



RECEIVED

2017 MAY 22 AM 10: 53

PA PUC
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

May 18, 2017

To: The Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania
Chief Clerk of the Court
Pennsylvania Judicial Center
601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 2100
P. O. Box 69185
Harrisburg, PA 17106-9185

Re: Zied et al v PUC (Zied et al v PECO) Lower Case #F-2015-2590342
and #P-2015-2520474 for Interlocutory Review - submitted May 3, 2017

Dear Clerk of the Court:

On May 3, 2017, Petitioners, Mindy Jaye Zied and Binnie A. Zied submitted a Motion for Permission to be heard on Interlocutory Review, based upon the aforementioned cases, which were before the Public Utilities Commission.


On or around May 8, 2017, P.E.C.O. offered a settlement to resolve this matter, which was accepted by both Petitioners, on or around May 12.

While Petitioners' still believe that the particular question they posed was one that was of major public importance, based upon the resolution of this matter, there is no case any longer in which Interlocutory Review is viable.¹

With that beings said, the Petitioners' respectfully withdraw their Motion for Interlocutory Review.

Sincerely Yours,


Mindy Jaye Zied (aka Campbell), pro se petitioner


Binnie A. Zied, pro se petitioner

cc: Public Utilities Commission - Rosemary Chiavetta
Public Utilities Commission - Gladys M. Brown, Chairman of the P.U.C.
Shawane L. Lee, Attorney and Representative for P.E.C.O.
Administrative Law Judge Angela T. Jones - P.U.C.

¹ Recognizing that PECO mentioned this letter was no longer necessary (procedurally) inferring that based upon the resolution of the complaint, Interlocutory Review becomes a moot issue.




DENNIS J. - MINDY J. CAMPBELL
1948 KENTWOOD STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19116

PHILADELPHIA



1000



17105

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
PHILADELPHIA, PA
19154
MAY 19 17
AMOUNT

\$0.49

R2305K142499-07

**Public Utility Commission
Sectry. Rosemary Chiavetta
P. O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265**

17105-326565

