

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Debbie Hughey, Complainant

vs.

Docket No. C - 2016-2567445

Philadelphia Gas Works, Respondent

**COMPLAINANT'S RESPONSE/ANSWER TO THE PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS'
REPLY TO COMPLAINANT'S REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS**

The Complainant had already filed another Motion for Sanction, labeled "Complainant's Continual Motion for Sanctions and Motion To Compel Respondent to Fully Answer the Complainant's Questions, Statements, Requests, and etc...", electronically on May 27, 2017 due to the blatant refusal to answer as well as supply Complainant with the necessary Documents, Requests, and etc...

I. COMPLAINANT'S HISTORY OF PROCEEDINGS

First of all, the History that the Respondent, PUC, and some of Judge Salapa's Documentation are not correct.

Secondly, the Complainant filed a Formal Complaint with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) against PGW, and filed an **Informal** Complaint a year prior to the Formal Complaint, but the PUC has done nothing about Complainant's Informal Complaint. The Complainant's Formal Complaints dated September 19, 2016 against PGW are about:

1. "PGW has pervasive illegal and fraudulent business practices of trying to **steal our property**".
2. PGW falsely and fraudulently claiming that an agreement was made for services
3. PGW fraudulently obtaining additional funds under false pretends, and etc... Please review the Complainant's Formal Complaint (**15 pages**) that has been deleted from the PUC's Online Records.
4. The Utility is threatening to shut of my service or has already shut of service.
5. In correct charges are on my bill.
6. I am having reliability, safety, or quality problems with my utility service.
7. PGW has pervasive illegal and fraudulent business practices of trying to steal our property - see Certified Letter #7014 2120 0000 4339 4202, and etc...

Complainant's Second Continuance was granted for about 45 days and not 60 days. Judge Salapa informed the Complainant that there will be no further delays, and Ms. Christieb threaten sanctions, if the June 15, 2017 Hearing is not conducted !

Thirdly, Complainant did not receive the Respondent documents on May 16, 2017.

II. RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S REPLY FOR SANCTIONS

After the Pre-Hearing, Judge Salapa informed the Complainant that the Respondent has 20 days; in which, to answer the Complainant, but they choose not to do it within 20 days as well as they are playing Delaying Tactics by the responses that they supply !

WHEREFORE, The Complainant stills seeking sanctions against PGW. Please review the Complainant's Response/Answer to to PGW's Reply to Complainant's Request for Sanctions, and please grant the Complainant's requests for Sanctions as well as the Motion to Compel. Thank you.

/s/ Debbie Hughey electronically served on May 28, 2017 at 11:45 pm

Sign _____ Date _____

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, certify this day that I served a true copy of the foregoing document, Complainant's Response/Answer to the Philadelphia Gas Works' Reply to Complainant's Request for Sanctions, upon the parties (Ms. Graciela Christlieb) listed below, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa Code § 1.54 (Relating to service by a Party) via the PUC Electronic Filing System, since Ms. Graciela Christlieb, the Respondent's Attorney, accepts E-Service.

/s/ Debbie Hughey electronically served on May 28, 2017 at 11:45 pm

Signed _____ Date _____

Debbie Hughey, Pro se
Complainant