.O. Box 8699  
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699  
(215) 841-6841  
[lisa.lutz@exeloncorp.com](mailto:lisa.lutz@exeloncorp.com)

Date: May 10, 2006



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

DATE: May 15, 2006  
SUBJECT: Karen Jones v. PECO Energy Company  
F-01968175  
TO: Wanda Zeiders  
Docket Management  
FROM: Linda Salome, ALJ Support Staff  
Office of Administrative Law Judge

DOCUMENT  
FOLDER

On May 10, 2006, a Certificate of Satisfaction was filed in the above-captioned proceeding. If no objection is filed to this certificate within 10 days of service, this proceeding will be closed.

All parties should be notified that the case is closed and a copy of that notification placed in the document folder.

Attachment

pc: Herbert Nurick, Mediation Coordinator  
Beth Plantz  
• Case File

DOCKETED  
MAY 17 2006

RJP