

25 March 2017

To: The Pa Public Utility Commission Secretary
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

From: Ross E. Schell
203 Knollwood Drive
Harrisburg, PA 17109-5515
PH# 717-651-0824
Fax# same but call First.

Petition for exceptions of exceptions

C-2016-2551544

C-2016-2558244

C-2016-2559741

C-2016-2563040

To whom this may Concern:

This is for the exceptions filed By Suez's Attorney on 23
March 2017

I disagree with the whole thing since everything in this is a
lie. Monica lied in the hearing about where her vehicle was
parked and wither any trucks went up and down the road

when she was talking to me after I filed the complaint. I Finally emailed a copy of this video to the Judge since he did not except the DVD I mailed to him. Nor did he except any other mailings I sent to him. But he will except and email from the Attorney that prejudices the Judge against me and my complaints. Even though I have not had time to ask I need to file a Right to know request to get all the email communications for the Attorneys of the Utilities I have filed complaints against. Since Judge Watson seems to have a biased against me and all customers who file complaints. Example I have included with all my complaints the evidence that is required for the hearings because if I did not do this the secretary would reject my complaints. Pictures of the Dirt in my water was summited with those complaints. As part of the Complaint you would assume that the Judge would take the whole complaint in total instead of picking it apart in pieces and choosing what parts are to be ignored. Even though in his decisions he mentions the Pictures he has chosen to ignore them. If Judge Watson had accepted and used all the material summited with my complaints he would have come to a totality different decision.

As far a reading my meter since September 1999 they have not read my meter since that date and did not summit any evidence they ever checked the Meter inside

my house since Sept. 1999 or when they installed on my property without a right of way agreement as required by laws. Both federal and State (PUC) Which adds up to nearly 16 years since then and the law say they should have checked it for accuracy during the years between that date and when they installed the new meter. Again on my property without a right of way document signed by anyone who ever owned this property? I have filed all my complaints with the Laws that were broken yet Judge Watson ignores them or does not have a full grasp of what they mean or how they are read. Also since the Attorney emailed Judge Watson to reject my complaints without any evidence summited by Suez to counter or dispel the Complaints. The only reason Judge Watson did this is because he was told to by Suez's Attorney Mr., Nielsen via email. Which by the way I was not able to fully read until I copied and pasted it into a word document. Only then did I realize that Judge Watson did what the email said.

Now as far as testing water coming into my house. I found it was easier for both Mr. Nielsen and Judge Watson to say the water pipe coming into my house is leaking without any evidence at all. Suez has summited nothing that disproves my claims. Not testing data at the pipe they installed in the road in the past years, (why was the Pipe replaced I was told because it was wearing out and

leaking.) they tested it because I filed a complaint. They tested places that have nothing to do with the Water tower on Colorado Avenue. This is where I was told that the water in my house come from. Unless I have been lied to again by a representative of Suez Water. As far as my Neighbor is concerned they have been told by Both the Local government (LPT), State Police and my, also their attorney, to stop complaining about anything since they have been harassing all for no reason about my house and what goes on there. They are never Home nor does any cooking go on there. They hardly buy any groceries or throw anything out. Tera and Bill Mead are not a good example of someone who would pay attention to the water coming into their house. Besides when they are never home enough to see the dirt in their water and are not allowed to complain about it. I have only found the dirt in my toilet tanks because it build up to the point I have change the fill valve. I noticed it when I had to change filters all the time and when I or anybody gets sick by the water coming into our house. (Stomach Cramps, Diarrhea, throwing up and other problems). When they did test the water outside my house up the road it came out dirty at first this was ignored by Judge Watson in the hearing and writing his decision evidently.

I use more water than my neighbor, where would the dirty water go to the house who uses almost no water or the

House that uses the most. And where did this dirty water come from. Even though this is supposed to be a closed system to all outside contaminates. How did the Dirt in my toilet tanks, that are mentioned in his exceptions and Judge Watsons Rulings get in my tanks. As far as water at my Kitchen sink most of it goes down the drain since we cannot use it for anything else. I do not filter the water coming out of the faucet because we do not use it for anything other than washing our hands. All water that is drunk at my house is either filtered through the fridge coffee maker or is bottled water. This evidence though Watson in the hearings.

So testing up on Locust lane and the other sites mentioned would not prove or disprove dirt in my water.

Since there is only two house on the Feed Pipe coming up the road how could anyone else complain about dirt in their water? They have not summited any Proof that any water site was tested in any area near my house they have just said so in the hearing with no proof summited. And Judge Watson accepted this Hearsay without any regard to the authenticity of the evidence or who was providing said evidence at the hearing. Monica is Just a meter Reader as far as I am told and not capable of providing testimony regarding the dirty water coming into my house. Also Suez has summited no evidence proving that the water incoming in my house has no dirt in it. Yet

Judge Watson and Mr. Nielsen is saying that the water pipe feeding my house is broken and leaking. Again without evidence of this. Nor any evidence that my water usage has gone up do to a leak or break. Instead it has been dropping since they installed a new meter. Even though the same 3 people are still living in my house who have been living here for the last 3 years This tells me that they have been over charging me, since they started using the remote that was installed on my inside meter since September 1999. Which again they have only changed the Outside reader over those years never check the inside meter for accuracy as the law requires.

They are currently working on the same water tank on Colorado Avenue that I am supposed to be getting my water from, yet when I call the Suez Reps they deny they are doing it or will not tell me what they are doing or say they do not know what is going on. Maybe the dirt is coming from it since the dirt in my water is red and my yard is clay which is brown. It is not magnetic so it is not rust. Yet all this was ignored By Judge Watson in favor of the Email from Mr. Nielsen telling him what Mr. Nielsen wanted him to do. And Judge Watson followed it verbatim.

No one in my house can drink the water that come out of the Tap unless it is filtered. I summited the Pictures of these filters with dirt on it only to be ignored by Judge

Watson in the hearings yet he mentions them in his initial Decisions.

Even though Mr. Nielsen Is doing the job he is paid for. The PUC is not doing the Job it is being paid for. Knowing about the Lying that is going on about water quality in other states and countries that water companies do not want to admit until they are fined or otherwise penalized for providing bad water to their communities. Flint MI even this was known by the Water Company and not taken care of until the Federal government got involved. Other places close to home have had water problems too. Like Pittsburg Pa with High lead Level in their water. So how farfetched do you think it is to have dirt in my water for the last 17 years. Monica has been to my house to observe the dirty water and told us it was normal torpidity. She did not mention this in the Hearing because she was told by Suez water not too. I brought it up and Judge Watson refuse to have it answered.

As far as notification by Phone. It is illegal according to federal laws (FCC) and Pa (legislature) to robo call anyone without their permission. My phone number is on the Do not call list which makes it also illegal for a business to call me. Besides I received a call to boil water only from Suez. And never got a call telling me to stop. I got a call saying they are shutting my water off again the

other day without receiving a ten day notice. I had to call in to get a copy of my bill this last month because I got the same call. No since they have removed the drop box from the Adams Drive Facility I have to mail my payments in. So if I do not get a bill how am I to do this? Also I would like to know what evidence they provided that the meter outside my house is operating and not reacting to truck traffic going up and down my road. Certainly not the Testimony of Monica because according to Suez she is a meter reader not and expert at meters. If any evidence was provided other than testimony by so called experts. Then why did I not get a copy of said evidence before the hearing to object to it. I actually tried to object to all the testimony that was summited as hearsay only to have the secretary reject it for no good reason.

Again this all goes back to the Fact that and email asking for all the decisions should read and how to rule on them were sent to Judge Watson by Mr. Nielsen and proof was summited on this. Judge Watson Purposely sided with Suez because Mr. Nielsen asked him too in his email.

IF this is not a felicity in justice then I do not know what one is since Judge Watson decisions are clearly biased towards the Utilities. I have requested for a different Judge only to have this rejected by the Secretary.

As far as regulations I have summited them with my complaints only for Judge Watson to ignore them.

And I find nowhere in any Pa or PUC Law states that a exception summited by the secretary or a compliant and be denied by anyone one in the PUC.

Ross E. Schell

Certificate Of Service

I do Herby Certify That I have This Day 25 March 2017 I Have Served a true And correct copy of the Petition for exception to exception 25 March 2015 upon The person's and manner Set Forth Below.

Via Fax Petition for exception to exception

Thomas T Niesen
Thomas, Niesen & Thomas LLC
212 Locust Street
Suite 600
Harrisburg, PA 17101
The Honorable Jeffery A. Watson
Piatt Place
Suite 220
301 5th Avenue
Pittsburg, Pa 15222
E mailed to

Ross E. Schell