

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**APPLICATION OF PENNSYLVANIA :
ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR APPROVAL :
TO LOCATE AND CONSTRUCT THE :
BEDFORD NORTH-CENTRAL CITY :
WEST 115 KILOVOLT TRANSMISSION : Docket No. A-2016-2565296
LINE PROJECT IN CENTRAL CITY :
BOROUGH AND SHADE TOWNSHIP, :
SOMERSET COUNTY, AND NAPIER, :
EAST ST. CLAIR, AND BEDFORD :
TOWNSHIPS, BEDFORD COUNTY, :
PENNSYLVANIA :**

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

JOHN TOTH

ON BEHALF OF

PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

REBUTTAL STATEMENT NO. 6-R**

Re: Electromagnetic Fields and Interference

Dated: February 20, 2017

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

1
2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**
3

4 A. My name is John T. Toth and my business address is 76 South Main Street, Akron, Ohio
5 44308.
6

7 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**
8

9 A. I am employed by FirstEnergy Service Company as a Supervisor in the Transmission
10 Engineering Department. My responsibilities include providing guidance, leadership and
11 supervision to a staff of professionals in the Transmission Engineering Group that are
12 responsible for the examination, design and modification of existing and new transmission
13 facilities in Ohio, Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Jersey, Virginia and West Virginia. In this
14 position, I provide support for the FirstEnergy “operating utilities” efforts to modify,
15 maintain and build transmission facilities, including the modeling of transmission lines and
16 substations in order to determine expected Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF).
17

18 **Q. Please describe your professional experience and educational background.**
19

20 A. I am a graduate of Ohio Diesel Technical Institute. Prior to my returning to school, I worked
21 for seventeen years in various locations as a master mechanic. I am a 2004 graduate of
22 Cleveland State University’s Fenn College of Engineering with a Bachelor of Electrical
23 Engineering degree.

24 In June 2005, I began working for FirstEnergy as an Assistant Engineer in its rotational
25 engineering program. As part of the rotational engineering program, I worked in the
26 following departments: (i) transmission planning; (ii) transmission protection; (iii)
27 substation engineering; and (iv) transmission engineering. I also worked for Cleveland

1 Electric Illuminating Company's distribution engineering department and the substation
2 maintenance department.

3
4 In July 2007, I started working in FirstEnergy's Transmission Engineering Department.
5 While working in FirstEnergy's Transmission Engineering Department, I advanced through
6 the following positions: (i) Associate Engineer (April 2007); (ii) Engineer (May 2008); (iii)
7 Advanced Engineer (July 2010); and (iv) Supervisor Transmission Siting (May 2011). In
8 September 2014, I moved to my current position as Supervisor, Transmission Engineering
9 Design within the Transmission Engineering Department.

10
11 My education, experience and qualifications are fully set forth in Appendix A to my
12 testimony.

13
14 In my current position, I provide guidance, leadership and supervision to a staff of
15 professionals in the Transmission Engineering Group that are responsible for the
16 examination, design and modification of existing and new transmission facilities in Ohio,
17 Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Jersey, Virginia and West Virginia.

18
19 In this position, I provide support for FirstEnergy's efforts to modify, maintain and build
20 transmission facilities, including the modeling of transmission lines and substations in order
21 to calculate and determine expected Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) within and adjacent
22 to rights-of-ways and substations.

23
24 **Q. On whose behalf are you providing this testimony?**
25

1 A. I am providing this testimony on behalf of Penelec for approval to locate and construct the
2 Bedford North-Central City West 115 kV Transmission Line (“Project”).

3

4 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

5

6 A. The purpose of my testimony is to:

- 7 • give a brief overview of what electric and magnetic fields are;
- 8 • provide a brief description of existing Federal and State Regulations;
- 9 • Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (“IEEE”) recommended exposure
10 guideline to protect public health;
- 11 • explain the expected EMF levels for the project; and
- 12 • give a brief overview of radio noise and electromagnetic interference.

13

14

15

ELECTRIC FIELD

16 **Q. WHAT ARE ELECTRIC FIELDS?**

17 A. Electric fields are a vector quantity with both a magnitude and a direction. The directions
18 corresponds to the direction that a positive charge would move within the field. The source
19 of electric field is the electrical charge on the conductors. For example, transmission lines,
20 distribution lines, household wiring and appliances all generate electric fields in their vicinity
21 because of unbalanced electrical charge (voltage) on energized conductors. Voltage and
22 charge on energized conductors in North America are repeated at a rate of 60 times a second
23 (positive to negative to positive). The changing voltage results in electric fields near sources
24 that are also time-varying at a frequency of 60 Hz.

25

1 Electric fields are expressed in units of kilovolts (thousands of volts) per meter (kV/m). The
2 three-dimensional distribution of a transmission line electric field depends on the charge on
3 the conductors, the position of the conductors, and the measurement distance away from the
4 conductors, and the strength of the field is reduced as distance from the conductors'
5 increases. The electric field extends from the energized conductors to other conducting
6 objects such as the ground, towers, vegetation, buildings, vehicles and people. The
7 calculated strength of the electric field at a height of one meter above earth is frequently used
8 to describe the electric field under transmission lines. The more significant factors that
9 determine the electric field strength at the one meter height location are conductor
10 configuration, conductor height above ground, lateral distance from the conductors, and the
11 transmission line voltage.

12
13 Methods for measuring transmission line electric fields are described in ANSI/IEEE
14 Standard No. 644-1994. Provided that conditions at the measurement site approximate the
15 situation assumed for calculations, measurements of electric fields closely agree with the
16 calculated values. Measured electric fields are easily shielded by common objects with the
17 resulting measurements usually lower than calculated values.

18 In long conductor spans with significant sag between attachment points, the greatest field
19 values occur over a small area at midspan, where conductors are closest to the ground.

20 Near transmission structures, the conductor ground clearances increase and the peak
21 electric field strength decreases. Transmission line electric field strengths at and beyond
22 the edge of the right-of-way (ROW) are reduced primarily in relation to the increased
23 lateral distance and are not as sensitive to the conductor height. Buildings, vegetation and
24 other grounded objects all reduce the electric field.

1 **MAGNETIC FIELD**

2 **Q. WHAT ARE MAGNETIC FIELDS?**

3 A. Similar to electric fields, magnetic fields are vector quantities characterized by both
4 magnitude and direction. Electrical currents generate magnetic field. Transmission lines,
5 distribution lines, house wiring and appliances carrying a 60 Hz electric current generate a
6 60 Hz magnetic field in the area surrounding the conductors. The strength of a magnetic
7 field is measured in terms of the magnetic lines of force per unit area or magnetic flux
8 density. The term magnetic field, as used here, is equal with magnetic flux density and is
9 expressed in units of milligauss (mG).

10 The magnetic field generated by currents on the transmission line extends from the
11 conductors through the air and into the ground. The strength of the magnetic field at a height
12 of one meter is frequently used to describe the magnetic field under the transmission lines.
13 The direction of the magnetic field varies with location, while the electric field is
14 fundamentally vertical near the ground. The most important transmission line factors that
15 determine the magnetic field at one meter height are the conductor height above ground and
16 the magnitude of the electrical currents flowing on the conductor. As the distance from the
17 transmission line increases the magnetic field decreases.

18
19 The maximum magnetic field occurs in areas near the centerline of the transmission and at
20 midspan location between structures where the conductors are the lowest. Magnetic field
21 at the edge of the ROW is less reliant on line height. When multiple circuits occupy a
22 common right-of-way, the magnetic field is contingent on the relative electrical phasing of
23 the conductors and the direction of power flow on each circuit.

24 **FEDERAL AND STATE REQUIREMENTS**
25

1 **Q. DOES THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAVE EXPOSURE LIMITS FOR EMF?**

2
3 A. No, the federal government has not set exposure limits EMF.

4

5 **Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY REQUIREMENTS OR LIMITS FOR EMF**
6 **ESTABLISHED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA?**

7
8 A. No.

9

10

EXPOSURE GUIDELINES

11 **Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH ANY ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE REVIEWED**
12 **RESEARCH ON EMF, PERFORMED HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENTS**
13 **AND RECOMMENDED EXPOSURE GUIDELINES TO PROTECT PUBLIC**
14 **HEALTH?**

15

16 A. Yes, the International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety, sponsored by the Institute of

17 Electrical and Electronics Engineers (“IEEE”), operates under the IEEE’s rules and

18 oversight; this Committee also recommends consensus standards for the safe use of

19 electromagnetic energy in the range of 0 Hz to 300 Gigahertz, which includes power

20 frequency 60-Hz fields. The standard-setting process is open, with a balanced

21 representation from the medical, scientific, engineering, industrial, government, and

22 military communities. Approximately 209 members, including members from outside the

23 United States representing 27 different countries, participate in this Committee.

24

25 **Q. WHAT ARE THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE IEEE FOR EMF EXPOSURE**
26 **TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC?**

27

28 A. The IEEE Committee has reviewed research on EMF and have recommended “basic

29 restrictions,” which are limits on internal electric fields to protect against acute established

30 effects that occur at very high EMF exposure levels. These limits on internal electric fields

31 are difficult to measure directly so these organizations have identified screening levels that

32 are below exposures meeting basic restrictions to ensure that limits on internal electric

1 fields are not exceeded. The IEEE Committee has recommended screening values of 9,040
2 mG for magnetic field exposure, 5 kV/m for electric field exposure (IEEE, 2002)
3 guidelines at 60 Hz.

4 The guidelines incorporate large safety factors to account for uncertainty and variation in
5 exposure conditions. Exposures above the IEEE Committee screening guidelines are
6 permitted if it can be shown that their basic restrictions on internal electric fields and
7 current densities are not exceeded.

8
9 **Q. WILL THE LEVELS OF EMF ASSOCIATED WITH THE OPERATION OF THE**
10 **NEW TRANSMISSION LINE BE BELOW THE IEEE COMMITTEE**
11 **GUIDELINES?**

12 A. Yes, both the calculated electric fields and magnetic fields, even directly under the
13 conductors, will be well below the lowest guideline limit.

14
15 **ESTIMATED EMF PROJECT LEVELS**

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE EXPECTED ELECTRIC FIELD LEVEL FOR THE PROJECT?**
17

18 A. The electric field under the lowest conductor, at mid-span, is expected to be 1.77 kV/m and
19 the electric field at the edge of the ROW is expected to be 0.22 kV/m for the section of ROW
20 where both the Bedford North-Central City West and the Bedford North-New Baltimore 115
21 kV transmission lines share the ROW. The electric field under the lowest conductor, at mid-
22 span, is expected to be 1.25 kV/m and the electric field at the edge of the ROW is expected
23 to be 0.02/0.21 kV/m for the section of ROW where only the Bedford North-Central City
24 West is within the ROW.

25
26 **Q. WHAT IS THE EXPECTED MAGNETIC FIELD LEVEL FOR THE PROJECT?**

1
2 A. The load on the transmission line varies both on a daily and seasonal basis and I have
3 developed an estimate of the magnetic field strengths based on the anticipated normal
4 maximum load on the transmission line. The magnetic field under the lowest conductor, at
5 mid-span, is expected to be 47.18 mG for the section of ROW where both the Bedford North-
6 Central City West and the Bedford North-New Baltimore 115 kV transmission lines share
7 the ROW and the magnetic field at the edge of the ROW is expected to be 19.97/25.33 mG
8 for this section. The magnetic field under the lowest conductor, at mid-span, is expected to
9 be 41.14 mG for the section of ROW where only the Bedford North-Central City West is
10 within the ROW and 10.10/21.2 at the edge of the ROW. The actual magnetic field strengths
11 will most often be lower than these values.

12

13 **Q. WHAT SOFTWARE DID YOU USE TO MODEL THE TRANSMISSION LINE?**

14
15 A. The model was prepared utilizing the Electric Power Research Institute's EMF Workstation
16 2015 software program.

17
18 **Q. WHAT TYPE OF APPROACH DID YOU USE MODELING THE TRANSMISSION**
19 **LINE?**

20
21 A. A conservative approach is used to create the model. As I indicated previously, the model
22 is based on the maximum normal line loading. Additionally, the height of the conductors
23 are modeled on the minimum National Electric Safety Code clearance above ground plus
24 FirstEnergy's construction tolerance rather than the conductor's higher height. This
25 conservative approach gives the anticipated maximum electric and magnetic field levels,
26 measured values are expected to be below these levels.

27

28 **Q. ARE TRANSMISSION LINES COMMON SOURCES OF EMF?**

1 A. Transmission lines are not common sources of EMF exposure. Distribution lines, service
2 drops to buildings, household wiring and electric devices and appliances are more common
3 and the main sources of EMF exposure in homes, schools, workplaces and other locations in
4 our communities. The operation of all these sources produces EMF that oscillates at a
5 frequency of 60 Hz.

6

7 **Q. WHAT ARE TYPICAL MAGNETIC FIELD LEVELS IN A HOUSE?**

8 A. Magnetic field levels found in the living areas of homes away from appliances typically
9 range from less than 1 mG to approximately 4 mG. Magnetic fields near appliances can
10 exceed 1,000 mG. Some examples include the following from the National Institute of
11 Environmental Health Sciences:

- 12 • Clothes dryer, 10 mG
- 13 • Microwave oven, 100 – 300 mG
- 14 • Toaster, 5 – 20 mG
- 15 • Power Drill, 100 – 200 mG
- 16 • Can Opener, 500 – 1500 mG
- 17 • Hair Dryer, 1 – 700 mG

18

19

RADIO NOISE / ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE

20 **Q. WILL THE PROJECT CAUSE INTERFERENCE WITH WI-FI AND CELL PHONE**
21 **RECEPTION?**

22

23 A. No, in order to protect sensitive radio service such as aircraft navigation and emergency
24 beacons, the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) established Part 15 of Title 47
25 of the Code of Federal Regulations. These rules are directed at equipment that does not
26 deliberately generate radio frequency energy. Part 15 affects a larger variety of electronic

1 devices than does any other FCC regulation, imposing RF emissions limits on radios,
2 personal electronics, and includes the electric power transmission and distribution system.

3

4 **Q. Have you sponsored direct testimony in this proceeding?**

5

6 A. No.

7

8 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in your rebuttal testimony?**

9

10 A. No.

11

12 **Q. Does this complete your rebuttal testimony?**

13

14 A. Yes it does. However, I would like to reserve the right to supplement my testimony if
15 anything changes with respect to the status of the application.

16

Appendix A
Resume: Education and Experience of John T. Toth

Education:

2004 Bachelor of Electrical Engineering, Cleveland State University's Fenn College of Engineering, Cleveland, Ohio
1983 Ohio Diesel Technical Institute, Cleveland, Ohio

Experience:

1981 – 1998 Master Mechanic – Various Employers
2005 – 2007 Assistant Engineer, Rotational Engineer Program – FirstEnergy Service Company
2007 – 2008 Associate Engineer, Transmission Engineering Group – FirstEnergy Service Company
2008 – 2010 Advanced Engineer, Transmission Engineering Group – FirstEnergy Service Company
2011 – 2014 Transmission Siting Supervisor, Transmission Engineering Group – FirstEnergy Service Company
2014 – Present Supervisor Transmission Engineering Design, Transmission Engineering Group – FirstEnergy Service Company

Prepared and presented testimony in the following siting related cases:

Ohio Power Siting Board Case:

Docket No. 08-0123-EL-BTX, Chamberlin – Shalersville Transmission Line Project

Pa P.U.C. Case:

Docket No. A-2011-2247862, Bedford North – Osterberg East 115 kV HV Transmission Line Project
Docket No. A-2015-2513898, Pierce Brook – Lewis Run 230 kV Transmission Line Project
Docket No. A-2016-2529650, East Towanda – South Troy 230/115 kV Transmission Line Project
Docket No. A-2017-2586434, Campbell-Keister Transmission Line Project

NJ B.P.U. Cases:

Docket No. EO14030281, Oceanview 230 kV Transmission Project.
Docket No. EO15030383, Montville – Whippany 230 kV Transmission Line Project

Supervised the development and preparation of the following filings

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

Letter of Notification:

Pennsylvania Electric Company

A-2009-2103919
A-2009-2112928
A-2009-2112958
A-2009-213-3063
A-2011-221-9842
A-2011-2225736
A-2011-224-2416

A-2011-224-7862
A-2011-2264762
A-2011-2264773
A-2012-2286421
A-2012-2296742
A-2012-2307985
A-2013-2381170

Pennsylvania Power Company

A-2013-2370205

Metropolitan Edison Company

A-2010-2208888
A-2011-2240477
A-2011-2240484

A-20112271978
A-2012-2317843
A-2012-2329304

West Penn Power Company

A-2012-2281399
A-2013-2348946
A-2013-2360874
A-2013-2375569

Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company

A-2013-2345844

A-2013-2348538

Ohio Power Siting Board:

Letters of Notification:

11-2885-EL-BLN

11-2892-EL-BLN

11-3246-EL-BLN

11-3247-EL-BLN

11-5836-EL-BLN

11-5844-EL-BLN

11-6063-EL-BLN

12-0774-EL-BLN

12-1138-EL-BLN

12-1430-EL-BLN

12-1637-EL-BLN

12-1726-EL-BLN

12-2397-EL-BLN

12-2461-EL-BLN

12-2462-EL-BLN

12-2475-EL-BLN

12-2476-EL-BLN

12-2666-EL-BLN

12-2912-EL-BLN

12-2938-EL-BLN

12-3031-EL-BLN

12-3126-EL-BLN

12-3157-EL-BLN

12-3158-EL-BLN

12-3159-EL-BLN

12-3233-EL-BLN

13-0108-EL-BLN

13-0191-EL-BLN

13-0224-EL-BLN

13-0341-EL-BLN

13-0493-EL-BLN

13-1153-EL-BLN

13-1247-EL-BLN

13-1248-EL-BLN

13-1749-EL-BLN

13-1800-EL-BLN

13-2163-EL-BLN

Construction Notice:

11-4375-EL-BNR
11-4376-EL-BNR
11-4387-EL-BNR
11-5582-EL-BNR
11-5871-EL-BNR
11-6031-EL-BNR
12-0880-EL-BNR
12-1662-EL-BNR
12-2208-EL-BNR
12-2223-EL-BNR

12-2380-EL-BNR
12-2524-EL-BNR
12-3301-EL-BNR
13-1243-EL-BNR
13-1611-EL-BNR
13-1797-EL-BNR
13-1835-EL-BNR
13-1934-EL-BNR
13-2234-EL-BNR
13-2350-EL-BNR