

Exhibit 4.

Summary of Oklahoma HB 2872 pertaining to smart meters

Source: <https://www.activistpost.com/2018/01/oklahomas-exemplary-ami-smart-meters-removal-consumer-protection-bill-model-states-follow.html>

1 – A choice of the type of utility meters to be installed and operated on their places of residence, property or business; among the choices offered shall be the installation or ongoing operation of a nontransmitting electromechanical analog meter;

2 – The ability to retain and operate an electromechanic alanalog [sic] meter on an ongoing basis at no cost; and

3 – The right to replacement of a wireless meter with a nontransmitting electromechanical meter at no cost.

C. The utility companies in Oklahoma shall be required to obtain the ratepayer's written consent, i.e. opt-in or opt-out:

1 – Before installing wireless meters or equivalent technology on the ratepayer's property; and

2 – Before altering the functionality of said meters.

D. The utility companies shall provide written notice to ratepayers within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this act for the purpose of informing said ratepayers if wireless meters have been installed on their properties. [...]

E. Utility companies by this section of law shall be:

1 – Prohibited from shutting off service to a ratepayer based on the ratepayer's utility usage or on the ratepayer having electromechanical analog meters;

2 – Prohibited from imposing any disincentive on a ratepayer for not consenting to the installation or use of wireless meters;

3 – Required to notify ratepayers in writing that the installation and use of wireless meters are not mandated by state or federal law and are not permitted without the ratepayer's consent;

Additionally, the bill provides that the Oklahoma Corporation Commission (OCC):

- 1. Shall establish an absolute state-wide moratorium on the deployment of smart meter AMI (advanced metering infrastructure), metering equipment that uses microwave technology to communicate information from the consumer to the utility, whether it is an electric utility or other. Such moratorium to remain in place until released by action of the State Legislature rescinding the requirements of this paragraph;**

2. **Shall ensure that all utility and phone service providers properly notice [sic] all customers of potential health hazards of any and all of their services and allow the customer to opt-out or modify services if desired by the customer;**

Additional provisions:

The OCC shall exercise its constitutional duty to independently review the safety of devices, such as smart meters,

5 – The OCC shall also promulgate rules that shall make Oklahoma permanently an opt-in state for any kind of smart meter application.

And here's the *pièce de résistance*, which refers to an “**Electric Usage Data Protection Act**” to be developed by the OCC to

... remove the capability of the utility to have access to any information from the consumer except for what is necessary, in calculating gross consumption of the utilities services, to bill for the amount of the utility used by the consumer. The revised language is to make it clear that the consumer, not the utility, owns consumer information and any information that is unnecessary for billing may be released only for good and valuable consideration received by the consumer from the utility through a written agreement. Additionally, the utility may not sell the information, whether it identifies the consumer or not, nor may it aggregate the information to sell it, unless each individual consumer owner has given permission in writing to the utility. [CJF emphasis]

Frompovich goes on to assert “There is something ‘missing’ from this exemplary bill, in my opinion:”

There is no mention of—*nor does it address*—the connection(s) between the fast-approaching and dramatically health-dangerous 5G broadband, which will require small cell towers placed every 300 feet that probably will have definite tie ins to AMI Smart Meters, the “*key feedback loop*” for the Internet of Things (IoT) reporting system for personal in-home data sharing, the concerns about which were discussed and neutralized in HB 2872.