

AUGUST 5, 2017

CERTIFIED MAIL # 70020510000066654109

RECEIVED

AUG - 7 2017

SECRETARY  
PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
400 NORTH STREET  
HARRISBURG PA 17120

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

REFER TO PA PUC FORMAL COMPLAINT #C-2017-2614930


ATTN SECRETARY:

Attached is a response from us to DLC Dave Defide, Manager, Customer Programs, regarding his letter comparing smart meters to other devices used in the home, and also stating that the radio frequency output of the smart meters is consistent with the output of the analog meter, and stating that the radio frequencies from the smart meter is significantly less than a cell phone, cordless phone, or wireless router.

PLEASE SEE PAGE 2 OF OUR RESPONSE.....PROOF OF CLAIM. We are requesting that the PUC also provide Proof of Claim as follows, since you are the Pennsylvania Utility Commission and oversee the Pennsylvania electric companies, and have given the go-ahead for installation of smart meters:

1. "Please prove this claim, that smart meters are completely safe, are not known to be a fire hazard....that smart meters emit smaller levels of RF exposure than a cell phone, cordless phone, microwave oven....that the RF output of the smart meter is consistent with the output of an analog meter (ive already tested this..it isn't)...that smart meters do not cause bodily oxidative stress, pathologic leakage of blood brain barrier, cancer, neurological dysfunction, poor immune system, heart disease).
2. Signed statement, with TWO signatures, attesting to the above under penalty of perjury, notarized, with proof that smart meters are SAFE.
3. A copy of the most recent studies PROVING that smart meters are safe.

Sincerely,

  
Gerard and Joyce Kurzawski  
500 Sunnyland Avenue  
Pittsburgh PA 15227-1710

AUGUST 5, 2017

CERTIFIED MAIL # 70020510 000066654086

Dave Defide  
Manager, Customer Programs  
Duquesne Light Company  
411 Seventh Avenue  
P.O. Box 1930  
Pittsburgh PA 15230-1930

- COPY -

RECEIVED

AUG - 7 2017

Refer To PA PUC Formal Complaint #C-2017-2614930

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Dear Mr. Defide:

We are in receipt of your letter dated July 27, 2017 regarding advanced metering infrastructure. Thank you for noting our desire to not receive an advanced digital meter. The ongoing exchange of meters is part of an overall upgrade....which is understood. I am surprised however that my request in 2015 to Duquesne Light to not install a smart meter at this address, and my formal complaint filing with the PUC and DLC, have been ignored.

It is understood that several PA legislators have, over the last 6 years, introduced several AMI smart meter opt-out bills to correct the inhumane interpretation by the PA PUC of ACT 129, which mandates that people must gamble with their health and possibly become sick or die in order to have electric service in Pennsylvania. Actually, ACT 129 was written, voted upon, and signed into law as an OPT-"IN" bill, with no OPT-"OUT" clauses necessary. Please See HB2200/Act 129 (2008), 2807(f)7(2)(i)... "Upon request from a customer that agrees to pay the cost of the smart meter at the time of the request."

Another interesting fact is that there are actually patents for inventions by H. G. Loos that deal with manipulating the human nervous system by the use of electromagnetic fields..which smart meters radiate. Some of these patents include:

USP#3009080...Apparatus and method for generating and containing plasma having ultra high temperatures;

USP#4245909...An optical instrument for measurement of particle size distribution;

USP#6238333...Remode magnetic manipulation of nervous systems;

USP#6091994...Pulsative manipulation of nervous systems;

USP#5782874...Method and apparatus for manipulating nervous systems;

USP#6017302...Sublimal acoustic manipulation of nervous systems;

USP#6506148...Nervous system manipulation by electromagnetic fields from monitor.

Someone on antidepressants sure wouldn't fare too well being exposed to smart

meter radiation, such as Gerard Kurzawski....i understand some of these patents are pending and some approved.

A human being resonates at 7.83 Hertz frequency, and the FCC safety guidelines state a person should not be subjected to more than 600 microwatts per second.

Man-made interference such as the smart meter resonates at 30 billion gigahertz per second. (1 gigahertz equals 1 billion cycles per second). It is not only a fire safety hazard, but a death sentence...especially when an individual is already compromised with an illness/s, which Gerard is. (currently in the process of obtaining doctor's letters attesting to his health). (Gerard is currently recuperating in a nursing home after a fall). Gerard is 81 years old with numerous health issues as stated in complaint filed 7-4-17 and sent certified mail to DLC and the PUC.

Your letter dated July 27<sup>th</sup> also states that the RF output of the lower digital smart meters is consistent with the output of the current analog meters.....and that the RF from the digital smart meter is significantly less than a cell phone. Not true.

Further, we found your picture chart very interesting which was included in your July 27<sup>th</sup> letter. There is a smart meter, cordless phone, cell phone, and microwave oven shown. The title above these appliances states "Understanding Radio Frequencies (RF) and Smart Meters".

Hertz (frequency) cannot be measured against Watts. Watts are a measure of electric power. Strangely, I don't see any frequency numbers below each appliance item. Why would DLC not provide the frequency numbers??

DLC also states "wireless smart meters, when installed and properly maintained, result in much smaller levels of RF exposure than many existing common household electronic devices.". EMF (electromagnetic frequency) hand-held meters have proved otherwise. It makes a person question whether old (meaning years ago) research studies were used by DLC to come to their conclusions about the supposed "safety" of smart meters. Are we all being duped by the results of these ancient studies?

-----  
In conclusion, we have a request of DLC and the PUC.(which will also be receiving a copy of this letter).....kindly, EACH AGENCY, please provide:

1. Proof of Claim.....Please prove your claim, (that Smart Meters are completely safe, are not known to be a fire hazard....that smart meters emit smaller levels of RF exposure than a cell phone, cordless phone, microwave oven..that the RF output of the smart meter is consistent with the output of an analog meter (ive already tested this...it isn't)..that smart meters do not cause bodily oxidative stress, pathologic leakage of blood brain barrier, cancer, neurological dysfunction, poor immune system, heart disease.)

2. Signed Statement, with TWO Signatures, attesting to the above under penalty of perjury, notarized....with proof, that smart meters are SAFE.
3. A copy of the most recent studies PROVING that smart meters are safe. I'm sure you are well aware of the tons of smart meter awareness information out there, PROVING that smart meters are NOT safe.



Would you expose YOUR FAMILY to one of these dangerous devices?

Sincerely,

*Joyce Kurzawski*

Gerard and Joyce Kurzawski  
500 Sunnyland Avenue  
Pittsburgh PA 15227


cc: PA Public Utility Commission  
Josh Shapiro, PA Attorney General  
PA Office of Consumer Advocate  
John Delano, KDKA News  
File



PITTSBURGH  
PA 150  
07 AUG 17  
PM 5 L



1000



17120

U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
PITTSBURGH, PA  
15236  
AUG 07 17  
AMOUNT  
**\$6.80**  
R2303S100220-12

SECRETARY  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
400 NORTH ST.  
HARRISBURG, PA. 17120

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED 120-007999

