

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

-----X
 :
 ANTONIO WATSON, :
 :
 v. : Docket No.
 : C-20055482
 PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS, :
 Billing dispute. Initial :
 hearing. Call of the Docket. :
 -----X

Pages 1 through 46 Hearing Room 1
 State Office Building
 1400 Spring Garden Street
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Wednesday, March 22nd, 2006

Met, pursuant to notice, at 1:30 p.m.

BEFORE: ANGELA T. JONES, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

ANTONIO WATSON
 708 Yeadon Avenue
 Yeadon, Pennsylvania 19050
 (Pro se)

DOCKETED
OCT 02 2006

Andre. Dasent, Esquire
 785 Bourse Building
 21 South Fifth Street
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106
 (Philadelphia Gas Works)

G. Sm

Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.
 700 Lisburn Road
 Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011

(717) 761-7150

SECRETARY'S BUREAU

20061117-9 PM 1:2

1-800-334-1063

30

C O N T E N T S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

<u>WITNESSES</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
Antonio Watson	4	9	-	-
Miguel Chavarria	15	22	23	-
Tony Langford	28	-		

FORM 2

E X H I B I T S

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>FOR IDENTIFICATION</u>	<u>IN EVIDENCE</u>
<u>PGW's</u>		
No. 1 (Investigator's Notes) ✓	16	16
No. 2 (Memo) ✓	23	23
No. 3 (BCS Decision)	29	29
No. 4 (Bill)	30	30
No. 5 (Document) ✓	31	31
No. 6 (Bills) ✓	34	34

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Any reproduction of this transcript
is prohibited without authorization
by the certifying reporter.

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ANGELA T. JONES: We
 3 are on the record. This is the time and place in the matter
 4 of Antonio Watson versus Philadelphia Gas Works, also known
 5 as PGW. This matter is at Docket No. C-20055482. I am
 6 Administrative Law Judge Angela T. Jones appointed by the
 7 Commission to reside over this matter.

8 I note the appearance of Andre Dasent and
 9 Mr. Watson, the complainant, representing himself.

10 Mr. Watson, I am going to ask you to come over
 11 to this chair, sir, and I will swear you in. Please raise
 12 your right hand.

13 Whereupon,

14 ANTONIO WATSON

15 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

17 JUDGE JONES: Did the company approach you as
 18 far as trying to settle this matter, sir?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 JUDGE JONES: And your response was to have
 21 your day in court; is that correct?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 JUDGE JONES: I have read your complaint.
 24 Please state your address for the record.

25 THE WITNESS: Antonio Watson. My home

1 address?

2 JUDGE JONES: Yes.

3 THE WITNESS: 708 Yeadon Avenue.

4 JUDGE JONES: And your complaint is about a
5 different address?

6 THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 JUDGE JONES: 4140 Parrish Street. And you are
8 the landlord of that address?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 JUDGE JONES: And you are complaining about a
11 bill attributed to the address at Parrish Street?

12 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

13 JUDGE JONES: And the bill you obtained
14 sometime in April of 2005?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes. The bill came to Parrish
16 Street and the tenant that was living on the second and
17 third floor she was getting ready to move. Before she had
18 lived there, I had somebody else already living in the
19 property and they were using the gas. The gas is for the
20 second and third floor. The first floor is all electric.

21 JUDGE JONES: There was a tenant in the
22 Parrish Street property and the Parrish Street property has
23 two tenants: one on the first floor and one that occupies
24 the second and third floor?

25 THE WITNESS: Exactly.

1 JUDGE JONES: And the first floor occupant
2 does not use gas for heat?

3 THE WITNESS: That is correct or hot water
4 for cooking.

5 JUDGE JONES: So the first floor apartment
6 does not use gas at all?

7 THE WITNESS: No.

8 JUDGE JONES: The second and third floor
9 apartment uses gas for heat?

10 THE WITNESS: Heat, hot water, and cooking at
11 the time.

12 JUDGE JONES: So if they do any cooking, if
13 they use any hot water and heat, they would use gas?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 JUDGE JONES: And so a bill would come to the
16 tenant; is that the argument that you have as far as
17 leasing?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 JUDGE JONES: So the tenant is responsible for
20 paying the gas that they use for that apartment?

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 JUDGE JONES: Now, you said that the bill came
23 to 4140 Parrish. Did it come to that residence in your
24 name?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes, it came in my name.

1 JUDGE JONES: Do you recall when that bill
2 came?

3 THE WITNESS: I guess it came around in April
4 because normally I wouldn't get any bills, but the
5 downstairs tenant said, "Antonio, you have a gas bill here."

6 JUDGE JONES: At that time you said that the
7 tenants from the second and third floor apartment was moving
8 out?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 JUDGE JONES: So it's possible that tenant
11 could have accrued gas prior to that date?

12 THE WITNESS: She did. She did because, like
13 I said, before she moved into that property, somebody else
14 was living in that property and they never had a problem
15 with the gas bill, \$4,000 they never complained. And she
16 was there for over a year and she didn't get this gas bill
17 until the end part when she was getting ready to move. So
18 I'm assuming, I don't know, I assume that they let her bills
19 add up. She knew she was moving out.

20 JUDGE JONES: Did there ever come a time that
21 you approached the tenant about this bill?

22 THE WITNESS: Well, when I finally got the
23 bill, she was already gone.

24 JUDGE JONES: And you did not have any further
25 contact with the tenant any further?

1 THE WITNESS: No. They just leave.

2 JUDGE JONES: So, therefore, this tenant you
3 don't have as a witness for this proceeding; is that
4 correct?

5 THE WITNESS: No.

6 JUDGE JONES: Did you have a document as far
7 as the lease for the tenant or was it a verbal
8 understanding?

9 THE WITNESS: I had a lease, but I didn't
10 bring it with me. I didn't know to bring it with me.

11 JUDGE JONES: Is there anything else you wish
12 to tell me about this particular dispute that you have with
13 the gas company?

14 THE WITNESS: They also said --

15 JUDGE JONES: "They" meaning the gas company?

16 THE WITNESS: When the gas company came in,
17 they seen one heater in the basement and they naturally
18 assume that heater was for the whole building, not knowing
19 that the first floor was electric. It was two hot water
20 heaters, so they naturally assumed that that house heater
21 neated the whole building.

22 JUDGE JONES: And your complaint about this
23 is?

24 THE WITNESS: The heater just heats the second
25 and third floors.

1 JUDGE JONES: Also, you have stated prior,
2 that the second and third floor is the only apartment that
3 your building was using gas, the first floor using electric?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 JUDGE JONES: Anything else you wish to tell
6 me, Mr. Watson?

7 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.

8 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent, Mr. Watson is
9 available for cross.

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. DASENT:

12 Q. Just previously, Mr. Watson, what was the name of your
13 tenant who lived there and accumulated charges?

14 A. Rasheedah Rice.

15 Q. Now, when you got the bill the tenant was already
16 gone; is that right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Ms. Rice had already left?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. Did you at any time ask Ms. Rice to sign up for a
21 service with PGW separate and apart from any responsibility
22 that you would have as the landlord for the property?

23 A. I don't understand.

24 Q. Did she sign for service for gas?

25 A. Like Liheap?

1 Q. No, like having the account in her name?

2 A. Yes. It was supposed to be in her name. It was in
3 her name. It was in her name and somehow they put it in my
4 name.

5 Q. Did you ever notify PGW that that tenant was to have
6 responsibility for the second and third floor apartment?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. You notified PGW?

9 A. When I got the bill, I went to 57th and Walnut and I
10 explained to them that the bill was in her name. And they
11 said she complained about paying too much gas and they put
12 the bill in my name.

13 Q. Before that occasion, had you gone down to PGW and
14 said that the tenant was responsible for that bill before
15 that occasion?

16 A. No. Before then the last tenant that was in there the
17 gas was turned over, so she got the gas turned on in her
18 name with the lease.

19 Q. Have you had separate duct work done so that it would
20 be separated from the second and third floor apartment?

21 A. We had all the duct work -- we taped them up so no
22 hot air can come out.

23 Q. And you mentioned two water heaters in the basement:
24 one electric and one gas?

25 A. No. Both are electric but at the time one electric

1 and one gas.

2 Q. Which one was the --

3 A. The one for the second and third floor was gas and the
4 first floor was electric.

5 MR. DASENT: That's all.

6 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Watson, I have a couple of
7 questions just because I'm not clear in reference to the
8 questions that Mr. Dasant asked you. You stated that you
9 did notify PGW that there was a tenant at 4140 Parrish on
10 the second and third floor; is that correct?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes.

12 JUDGE JONES: When did you notify them?

13 THE WITNESS: When the tenant prior to
14 Ms. Rice moved out, I called and I had the gas turned off.

15 JUDGE JONES: Because this is important: The
16 tenant that was occupying 4140 Parrish Street that
17 accumulated the bill that you received in April of 2005 was
18 Ms. Rasheedah Rice?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 JUDGE JONES: Prior to Ms. Rasheedah Rice
21 moving in, you had the apartment on the second and third
22 floor at 4140 Parrish Street gas turned off?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes.

24 JUDGE JONES: And prior to it being turned
25 off, it was occupied but by a different tenant?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

2 JUDGE JONES: Now, after you turned it off,
3 but prior to Ms. Rice vacating the property, did you inform
4 PGW that a new tenant would be on the property and that
5 tenant should receive the gas bill?

6 THE WITNESS: Well, I called PGW -- no, I
7 told them to turn the gas off.

8 JUDGE JONES: So your contact with PGW was to
9 turn the gas off and you asked Ms. Rice to have the gas
10 turned on and to put it in your name, but you did not make
11 that call?

12 THE WITNESS: No, before that the gas wasn't
13 in my name.

14 JUDGE JONES: I just want to clarify what
15 occurred. Now, in reference to the heaters, currently you
16 have electric heaters at this property?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 JUDGE JONES: However, at the time of the
19 dispute there was one electric heater and one gas heater?

20 THE WITNESS: Hot water.

21 JUDGE JONES: A gas hot water heater and an
22 electric hot water heater?

23 THE WITNESS: Exactly.

24 JUDGE JONES: Was there ever a time that you
25 informed PGW that you were the landlord for this property at

1 4140 Parrish Street?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 JUDGE JONES: When did that happen?

4 THE WITNESS: When I called them to have the
5 gas turned off.

6 JUDGE JONES: So that was prior to Ms. Rice
7 occupying --

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 JUDGE JONES: And you said that Ms. Rice
10 occupied the property for over a year; is that correct?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes.

12 JUDGE JONES: So we can assume that she was in
13 the property at or around April 25th, 2004?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent, do you have
16 any questions based on the questions that I have asked
17 Mr. Watson?

18 MR. DASENT: No further questions, your Honor.

19 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Watson you are excused, sir.
20 I'm going to ask you to sit over there, but let me tell you
21 what is going to happen. You have put forward your case on
22 this dispute. Mr. Dasent is now going to put forth the
23 company's case on this dispute. As they were silent when I
24 asked you questions, I am going to ask you to be silent
25 while he asks his witnesses questions. However, as I

1 allowed him to ask you questions, you will be allowed to ask
2 his witness questions. But when I ask you to do that,
3 that's when I am asking you to ask questions, not to argue
4 with the witnesses. I understand that you may not agree
5 with what the witnesses say, but you are to ask questions
6 and not to argue or make statements to the witnesses; do you
7 understand that?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent, I'm ready for your
10 presentation.

11 MR. DASENT: With your Honor's permission, we
12 would like to present Miguel Chavarria as our first witness.

13 JUDGE JONES: Please raise your right hand,
14 sir.

15 Whereupon,

16 MIGUEL CHAVARRIA

17 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

18 JUDGE JONES: You may be seated. Please
19 state your full name spell your last name.

20 THE WITNESS: Miguel Chavarria,
21 C-h-a-v-a-r-r-i-a.

22 JUDGE JONES: By whom are you employed?

23 THE WITNESS: Philadelphia Gas Works.

24 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent, you may continue
25 with this witness.

1 MR. DASENT: Thank you.

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. DASENT:

4 Q. Mr. Chavarria, in what capacity are you employed?

5 A. I'm supervisor for the dispute resolution unit.

6 This unit handles residential and commercial disputes from
7 the customers based at PGW.

8 Q. In this capacity, do you investigate situations where
9 there is foreign load?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. In this particular case, 4140 Parrish was overseen or
12 supervised an investigation of foreign load at that
13 premises?

14 A. Yes, I have.

15 Q. Can you tell us what your findings were and when the
16 investigation took place?

17 A. Initially, when the customer, Ms. Rice, called
18 complaining that she was receiving unusually high bills and
19 she thought that she may be heating the entire building, we
20 went back and got the records to investigate the situation
21 about the gas being turned on to current. What we found was
22 that on April 1st there was a service call.

23 JUDGE JONES: Of what year, sir?

24 THE WITNESS: Of '05. There was a service
25 call, which I believe was the initial turn on, and in that

1 service person's notations were that it was a three-story
2 building, one meter, two apartments, and had a house heater.
3 The size was 137 BTUs. The water heater was a 30,000 BTU
4 and the range was a 40,000 BTU.

5 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Chavarria, you are referring
6 to a document. Are you planning on having that document as
7 an exhibit?

8 MR. DASENT: Actually, no. Those are just the
9 notes that were taken by the investigator. We can mark it,
10 but it's a little bit cryptic.

11 JUDGE JONES: I think it should be marked and
12 Mr. Watson should have a chance to see the document.

13 (Document shown to Mr. Watson.)

14 JUDGE JONES: We'll mark this as PGW Exhibit
15 No. one.

16 (Whereupon, the document was marked as
17 PGW's Exhibit No. 1 for identification
18 and received in evidence.)

19 JUDGE JONES: You may continue, Mr. Chavarria.

20 THE WITNESS: Basically as the document
21 stated, when we do an investigation we go back as far as we
22 can to see what appliances were in a property and how they
23 were servicing the property. So this is what was found back
24 on April 1st of '05. We then attempted to make a field
25 visit and we contacted the customer. And on April -- I'm

1 trying to get the date back out, April 1st. On our second
2 visit, I don't have our exact date in front of me, but we
3 went back out and maybe we should share this.

4 JUDGE JONES: We'll get to that, but I'm
5 trying to get the information out.

6 THE WITNESS: On the second visit it was
7 reported that there was a heater that was implying only the
8 second and third floor at this time. And the situation was
9 different from the first visit that at this point the first
10 floor was all electric and that the heating unit duct work
11 had been blocked off, so it only supplies the second and
12 third floor. And it did have all electric on the first
13 floor and the meter, gas meter, was only supplying gas to
14 the second and third floor units which was Ms. Rice's unit?

15 BY MR. DASENT:

16 Q. When you first inspected the premises on Parrish
17 Street, were the ducts blocked or not? Let me make sure I
18 have an understanding.

19 A. At that time it was noted that the heating unit was
20 supplying heat to the entire building.

21 Q. This is in April of 2005?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. How long a period elapsed before you went back out?

24 A. Approximately a month.

25 Q. When you went back out then the situation had been

1 changed?

2 A. It appears it had been corrected.

3 Q. Any other change in terms of the hot water or
4 appliances in terms of gas or electric fueled?

5 A. It showed that there had been new piping to one of the
6 water heaters and that the gas water heater had been
7 disconnected and that it was now an electric gas hot water
8 heater.

9 Q. In the first instance, when you made your visit in
10 April of 2005 to the premises, was there a foreign load; was
11 that your determination or not?

12 A. On the first visit it was determined that there was
13 foreign load, that the house heater was supplying heat to
14 the entire building and the tenant was living on the second
15 and first floor not the second and third floor.

16 MR. DASENT: That's all we have.

17 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Chavarria, were you the
18 person that conducted the investigation on the first visit,
19 which was April 1st?

20 THE WITNESS: No, I wasn't.

21 JUDGE JONES: You are stating that this visit
22 was because Ms. Rice called and was complaining about a high
23 bill; is that correct?

24 THE WITNESS: No. No, ma'am, it was not.
25 No, your Honor.

1 JUDGE JONES: Why was the company out on the
2 first visit then?

3 THE WITNESS: I'm incorrect. That was the
4 purpose of that first visit.

5 JUDGE JONES: So the company was responding to
6 Ms. Rice saying that she had high bills?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct.

8 JUDGE JONES: And these notes were made in
9 response -- these notes and PGW's Exhibit No. 1 were made in
10 response to what was seen at the first visit?

11 THE WITNESS: At the first visit.

12 JUDGE JONES: I'm sorry, give me a moment. I
13 have questions but I guess -- let me say this: Where in
14 these notes does it say that it appears the heater was
15 heating the entire building?

16 THE WITNESS: That's not on this snapshot of
17 the report.

18 JUDGE JONES: I do see that it has one meter
19 on this particular exhibit, and what is a AWH?

20 THE WITNESS: Automatic water heater.

21 JUDGE JONES: That's what I thought, but I
22 wanted to make sure. And it does say that that was
23 disconnected?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes. However, it does say
25 electric AWH, automatic water heater, was put in and you can

1 see the new piping work to -- NS is where it was cut off.

2 JUDGE JONES: So you could see the new piping
3 work, too. I'm assuming the electric automatic water
4 heater?

5 THE WITNESS: Yes.

6 JUDGE JONES: So it's saying that there are
7 two automatic water heaters?

8 THE WITNESS: But it says one is
9 disconnected.

10 JUDGE JONES: It's saying that there's one
11 meter, so they are talking about the gas meter not the
12 electric meter?

13 THE WITNESS: The gas meter.

14 JUDGE JONES: My question I guess is how,
15 based on this, do we come to the conclusion that the
16 electric was heating the whole house?

17 THE WITNESS: The gas.

18 JUDGE JONES: I mean the gas was heating the
19 whole house.

20 THE WITNESS: Because of the next visit the
21 person reported that the situation was different that now
22 the duct work was closed off and initially it wasn't
23 although it doesn't state it here.

24 JUDGE JONES: My question was based on this,
25 how do we come to the conclusion not based on what the

1 second visit -- my questions based on this, how do we come
2 to the conclusion that gas was heating the whole house.

3 THE WITNESS: Because he's stating that
4 there's only one heater, and he explained one hot water
5 heater; however, he states that that is electric that the
6 gas is disconnected.

7 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Chavarria, listen to what
8 you said. You said that the gas is disconnected.

9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The gas to the
10 automatic water heater is disconnected.

11 JUDGE JONES: So you are stating that the
12 conclusion is made because there's a disconnected -- there's
13 new piping which it says here there's an electric water
14 heater which it says here. There's an electric gas water
15 here that was disconnected which it says here.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 JUDGE JONES: But I'm still trying to make --
18 to logically come to the conclusion that the gas was heating
19 the whole house. Is it not possible that even though
20 there's one gas meter, that they could have electric
21 baseboard in the unit; that could have some other
22 electricity devise, they could have kerosene. Is that not
23 possible?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

25 JUDGE JONES: So, again, my question, and this

1 is critical for the company's case, how based on these
2 documents do we come to the conclusion that the whole house
3 was heated by gas?

4 THE WITNESS: Based on the report that the
5 service person is saying that there is just one heater for
6 the entire place.

7 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Watson, do you have any
8 questions for Mr. Chavarria?

9 CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. WATSON:

11 Q. I see that they say that the electric was -- first
12 electric and they disconnected the gas and made it electric,
13 but if I disconnected the gas upstairs how would she have
14 heat?

15 A. What it is saying that the gas lines to the water
16 heater was disconnected and it appears that there's now a
17 new electric water heater possibly for that unit the.

18 Q. So how did they figure the first floor was getting the
19 hot water?

20 A. I'm only going by their report. If I were to go by
21 this report, I would say that that water heater was possibly
22 supplying both units.

23 Q. Do you think that a 30,000 BTU could do three floors?

24 A. Absolutely.

25 MR. WATSON: I have no further questions.

1 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent?

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. DASENT:

4 Q. Mr. Chavarria, you also referred to a second visit
5 during the course of your direct examination. Did the
6 second visit by PGW was it undertaken by the same PGW's
7 representative?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Who conducted the second visit?

10 A. One of my employees conducted the second visit.

11 MR. DASENT: Let me mark for identification,
12 because I think it would offer some clarity to Her Honor and
13 Mr. Watson, to the second visit a memo that you are
14 referring to.

15 BY MR. DASENT:

16 Q. Is this memo prepared --

17 JUDGE JONES: Do you have a copy for
18 Mr. Watson?

19 MR. DASENT: Yes.

20 JUDGE JONES: You are offering this as an
21 exhibit, Mr. Dasent?

22 JUDGE JONES: Yes. We would like to mark
23 for identification PGW-2 bearing memorandum which
24 Mr. Chavarria can now identify for the record.

25 (Whereupon, the document was marked as

1 PGW's Exhibit No. 2 for identification
2 and received in evidence.)

3 BY MR. DASENT:

4 Q. Before you is there a memo that I just handed
5 concerning one of your service text reports after an
6 investigation on Parrish Street?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Could you explain for the record what that report
9 states?

10 A. It's a visit to the property and the findings of the
11 appliances at the property for the entire building.

12 Q. Does the exhibit indicate that there's been any
13 changes to the premises?

14 A. It does indicate that there have been changes in the
15 duct work. Apparently this heating unit is a furnace which
16 supplies hot air heating to the building and it supplies by
17 means of duct work, and this duct work has been disconnected
18 to the first floor unit.

19 Q. At the time of the second visit was the duct work now
20 sealed off or now open to heat the whole building?

21 A. It was sealed off at this time.

22 Q. Does the service technician indicate that there was a
23 difference from the first visit?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Based upon these two reports in their totality, does

1 it lead you to any conclusions with respect to the existence
2 or nonexistence of foreign load during some period of time
3 and could identify that for us?

4 A. What it appears is that there may be the heating unit
5 supplying the entire building, and that at some point in
6 between the two visits that the connections were made so
7 that the first floor was totally sufficient and independent
8 of the second floor.

9 Q. According to this report, was this subsequent visit
10 undertaken? Does it indicate --

11 A. 4/2 of '05 was the first visit.

12 Q. And the second visit was it in July?

13 A. July 7th of '05.

14 MR. DASENT: Thank you. That's all we have.

15 JUDGE JONES: I am now going to be comparing
16 PGW with PGW Exhibit-1. Mr. Watson is responsible for PGW
17 Exhibit-2?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Watson is not responsible
20 for PGW Exhibit No. one?

21 THE WITNESS: Correct.

22 JUDGE JONES: So Mr. Watson is making a
23 comparison on what happened on the first exhibit from the
24 notes that were taken, which is PGW exhibit No. one?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 JUDGE JONES: I guess my question is there
2 must be something on PGW Exhibit No. one that Mr. Watson saw
3 that I am not seeing. The reason I say that is, Mr. Watson
4 in PCW exhibit two, makes the statement the duct work was
5 connected on the first visit. PGW Exhibit No. one doesn't
6 really say that. PGW Exhibit No. one talks about new piping
7 work for the automatic water heater, but it doesn't really
8 talk about the duct work. So is there something else that
9 the company is planning on showing me in reference to
10 Exhibit-1?

11 MR. DASENT: Nothing.

12 BY MR. DASENT:

13 Q. Mr. Chavarria, would you agree with me, sir, that PGW
14 Exhibit No. one does not talk about duct work?

15 A. No, it doesn't.

16 JUDGE JONES: We are talking about the same
17 meter, however, because I see in PGW Exhibit No. one at the
18 line service point, I go over to the letters MTR, which I'm
19 assuming stands for meter, and it has the number 1730512.
20 And from the first line of PGW Exhibit No. 2 in the
21 paragraph it says field visit on 7/7/2005 found meter number
22 1730512 in basement, so I know we are talking about the same
23 gas meter. I guess, Mr. Chavarria, if this is all the
24 company is planning to present me, I have to go with what
25 they are presenting to me. That's not a question to you.

1 Mr. Chavarria, you would agree with me that
2 PGW Exhibit No. 2 states that the author is unable to
3 determine when adjustments were made; is that not correct?

4 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

5 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Watson, do you have any
6 questions for Mr. Chavarria?

7 MR. WATSON: No.

8 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent.

9 MR. DASENT: Just one final question.

10 BY MR. DASENT:

11 Q. Of the universal document that we showed to your Honor
12 and Mr. Watson Exhibit-1 and 2 that's been so marked, is
13 there any other information that was available to the
14 company that lend or was used in coming to the conclusion
15 that there was foreign load at the premises, and I don't
16 even know the answer to that?

17 A. Based on the information that I was given?

18 Q. Yes.

19 A. I can only go by the information that I was given so I
20 would have to say no.

21 MR. DASENT: That's all we have.

22 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Chavarria, thank you, sir.
23 You are excused.

24 (Witness excused.)

25 MR. DASENT: I would like to call as our

1 second witness, Mr. Tony Langford from PGW.

2 Whereupon,

3 TONY LANGFORD

4 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

5 JUDGE JONES: Please state your full name
6 spelling your last name for the record.

7 THE WITNESS: Tony Langford, L-a-n-g-f-o-r-d

8 JUDGE JONES: By whom are you employed?

9 THE WITNESS: Philadelphia Gas Works.

10 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent, you may continue
11 with your witness.

12 DIRECT EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. DASENT:

14 Q. Mr. Langford, you are employed by PGW in what
15 capacity?

16 A. Customer review officer. We investigate and write a
17 report for any complaints emanating from the Public Utility
18 Commission.

19 Q. Have you investigated this particular matter at 4140
20 Parrish Street?

21 A. Yes. I didn't do the actual investigation; I did the
22 overview for the hearing.

23 Q. In preparing for the hearing, did you look at the BCS
24 Decision that was rendered in this case?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. What was the finding?

2 A. The BCS Decision agreed with PGW's finding that there
3 was a case of foreign load and that the owner, Mr. Antonio
4 Watson, should bear responsibility for that usage.

5 Q. Did it give any reasoning how they reached that
6 conclusion?

7 A. They had an initial report from Rasheedah Rice that
8 Mr. Chavarria referred to, and he had a second report that
9 was even investigated and sent on Mr. Antonio Watson, so
10 they had one previous report and one current report to
11 arrive at their decision.

12 MR. DASENT: We would like to mark for
13 identification as PGW-3 the BCS decision that was rendered
14 in connection with this property and their various timings.
15 We have that as part of the packet previously marked as one
16 but I didn't realize we were going to have other exhibits.

17 JUDGE JONES: It will be so marked. Do you
18 have a copy for Mr. Watson?

19 MR. DASENT: Yes.

20 (Whereupon, the document was marked as
21 PGW's Exhibit No. 3 for identification
22 and received in evidence.)

23 BY MR. DASENT:

24 Q. Mr. Langford, I would also like to turn your attention
25 to a second document which is a history of the account 4041

1 Parrish Street, and we would like to mark that for
2 identification as PGW Exhibit 4.

3 (Whereupon, the document was marked as
4 PGW's Exhibit No. 4 for identification
5 and received in evidence.)

6 JUDGE JONES: It is so marked.

7 BY MR. DASENT:

8 Q. Now, in the history request form for this particular
9 account, what does it show?

10 A. This shows the transactions that occurred on this
11 account for Mr. Antonio Watson. What happened -- what had
12 happened was once the decision was rendered by the PGW, they
13 had them transferred the account for Mr. Watson, so we
14 opened a new account for Mr. Watson and we transferred
15 \$4,436.34 to this new account. And that's noted as
16 transaction type in the amount on the first row and the
17 transaction dated was April 11th of 2005.

18 Q. This was based upon the BCS determination?

19 A. Yes, based on the decision, correct.

20 Q. Since then, are there any additional charges against
21 that that have been added?

22 A. There were two -- three bills generated as an active
23 account. When Mr. Watson opened informal complaint, we had
24 to transfer that amount in dispute out of the account into a
25 high bill dispute bucket so to speak. And that's where the

1 second transfer is originating from on June 16th of '05, and
2 there's also here bills generated and the decision was
3 rendered by the PUC. So they approved the amount as noted
4 on October 21st, '05. They transferred \$5,239.87 back to
5 the general service account of Mr. Watson.

6 Q. In whose name is the account at the present time?

7 A. I really don't have that information. I do know that
8 it was closed at this amount under Mr. Watson's name for the
9 amount specified on the history report.

10 Q. Has a new tenant tried to open service under a new
11 name other than Mr. Watson for that premise?

12 A. I don't have that information. I know this isn't a
13 particular point of contention but let me refer you to the
14 relation of board and taxes.

15 MR. DASENT: And I would like to mark it as
16 PGW Exhibit No. 5.

17 JUDGE JONES: It shall be so marked.

18 (Whereupon, the document was marked as
19 PGW's Exhibit No. 5 for identification
20 and received in evidence.)

21 BY MR. DASENT:

22 Q. Mr. Langford, would you explain for the record what
23 this document tells us?

24 A. This document indicates the date of sale and who the
25 property was sold to. This property location is 4140

1 Parrish Street, the owner is Antonio Watson, and it gives
2 list -- mailing address and gives property characteristics.

3 One of the items that was indicated is the sale date
4 occurred on May 15th of 1997. So this document indicates
5 that Mr. Watson is the current owner of this property in
6 question.

7 Q. Based on the information you've gathered in
8 preparation of this hearing, have you learned at any time
9 that PGW was notified that there was a tenant that was
10 supposed to be charged for usage of the premises?

11 A. No.

12 MR. DASENT: Thank you. That's all I have.

13 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Langford, good afternoon,
14 sir.

15 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

16 JUDGE JONES: In your exchange with
17 Mr. Dasent, you referenced the PGW Exhibit No. 3 which is
18 the BCS report, and you state that in coming to their
19 decision they had documents from Ms. Rasheedah Rice?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 JUDGE JONES: Do I have that document in front
22 of me, sir?

23 THE WITNESS: I have it in my possession,
24 your Honor, but I didn't bring it as an exhibit. It's
25 related to the case but I treat it as two different cases.

1 JUDGE JONES: And also the document that you
2 stated was referenced and put from Mr. Watson. I assume
3 that document I don't have before me as well?

4 THE WITNESS: I do have that.

5 JUDGE JONES: But I don't have that in front
6 of me?

7 MR. DASENT: We can provide it, your Honor.

8 JUDGE JONES: You are presenting your case,
9 sir.

10 MR. DASENT: I understand.

11 JUDGE JONES: Referring to PGW Exhibit No. 4,
12 the company provides the account as it is under Mr. Watson.
13 However, the company does not provide the account as it
14 accrued under Ms. Rice; is that correct?

15 THE WITNESS: This report doesn't.

16 JUDGE JONES: And Mr. Antonio has not disputed
17 that he is the owner of the property and he has not disputed
18 that there was a transfer from Ms. Rice to him. So exhibit
19 No. 4 provides information; however, I'm more interested in
20 the accrual of the account of Ms. Rice. Is the company
21 planning on providing that?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can provide her billing
23 history. I have a copy of the actual bills generated if I
24 can go and pull them out of my folder.

25 JUDGE JONES: Do you have a copy for

1 Mr. Watson? You need to make copies.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 JUDGE JONES: Off the record.

4 (Discussion held off the record.)

5 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Langford, we spoke off the
6 record about bills for this particular account or Parrish
7 Street. Can you tell us about the bills identified in the
8 document under the account 986223537 for Parrish Street and
9 the customer's name is on the top of the sheet Ms. Rasheedah
10 Rice.

11 JUDGE JONES: We'll mark this as PGW Exhibit
12 No. 6.

13 (Whereupon, the document was marked as
14 PGW's Exhibit No. 6 for identification
15 and received in evidence.)

16 JUDGE JONES: You may proceed.

17 THE WITNESS: This is the billing history of
18 Rasheedah Rice from the time her service was started until
19 it was closed. Closing date was April 1st, 2005, and it
20 gives the amount of the bills, the dates of the billing
21 periods, and whether the readings were estimated were actual
22 readings. So she shows a history of estimated readings for
23 the first eight to ten months, and then we start getting
24 actual readings, which we use -- once you get an actual bill
25 or reading, it brings you back in lineup.

1 BY MR. DASENT:

2 Q. Does this tie in with the history of the account that
3 we referred to earlier?

4 A. Yes, it does.

5 Q. And it documents that Ms. Rasheedah Rice had usage at
6 that --

7 JUDGE JONES: It shows accurate service at
8 4140 Parrish Street.

9 MR. DASENT: That's all I have, your Honor.

10 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Langford, PGW Exhibit No. 6
11 is a history of bills, not a mystery of the account, so I do
12 not have before me payments, correct?

13 MR. DASENT: I don't have payment, but it's
14 under Mr. Watson after the service was change so there's no
15 payment made on the account.

16 JUDGE JONES: Under read code, what is
17 regular --

18 THE WITNESS: That's an actual reading from
19 the AMR device on the meter.

20 JUDGE JONES: So that's an automatic meter
21 read?

22 THE WITNESS: Right.

23 BY MR. DASENT:

24 Q. Under usage to the left of the read code, the period
25 bill date starts like August 2nd, 2004 to September 1st,

1 2004. Do you see the usage of 218 CCFs?

2 A. Yes.

3 JUDGE JONES: Do you see the bill amount in
4 connection with that usage, sir?

5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

6 JUDGE JONES: And that bill amount is \$49.50?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes.

8 JUDGE JONES: Do you see that, sir?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 BY MR. DASENT:

11 Q. Going down below that, do you see the bill amount the
12 same at \$49.50, sir?

13 A. Yes, I do.

14 Q. Do you see the usage in CCF below that is completely
15 different than the 218 CCFs, sir?

16 A. Yes, I do.

17 JUDGE JONES: Can you explain to me how that
18 usage can be so different yet the bill amount be the same?

19 THE WITNESS: This customer was on a CRP
20 customer responsibility program and her monthly payments
21 were \$49.50, so that's what she was billed regardless of her
22 usage because she was a member of this low income customer
23 responsibility program. So even though it might have a
24 reading going from one index to the other, the actual usage
25 is reported in the system, but it's not manifested on the

1 actual bill except for the billing statement. It indicates
2 what is used -- how much is used per billing period.

3 JUDGE JONES: I would accept that explanation
4 except for the fact that above the bill date that I point
5 out to you, between August 2nd, 2005 to September 1st, 2004,
6 there are usage CCF readings considerably less than 218 but
7 more than those that are under that bill date. However, the
8 bill amount fluctuates. The CRP monthly amount does not but
9 the bill amount does. So I'm trying to understand based on
10 your explain nation, sir, how this is reflected on this
11 document, PGW Exhibit No. 6?

12 THE WITNESS: Based on what I have on the
13 documents in front of me, the periods of August 2nd, '04 to
14 September 1st, '04 the start read was 8980, and the meter
15 2,21.18. The following month, it's actually the same but
16 the indexes are different. It seems to be a conflict of
17 what the indexes are.

18 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Langford, it looks to me
19 that the system took the read from the meter read start date
20 6987 to 9005 and it came up with 2018. That's what it looks
21 like to me, because if you look on July 1st to August 2nd,
22 2004, the last meter was 6987 end meter read date; do you
23 have see that, sir?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 JUDGE JONES: I'm looking at what you are

1 looking at and I'm seeing what it's calculating but I'm
2 having a hard time making the bill amount?

3 THE WITNESS: Maybe this will help: the 6987,
4 if you scroll over to our right, you see where this is an
5 estimate, so that was an estimated reading. We got an
6 actual reading of 8980 and billed it to 9005. The billing
7 system we have sometimes is a redundancy. You have readings
8 during billing periods but it records the readings and it
9 records the usage, so if we also look over at least go up
10 another level and you see the index of 6987, which is
11 actually the estimated reading, so it estimated from the
12 last estimate reading to the first actual reading.

13 JUDGE JONES: I don't have a problem with
14 that. As I stated to you, it looks like the system measured
15 from 6987 to 9005 because that's the regular reading, right?

16 THE WITNESS: It appears to be two different
17 readings that the system bills itself on. The last actual
18 estimated reading was 6987. It appears that 8980 was the
19 first actual read after the period of estimated readings so
20 that reading was entered.

21 Apparently, we have another reading of 9005
22 and it billed to index 9090 for 45 cubic feet, so it
23 corrected itself. Once we get an actual reading, they
24 override and it corrects the account and the usage. And
25 then we go where the last actual reading was 9005. That was

1 a start index for the next billing period of October 1st,
2 '04 to November 1st, '04, so 9005 was the first reading in
3 the previous -- actually the last reading from the last
4 reading cycle up to 9253, which is the actual end reading so
5 203 cubic feet was used during that billing period. So now
6 we are getting to the actual readings and the system is
7 billing for what is actually used.

8 JUDGE JONES: I don't have a problem with
9 that explanation, Mr. Langford. My problem resides with the
10 period from August 2nd, to September 1st, 2004 where the
11 systems recode says regular. The usage says 2018; however,
12 the bill amount says \$49.59. That's where my problem is.

13 THE WITNESS: The indexes that are recorded on
14 this document are what was used to bill for that period.
15 There is a redundancy in this system as we can see where
16 2018 cubic feet is registered on the billing history. Now,
17 what happened when we did actually determine that 2018 cubic
18 feet of gas was used by using the last estimated reading of
19 6987 and the first actual reading of 8980. So that
20 supersedes the previous billing period even though it's
21 redundant in indicating 2018 cubic feet it's the actual
22 reading.

23 JUDGE JONES: I don't have a problem with
24 that, Mr. Langford. You are not answering my question, sir.
25 And my dilemma still exists, and maybe I'll allow it to

1 exist. There came a time where this particular customer
2 stopped the estimated bills and went on automatic meter
3 reads, and that progressed from it looks like September 2004
4 to the end of December 2004. Then there came a time where
5 there was an estimate from, let's say, December 31st, 2004
6 through -- I'm sorry, September 1st, 2004 to December 1st,
7 2004 there was an estimated read?

8 THE WITNESS: Right.

9 JUDGE JONES: Then there was a time when it
10 went back to automatic meter read. So it looks like in
11 comparing the period of December 31st, 2004 through March
12 2005 with December 2003 through March 2004, that the reason
13 there is a difference is there was a difference between
14 estimated and actual. You agree with that, sir?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 JUDGE JONES: It looks like the tenant,
17 Ms. Rice, called at the end of the last bill date which was
18 April 1st, 2005; is that correct, sir?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 JUDGE JONES: And at that point she had
21 received at least four actual readings from the last
22 estimated reading. So it appears that there is a difference
23 between the estimated and the actual. So now let's go back
24 to your explanation as far as her being a CRP customer. Her
25 bill is not the same amount when she has the actual reading

1 as it was when she was a CRP customer; is that correct?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 JUDGE JONES: So is there something that I am
4 missing because it sounded as if in a question I asked you
5 previously that you said because she was a CRP customer she
6 got the same bill amount.

7 THE WITNESS: In dollar amount.

8 JUDGE JONES: And I'm looking at the dollar
9 amount and the dollar amount is not the same under actual
10 read; would you agree with that, sir?

11 THE WITNESS: For a period of actual readings
12 she apparently came off the CRP agreement.

13 JUDGE JONES: That's what I needed to know.

14 THE WITNESS: Which puts her back into the
15 regular billing.

16 JUDGE JONES: Do you know when she came off
17 the CRP?

18 THE WITNESS: I don't have that information
19 in front of me.

20 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Could I take a
21 five-minute recess and look at my file?

22 JUDGE JONES: We are in recess.

23 (Whereupon, a brief break was taken.)

24 JUDGE JONES: We are back on the record.

25 Mr. Langford, I believe you are going to help

1 me understand when Ms. Rice came off the CRP?

2 JUDGE JONES: I don't have the exact date but
3 it occurred between the billing period of September 1st, '04
4 to October 1st, 2004 as noted by the amount of the bill
5 amount \$49.58.

6 JUDGE JONES: So you are looking at the bill
7 amount column and because it's no longer consistently
8 \$49.58 but jumps to a different amount, you are making the
9 assumption that Ms. Rice came off of the CRP?

10 THE WITNESS: She either voluntarily or she
11 didn't recertify, or she didn't cure the CRP and she didn't
12 cure based on her payment history that I did have, she had
13 had a cure amount that she didn't bring current.

14 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Off the record.

15 (Discussion held off the record.)

16 JUDGE JONES: Is there anything else that
17 either Mr. Langford or Mr. Dasent wish to provide me today?

18 MR. DASENT: Nothing further today.

19 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Watson, I'm sorry, sir, do
20 you have any questions for Mr. Langford based on the new
21 exhibit, exhibit six?

22 MR. WATSON: No.

23 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent, do you wish to move
24 your exhibits into the record?

25 MR. DASENT: Yes. We would like to move the

1 conclusion of PGW Exhibits one through six.

2 JUDGE JONES: I believe they are admitted into
3 the record.

4 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Watson, do you object to
5 these exhibits being provided into the record for me to
6 examine as part of my decision?

7 MR. WATSON: No, ma'am.

8 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Langford, you are excused.
9 (Witness excused.)

10 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Dasent, do you have anything
11 further for me?

12 MR. DASENT: Unfortunately, I have nothing
13 further.

14 JUDGE JONES: Unfortunately, I thought that's
15 what you would say. We have arrived at the conclusion of
16 this matter.

17 Mr. Watson, for your information, sir, I am
18 going to provide you with an explanation of what happens
19 next. I do this so that you are not surprised. You may not
20 remember everything but I try to do this so you are not
21 surprised at what occurs. I am to take the evidence that
22 has been provided and come up with a written decision for
23 the outcome of this dispute. I am given three months as far
24 as the time frame to allow me to come up with this written
25 decision. Sometimes I take less than that. I do not take

1 more than that. So we are now in March, let's say April.
2 So sometime in July you should be expecting to see
3 something. If you see something that you are not happy
4 with, you are of your right to tell the Commission. You are
5 not happy, that would be in the form of a written exception
6 it is called. You do not like what Judge wrote, you will be
7 given 20 days from the time you receive my written decision
8 to write to the Commission to say I do not like what the
9 Judge wrote, and it's also important for you to say why.

10 The company is then given the right to respond
11 to what you wrote. They are given ten days to respond. And
12 they can say I like what the Judge wrote and this is why.
13 However, it could be reversed. The company could not like
14 what I write and believe if the company does not like what I
15 wrote, they will tell the Commission they don't like what I
16 wrote. They are given 20 days to do that. Then you are
17 given ten days to respond to the company and say I think the
18 Judge was right and this is why. The Commission will then
19 take my decision, this evidence, what you wrote, what the
20 company wrote and come to a decision. That decision will be
21 the decision of this agency.

22 If you decide that you don't like that
23 decision, then you have to go to another court system which
24 would be the commonwealth court. That process is rather
25 lengthy, however.

1 The Commission, after I write my decision and
2 you write your exceptions or the company writes exceptions,
3 the Commission has no mandated time frame to come up with a
4 resolution to the dispute. However, the Commission does not
5 like the public to not know what the final say is for the
6 agency, so the Commission will act as promptly as they can.
7 This information I'm providing to you, especially the time
8 frames, will be attached to my decision. There will be a
9 cover letter to say if you should decide you do not like
10 this, this is what you do. So you do not have to remember
11 these time frames, but I am telling you because I don't want
12 you to be surprised. I thank you for your patience with me.
13 This was a case that was somewhat difficult.

14 I thank you for your professionalism. You
15 did not argue with the witnesses.

16 I thank you, gentlemen, for providing me with
17 what information you did have. Are there any questions for
18 me?

19 MR. WATSON: No, ma'am.

20 MR. DASENT: Nothing further.

21 JUDGE JONES: Very well. We are adjourned.

22 (Whereupon, at 2:20 p.m., the hearing was
23 concluded.)
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically by me, and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

By: Diana J. Hayes
Diana J. Hayes

SECRETARY'S BUREAU
JUN 9 11:28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FORM 2

CGLED FOR SERVICE TO HOUSE HEATER.....CO THEN CL BURNER ON 12/17/04.....CO MUST REMOVE 50 FAS FROM BASIC GAS BILL.....CO MAY BILL THE 50 FAST SEPARATELY.....SENT LTR TO CUST ADVISING SAME.</Resolution>

PGW Exhibit - 1
3-22-06
PHILA

<BalanceDate />

- <OtherInfo>

<BCSInvestigatorFName>LISA</BCSInvestigatorFName>
<BCSInvestigatorLName>JENKINS</BCSInvestigatorLName>
<NbrOfTimeSend>1</NbrOfTimeSend>
<NbrOfTimeFaxed>0</NbrOfTimeFaxed>
<PUCFax FaxAreaCode="717">7876641</PUCFax>
</OtherInfo>

DOCKETED
OCT 02 2006

</Case>

- <Case>

<CaseNbr>1926606</CaseNbr>
<CompanyName>PGW (PHILA. GAS WORKS (NGDC))</CompanyName>
<CompanyCode>0766</CompanyCode>
<CompanyType>GAS TRANSPORTER</CompanyType>

- <Customer>

<CustomerFirstName>ANTONIO</CustomerFirstName>
<CustomerLastName>WATSON</CustomerLastName>
<AccountNumber>0015091124</AccountNumber>

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

- <CustomerServAddress>

<ServAddress1>4140 PARRISH STREET</ServAddress1>
<ServAddress2>2ND & 3RD FLOOR</ServAddress2>
<ServCity>PHILADELPHIA</ServCity>
<ServState>PA</ServState>
<ServZip5>19104</ServZip5>
<ServZip4>4834</ServZip4>

</CustomerServAddress>

</Customer>

<DecisionIssue>N</DecisionIssue>

<OralWritten>0</OralWritten>

<Violation>NO</Violation>

<TotalBalance>5414.61</TotalBalance>

<DateClosed>2005-10-14</DateClosed>

<Resolution>ADVISED CUST FOREIGN LOAD FOUND ON APRIL 1, 2005- HEATER WAS BEING PROVIDED TO WHOLE BUILDING (BASEMENT, 2ND AND 3RD FLOORS). THEREFORE SERVICE WAS PLACED IN HER NAME AND TENANT'S BALANCE WAS TRANSFERRED TO HIM. CORRECTIONS HAVE NOW BEEN MADE. IF TENANT(S) NOW WANTS GAS SERVICE THEY SHOULD CONTACT PGW AND MAKE APPLICATION. IF DENIED- THEY COULD CALL THE PUC. ADVISED CUST HE HAS A FINAL BALANCE OF \$5414.61 OWING TO PGW (FINAL BALANCE). CUST UNDERSTOOD. CLOSING CASE WITH NO FURTHER ACTION.</Resolution>

<BalanceDate />

- <OtherInfo>

<BCSInvestigatorFName>MATTHEW</BCSInvestigatorFName>
<BCSInvestigatorLName>HRIVNAK</BCSInvestigatorLName>
<NbrOfTimeSend>1</NbrOfTimeSend>
<NbrOfTimeFaxed>0</NbrOfTimeFaxed>
<PUCFax FaxAreaCode="717">7876641</PUCFax>
</OtherInfo>

RECEIVED

MAY 09 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

HISTORY REQUEST REPORT FOR ACCOUNT # 15091124 AND SA # 9307956457 AS OF 03/22/2006

Account # 15091124	SA # 9307956457	Bill Cycle 04	Rate Class GS	Phone # 394627 (610)
Name: WATSON, ANTONIO	Address: 4140 PARRISH ST: PHILA, PA			
Pay Agreement Indicator N	Easyway Indicator	CRP Status		
Blocker Start Date: 20051103	Blocker End Date: 20071103	Meter # 1730512		

Tran Date	Tran Type	Current Amt	Total Amt	Adjust Amt	Segment Start Date	Segment End Date	Read Code	End Read	Current Balance	Usage	Meter #
04/11/2005	XFER	\$4,936.94	\$4,936.94	\$4,936.94							
05/02/2005	BILL	\$193.46	\$193.46	\$0.00	04/01/2005	05/02/2005	R	1568	\$5,130.40	117	1730512
06/01/2005	BILL	\$97.12	\$97.12	\$0.00	05/02/2005	06/01/2005	R	1629	\$5,304.47	61	1730512
06/02/2005	LPC	\$76.95	\$76.95	\$76.95							
06/16/2005	XFER	(\$4,936.94)	(\$4,936.94)	(\$4,936.94)							
06/17/2005	BILL	\$30.58	\$30.58	\$0.00	06/01/2005	06/17/2005	R	1640	\$403.62	11	1730512
07/01/2005	LPC	\$5.51	\$5.51	\$5.51							
08/05/2005	LPC	\$5.97	\$5.97	\$5.97							
09/07/2005	LPC	\$5.88	\$5.88	\$5.88							
10/06/2005	LPC	\$5.79	\$5.79	\$5.79							
10/21/2005	XFER	\$5,239.87	\$5,239.87	\$5,239.87							
11/03/2005	XFER	(\$5,661.13)	(\$5,661.13)	(\$5,661.13)							

20055782
PGW Exhibit - 2
3-22-06dlh Phila

DOCUMENT FOLDER

DOCKETED
OCT 02 2006

RECEIVED

MAY 09 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU



The Board of Revision of Taxes

Property Location **DOCUMENT FOLDER**

Address	Unit Number	Zip Code
4140 PARRISH ST		19104-4834

Owner Information

Owner(s)	WATSON ANTONIO TR
Account Number	062051700
Mailing Address	WATSON ANTONIO TR 5648 PEMBERTON ST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19143-2420

DOCKETED
OCT 02 2006

Property Characteristics

Land Area	1324 SqFt/EQID	A333RMO540
Improvement Description	ROW 3 STY MASONRY GMA	A333
Improvement Area	1725 SqFt Beginning Point	146'4 1/4" W HOLLY
Exterior Condition	Average Unfinished Improvements	
Certified Values for 2005		
Market Value	\$15,100	Sale Date 05/15/1997
Assessed Land (Taxable)	\$1,252	Sale Price \$1
Assessed Improvement (Taxable)	\$3,580	Sales Type Sales between related parties, i.e. Grantor/G-antee, Owner/Tenant
Assessed Land (Exempt)	\$0	Tax Information
Assessed Improvement (Exempt)	\$0	Real Estate Tax \$399.32
Total Assessment	\$4,832.00	

PROPOSED VALUES

Year	Market Value	Assessed Land (Taxable)	Assessed Improvement (Taxable)	Assessed Land (Exempt)	Assessed Improvement (Exempt)	Total Assessment	Exemption Type	Gross Tax
2005	\$15,100	\$1,252	\$3,580	\$0	\$0	\$4,832		\$399.32

CERTIFIED VALUES

Year	Market Value	Assessed Land (Taxable)	Assessed Improvement (Taxable)	Assessed Land (Exempt)	Assessed Improvement (Exempt)	Total Assessment	Exemption Type	Gross Tax
2005	\$15,100	\$1,252	\$3,580	\$0	\$0	\$4,832		\$399.32
2004	\$15,100	\$1,252	\$3,580	\$0	\$0	\$4,832		\$399.32
2003	\$15,100	\$1,252	\$3,580	\$0	\$0	\$4,832		\$399.32
2002	\$10,600	\$879	\$2,513	\$0	\$0	\$3,392		\$280.31
2001	\$10,600	\$879	\$2,513	\$0	\$0	\$3,392		\$280.31
2000	\$10,600	\$879	\$2,513	\$0	\$0	\$3,392		\$280.31
1999	\$10,600	\$879	\$2,513	\$0	\$0	\$3,392		\$280.31

DISCLAIMER
 The Board of Revision of Taxes (BRT) is mandated to value properties and not raise taxes. This system was created to

C-20055782

PG-10-X-6

3-22-00007 Philen

DOCUMENT FOLDER

BILLS FROM 01/01/1996 TO 11/08/2005

Account #: 986223537 Customer Name: RICE, RASHEEDAH Bill Cycle: 08

Customer Address: 4140 PARRISH ST/PHILA, PA

BILLS

Service Agreement ID	Meter #	Bill Start Date	Bill End Date	Bill Due Date	Meter Read Start	Meter Read End	Usage (CCF)	Read Code	Bill Amount	Bill Cancelled	Closing Bill	CRP Monthly Amount	Eway Current Month	Eway Curr Amt	Days
1995028700	1730512	03/02/2005	04/01/2005	06/06/2005	1081	1453	372	Regular	\$547.06	No	Yes	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	03/02/2005	04/01/2005	04/27/2005	1081	1453	372	Regular	\$547.06	Yes	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	02/01/2005	03/02/2005	03/29/2005	647	1081	434	Regular	\$740.56	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	29
1995028700	1730512	12/31/2004	02/01/2005	02/28/2005	9704	647	943	Regular	\$1,594.24	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	32
1995028700	1730512	12/01/2004	12/31/2004	01/28/2005	9577	9704	127	System Estim	\$226.33	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	11/01/2004	12/01/2004	12/30/2004	9253	9577	324	Regular	\$511.62	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	10/01/2004	11/01/2004	11/30/2004	9050	9253	203	Regular	\$317.80	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	31
1995028700	1730512	09/01/2004	10/01/2004	10/28/2004	9005	9050	45	Regular	\$49.58	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	08/02/2004	09/01/2004	09/29/2004	6987	8980	2018	Regular	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	08/02/2004	09/01/2004	09/29/2004	8980	9005	2018	Regular	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	07/01/2004	08/02/2004	08/27/2004	6969	6987	18	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	32
1995028700	1730512	06/02/2004	07/01/2004	07/28/2004	6952	6969	17	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	29
1995028700	1730512	05/03/2004	06/02/2004	06/29/2004	6939	6952	13	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	04/01/2004	05/03/2004	05/28/2004	6902	6939	37	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	32
1995028700	1730512	03/03/2004	04/01/2004	04/28/2004	6834	6902	68	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	29
1995028700	1730512	02/02/2004	03/03/2004	03/30/2004	6723	6834	111	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	30
1995028700	1730512	01/02/2004	02/02/2004	03/01/2004	6660	6723	63	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	31
1995028700	1730512	12/02/2003	01/02/2004	01/30/2004	6511	6660	149	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	31
1995028700	1730512	10/30/2003	12/02/2003	12/31/2003	6372	6511	139	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	33
1995028700	1730512	10/01/2003	10/30/2003	11/26/2003	6293	6372	79	System Estim	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	29
1995028700	1730512	09/05/2003	10/01/2003	10/28/2003	6253	6293	40	Regular	\$49.59	No	No	\$46.35	0	\$0.00	26

DOCKETED OCT 02 2006

RECEIVED

MAY 09 2006

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU

11/08/2005 2:21:25 PM