

**BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

GALLAGHER LAW P.C.

By: DAVID P. TEMPLE, ESQUIRE

Atty I.D. # 86937

1600 Market Street, Suite 1320

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-963-1555

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

ADVANCEDLIMOUSINE

COACH SERVICES, INC

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION :
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT : DOCKET NO.
: C-2018-2641676
: VS. :
: :
: :
ADVANCED LIMOUSINE COACH SERVICES INC :
: :

**ADVANCED LIMOUSINE COACH SERVICES INC ANSWER TO
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION BUREAU OF
INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COMPLAINT**

Respondent ADVANCED LIMOUSINE COACH SERVICES INC (hereinafter
ADVANCED), by and through its attorney, DAVID P. TEMPLE, ESQUIRE, answers
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION BUREAU OF
INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT (hereinafter PUC) Complaint and avers the
following:

1. Admitted.
2. Admitted.
3. Admitted.
4. Admitted.
5. Admitted.
6. Admitted.

7. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required
8. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required
9. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required
10. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required
11. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required
12. Denied. Upon reasonable investigation, Respondent is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this averment, and therefore, strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
13. Denied. Upon reasonable investigation, Respondent is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this averment, and therefore, strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
14. Denied. Upon reasonable investigation, Respondent is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this averment, and therefore, strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
15. Denied. Upon reasonable investigation, Respondent is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this averment, and therefore, strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.

16. Denied. Upon reasonable investigation, Respondent is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this averment, and therefore, strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
17. Denied. Upon reasonable investigation, Respondent is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this averment, and therefore, strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
18. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

WHEREFORE, Respondent ADVANCED LIMOUSINE COACH SERVICES INC respectfully requests this Honorable Commission to dismiss the Complaint in this matter with prejudice.

GALLAGHER LAW, P.C.

By:



DAVID P. TEMPLE, ESQUIRE

Date: 1/29/18

VERIFICATION

David P. Temple verifies that he is acquainted with the facts and information set forth in the above answer are true and correct to my knowledge, information and belief; and that the foregoing Verification is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.



DAVID TEMPLE