



17 North Second Street
12th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601
717-731-1970 Main
717-731-1985 Main Fax
www.postschell.com

Anthony D. Kanagy

akanagy@postschell.com
717-612-6034 Direct
717-720-5387 Direct Fax
File #: 162860

July 19, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING


Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor North
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

**Re: Giant Eagle, Inc., et al. v. Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P.
Docket Nos. P-2018-3003368 and C-2018-3003365**

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed please find the Motion of Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P. for Protective Order in the above-referenced proceeding. Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully submitted,



Anthony D. Kanagy

ADK/skr
Enclosure

cc: Certificate of Service
Honorable Eranda Vero

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Giant Eagle, Inc.; Guttman Energy, Inc.;	:	
Lucknow-Highspire Terminals, LLC;	:	
Monroe Energy, LLC; Philadelphia Energy	:	Docket No. C-2018-3003365
Solutions Refining and Marketing, LLC;	:	Docket No. P-2018-3003368
and Sheetz, Inc.	:	
	:	
	:	
Petitioners,	:	
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P.	:	
	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

TO THE PRESIDING OFFICER

Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P. (“Laurel”) hereby requests that the Presiding Officer assigned to the above-captioned proceeding enter a Protective Order in this proceeding pursuant to the provisions of 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362(a)(7) and 5.365(a), and in support thereof represents as follows:

1. On July 12, 2018, Complainants/Petitioners¹ filed a Formal Complaint and associated Petition for Interim Emergency Relief with the Commission. Laurel filed an Answer to the Petition for Interim Emergency Relief on July 17, 2018, and Laurel will file an Answer to the Complaint on or before August 1, 2018.

2. Proprietary Information within the definition of 52 Pa. Code § 5.365 will be included in Laurel’s Answer or otherwise requested and produced during the course of this

¹ The Petitioners are collectively comprised of Giant Eagle, Inc. (“Giant Eagle”) Guttman Energy, Inc. (“Guttamn”), Lucknow-Highspire Terminals, LLC (“LHT”), Monroe Energy, LLC (“Monroe”); Philadelphia Energy Solutions Refining and Marketing, LLC (“PESRM”), and Sheetz, Inc. (“Sheetz”).

proceeding, which justifies the issuance of a Protective Order. Treatment of such information as set forth in the attached proposed Protective Order is justified because unrestricted disclosure of such information would not be in the public interest. These considerations constitute cause for the restrictions specified in 52 Pa. Code § 5.365 and in Administrative Law Judge or Commission Orders granting relief pursuant to said regulation.

3. Under 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362(a)(7) and 5.365, the Office of Administrative Law Judge or the Commission may issue a Protective Order to limit or prohibit disclosure of confidential commercial information where the potential harm to a participant would be substantial and outweighs the public's interest in having access to the confidential information. In applying this standard, relevant factors to be considered include: the extent to which disclosure would cause unfair economic or competitive damage; the extent to which the information is known by others and used in similar activities; and the worth or value of the information to the party and to the party's competitors. 52 Pa. Code § 5.365(a)(1)-(3).

4. The attached proposed Protective Order defines two categories of protected information. The first is "CONFIDENTIAL," which is defined in Paragraph 3 of the attached proposed Protective Order as "those materials that customarily are treated by that party as sensitive or proprietary, that are not available to the public, and that, if disclosed freely, would subject that party or its clients to risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury." The second is "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL," which is also defined in Paragraph 3 of the attached proposed Protective Order as "those materials that are of such a commercially sensitive nature or of such a private, personal nature that the producing party is able to justify a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials." Moreover, Paragraph 3 of the attached proposed Protective Order also defines "HIGHLY

CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” as including “information subject to the restrictions of 49 U.S.C.A. App. Section 15(13).” 49 U.S.C.A. App. Section 15(13) states, in pertinent part:

It shall be unlawful for any common carrier subject to the provisions of this part, or any officer, agent, or employee of such common carrier, or for any other person or corporation lawfully authorized by such common carrier to receive information therefrom, knowingly to disclose to or permit to be acquired by any person or corporation other than the shipper or consignee, without the consent of such shipper or consignee, any information concerning the nature, kind, quantity, destination, consignee, or routing of any property tendered or delivered to such common carrier for the interstate transportation, which information may be used to the detriment or prejudice of such shipper or consignee, or which may improperly disclose his business transactions to a competitor; and it shall also be unlawful for any person or corporation to solicit or knowingly receive any such information which may be so used: *Provided*, That nothing in this chapter shall be construed to prevent the giving of such information in response to any legal process issued under the authority of any State or Federal court, or to any officer or agent of the Government of the United States, or of any State or Territory, in the exercise of his powers, or to any officer or other duly authorized person seeking such information for the prosecution of persons charged with or suspected of crime; or information given by a common carrier to another carrier or its duly authorized agent, for the purpose of adjusting mutual traffic accounts in the ordinary course of business of such carriers.

5. Since “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” is intended to be distributed only to a small group of persons who are not directly employed by the parties in this proceeding, the attached Protective Order reflects the parties’ commitment to reduce the amount and type of information that is provided with the “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” designation and to not identify, by way of example, the names of shippers or customers in response to discovery as a means of avoiding the “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” designation to the extent reasonably possible.

6. Paragraph 17 of the attached proposed Protective Order protects against overly broad designations of protected information by giving all parties the right to question or

challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of the information deemed “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.”

7. Limitation on the disclosure of information deemed “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” will not prejudice the rights of the participants, nor will such limitation frustrate the prompt and fair resolution of this proceeding. The proposed Protective Order balances the interests of the parties, the public, and the Commission.


8. The attached Protective Order sought by Laurel will protect the proprietary nature of competitively valuable information while allowing the parties to use such information for purposes of the instant litigation. The proposed Protective Order applies the least restrictive means of limitation that will provide the necessary protections from disclosure.

9. The attached Protective Order also addresses the potential that information regarding interstate shipments may be sought that is otherwise discoverable, but is subject to the restrictions of the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C.A. App. Section 15(13), and compels discovery of such information subject to the provisions of the Protective Order.

10. Laurel notes that the attached Protective Order is identical to the Protective Order issued in the Laurel Application proceeding at Docket Nos. A-2016-2575829 and G-2017-2587567. The substantial majority of the Complainants/Petitioners and/or their affiliates were consulted with and involved in the development of that prior order. As such, Laurel believes that it is reasonable and in the public interest to adopt a Protective Order in this proceeding, which will likely involve the production and exchange of Proprietary Information that is substantially similar to the Proprietary Information produced in the Laurel Application proceeding at Docket Nos. A-2016-2575829 and G-2017-2587567.

WHEREFORE, for all the reasons set forth above, Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P. respectfully requests that Your Honor issue the attached Protective Order.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher J. Barr, Esquire (DC ID #375372)
Jessica R. Rogers, Esquire (PA ID #309842)
Post & Schell, P.C.
607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005-2000
Phone: (202) 347-1000
Fax: (202) 661-6970
E-mail: cbarr@postschell.com
E-mail: jrogers@postschell.com

David B. MacGregor, Esquire (PA ID #28804)
Anthony D. Kanagy, Esquire (PA ID #85522)
Garrett P. Lent, Esquire (PA ID #321566)
Post & Schell, P.C.
17 North Second Street, 12th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601
Phone: (717) 731-1970
Fax: (717) 731-1985
E-mail: dmacgregor@postschell.com
E-mail: akanagy@postschell.com
E-mail: glent@postschell.com

Date: July 19, 2018

Counsel for Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P.

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Giant Eagle, Inc.; Guttman Energy, Inc.;	:	
Lucknow-Highspire Terminals, LLC;	:	
Monroe Energy, LLC; Philadelphia Energy	:	Docket No. C-2018-3003365
Solutions Refining and Marketing, LLC;	:	Docket No. P-2018-3003368
and Sheetz, Inc.	:	
	:	
	:	
Petitioners,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P.	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

PROTECTIVE ORDER

Upon consideration of the Motion for a Protective Order that was filed by Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P. on July 19, 2018;

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is hereby granted with respect to all materials and information identified in Paragraphs 2 – 3 below.
2. The information subject to this Protective Order is all correspondence, documents, data, information, studies, methodologies and other materials, furnished in this proceeding, that are reasonably believed by the producing party to be of a proprietary or confidential nature and that are so designated by being marked “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.” Such materials will be referred to below as “Proprietary Information.” When a statement or exhibit is identified for the record, the portions thereof that constitute Proprietary Information shall be designated as such for the record.

3. This Protective Order applies to the following categories of materials: (a) the parties may designate as “CONFIDENTIAL” those materials that customarily are treated by that party as sensitive or proprietary, that are not available to the public, and that, if disclosed freely, would subject that party or its clients to risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury; (b) the parties may designate as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” those materials that are of such a commercially sensitive nature or of such a private, personal nature that the producing party is able to justify a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials; moreover, information subject to the restrictions of 49 U.S.C.A. App. Section 15(13) will be designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.” Given the limited distribution afforded to “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” under the terms of this Protective Order, the parties shall endeavor to limit their designation of information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL. The parties shall also redact or take other steps reasonably necessary to eliminate from any discovery responses the names, addresses or any other information that could reveal the identity of shippers or customers, whose actual names shall be replaced by a letter or numerical designation.

4. Proprietary Information shall be made available to counsel for a party, subject to the terms of this Protective Order. Such counsel shall use or disclose the Proprietary Information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or settlement in this proceeding. No person who may be entitled to receive, or who is afforded access to any CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL shall use or disclose such information for purposes of business or competition, or any purpose other than the preparation for and conduct of this proceeding or any administrative or judicial

review thereof. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, counsel for a party may afford access to Proprietary Information subject to the conditions set forth in this Protective Order.

5. Information deemed as “CONFIDENTIAL” shall be made available to a “Reviewing Representative” who is a person that has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate attached as Appendix A, and who is:

- (i) An attorney who has entered an appearance in this proceeding for a party or who serves as the General Counsel or Assistant General Counsel for a party;
- (ii) Attorneys, paralegals, and other employees associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in Paragraph 5(i);
- (iii) An expert or an employee of an expert retained by a party for the purpose of advising, preparing for or testifying in this proceeding; or
- (iv) Employees or other representatives of a party appearing in this proceeding with significant responsibility for this docket.

With regard to Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (“I&E”), information deemed as “CONFIDENTIAL” shall be made available to I&E Prosecutors subject to the terms of this Protective Order. The I&E Prosecutors shall use or disclose the CONFIDENTIAL information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or settlement in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, the I&E Prosecutors may afford access to CONFIDENTIAL information only to I&E’s experts, without the need for the execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate, who are full-time employees of the Commission and bound by all the provisions of this Order by virtue of the I&E Prosecutors’ execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

6. Information deemed as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL”, may be provided to a “Reviewing Representative” who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate attached as Appendix A and who is:

- (i) An attorney for a statutory advocate pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §1.8, or an outside attorney who has entered an appearance in this proceeding for a party;
- (ii) An attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in Paragraph 6(i);
- (iii) An outside expert or an employee of an outside expert retained by a party for the purposes of advising, preparing for or testifying in this proceeding; or
- (iv) A person designated as a Reviewing Representative for purposes of HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL, provided that a Reviewing Representative for purposes of HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL shall not include an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any competitor of the parties, or of any shipper, customer or consignee on Laurel, or of any affiliate of any competitor of the parties, or shipper, customer or consignee on Laurel, or any employee of any such entity, if that person’s duties involve marketing or pricing responsibilities, or any responsibility for marketing or pricing with respect to the transportation or commodity sales and/or exchanges of refined petroleum products.

With regard to I&E, information deemed as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” shall be made available to the I&E Prosecutors subject to the terms of this Protective Order. The I&E Prosecutors shall use or disclose the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or settlement in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, the I&E Prosecutors may afford access to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL, only to I&E’s experts, without the need for the execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate, who are full-time employees of the Commission and bound by all the

provisions of this Protective Order by virtue of the I&E Prosecutors' execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

Provided, further, that in accordance with the provisions of Sections 5.362 and 5.365(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362, 5.365(e), any party may, by subsequent objection or motion, seek further protection with respect to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL, including, but not limited to, total prohibition of disclosure or limitation of disclosure only to particular parties.

7. For purposes of this Protective Order, a Reviewing Representative may not be a "Restricted Person."

(a) A "Restricted Person" shall mean: (i) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any competitor of the parties or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services; (ii) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any affiliate of a competitor of the parties (including any association of competitors of the parties) or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services; (iii) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of a competitor of a customer of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer of the parties; and (iv) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of an affiliate of a competitor of a customer of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer of the parties; provided, however, that no expert shall be disqualified on account of being a stockholder, partner, or owner unless that expert's interest in the business would provide a significant motive for violation of the limitations of permissible use of the Proprietary Information. For purposes of this Stipulated Protective Agreement, stocks, partnership or other ownership interests valued at

more than \$100,000 or constituting more than a 1% interest in a business establishes a significant motive for violation.

(b) If an expert for a party, another member of the expert's firm or the expert's firm generally also serves as an expert for, or as a consultant or advisor to, a Restricted Person with respect to the marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services, said expert must: (i) identify for the parties each Restricted Person and each expert or consultant; (ii) make reasonable attempts to segregate those personnel assisting in the expert's participation in this proceeding from those personnel working on behalf of a Restricted Person; and (iii) if segregation of such personnel is impractical the expert shall give to the producing party written assurances that the lack of segregation will in no way jeopardize the interests of the parties or their customers. The parties retain the right to challenge the adequacy of the written assurances that the parties' or their customers' interests will not be jeopardized. No other persons may have access to the Proprietary Information except as authorized by order of the Commission.

8. A qualified "Reviewing Representative" for "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL" may review and discuss "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL" with their client or with the entity with which they are employed or associated, to the extent that the client or entity is not a "Restricted Person", but may not share with or permit the client or entity to review the "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL". Such discussions must be general in nature and not disclose specific "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL," provided however that counsel for I&E may share proprietary information with the I&E Director, without obtaining a Non-Disclosure Certificate from these individuals, provided however, that this individual otherwise abide by the terms of the Protective Order.

9. Information deemed Proprietary Information shall not be used except as necessary for the conduct of this proceeding, nor shall it be disclosed in any manner to any person except a Reviewing Representative who is engaged in the conduct of this proceeding and who needs to know the information in order to carry out that person's responsibilities in this proceeding.

10. Reviewing Representatives may not use information contained in any Proprietary Information obtained through this proceeding to give any party or any competitor or customer or consignee of any party a commercial advantage. In the event that a party wishes to designate as a Reviewing Representative a person not described in Paragraphs 5(i) through 5(iv) or 6(i) through 6(iii) above, the party shall seek agreement from the party providing the Proprietary Information. If an agreement is reached, that person shall be a Reviewing Representative pursuant to Paragraph 6(iv) above with respect to those materials. If no agreement is reached, the party shall submit the disputed designation to the presiding Administrative Law Judge for resolution.

11. (a) A Reviewing Representative shall not be permitted to inspect, participate in discussions regarding, or otherwise be permitted access to Proprietary Information pursuant to this Protective Order unless that Reviewing Representative has first executed a Non-Disclosure Certificate provided that if an attorney qualified as a Reviewing Representative has executed such a certificate, the paralegals, secretarial and clerical personnel under the attorney's instruction, supervision or control need not do so, nor do Commission employees assisting I&E as noted above in Paragraphs 5 and 6. A copy of each Non-Disclosure Certificate shall be provided to counsel for the parties asserting confidentiality prior to disclosure of any Proprietary Information to that Reviewing Representative.

(b) Attorneys and outside experts qualified as Reviewing Representatives are responsible for ensuring that persons under their supervision or control comply with the Protective Order.

12. None of the parties waive their right to pursue any other legal or equitable remedies that may be available in the event of actual or anticipated disclosure of Proprietary Information.

13. The parties shall designate data or documents as constituting or containing Proprietary Information by marking the documents “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.” Where only part of data compilations or multi-page documents constitutes or contains Proprietary Information, the parties, insofar as reasonably practicable within discovery and other time constraints imposed in this proceeding, shall designate only the specific data or pages of documents that constitute or contain Proprietary Information. The Proprietary Information shall be served upon the parties hereto only in an envelope separate from the nonproprietary materials, and the envelope shall be conspicuously marked “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.” Notwithstanding the above, since “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” is intended to be distributed only to a small group of persons who are not directly employed by the parties in this proceeding, the parties shall use their best reasonable efforts to reduce the amount and type of information that is provided with the “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” designation and to not identify the names, addresses or any other information that could reveal the identity of shippers or customers in response to discovery.

14. The parties will consider and treat the Proprietary Information as within the exemptions from disclosure provided in Section 335(d) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. §

335(d), and the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Act, 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.*, until such time as the information is found to be non-proprietary. In the event that any person or entity seeks to compel the disclosure of Proprietary Information, the non-producing party shall promptly notify the producing party in order to provide the producing party an opportunity to oppose or limit such disclosure.

15. Any public reference to Proprietary Information by a party or its Reviewing Representatives shall be to the title or exhibit reference in sufficient detail to permit persons with access to the Proprietary Information to understand fully the reference and not more. The Proprietary Information shall remain a part of the record, to the extent admitted, for all purposes of administrative or judicial review.

16. Part of any record of this proceeding containing Proprietary Information, including but not limited to all exhibits, writings, testimony, cross examination, argument, and responses to discovery, and including reference thereto as mentioned in Paragraph 15 above, shall be sealed for all purposes, including administrative and judicial review, unless such Proprietary Information is released from the restrictions of this Protective Order, either through the agreement of the parties to this proceeding or pursuant to an order of the Commission.

17. The parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of Proprietary Information including, without limitation, the identity of shippers and/or customers, and to question or challenge the admissibility of Proprietary Information. In the event of a question or challenge to the confidential or proprietary nature of Proprietary Information, the parties shall make a good faith effort to redact or modify the Proprietary Information so that it is no longer "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL". If a party challenges the designation of a

document or information as proprietary, the party providing the information retains the burden of demonstrating that the designation is appropriate.

18. The parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the admissibility of Proprietary Information; to object to the production of Proprietary Information on any proper ground; and to refuse to produce Proprietary Information pending the adjudication of the objection.

19. Within 30 days after a Commission final order is entered in the above-captioned proceeding, or in the event of appeals, within thirty days after appeals are finally decided, the parties, upon request, shall either destroy or return to the parties all copies of all documents and other materials not entered into the record, including notes, which contain any Proprietary Information. In the event that a party elects to destroy all copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information instead of returning the copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information to the parties, the party shall certify in writing to the other producing party that the Proprietary Information has been destroyed.

20. In addition, in light of the fact that documents requested in this proceeding may contain information concerning interstate shipments that may be subject to the restrictions contained in the Interstate Commerce Act (“ICA”), 49 U.S.C.A. App. Section 15(13), the undersigned finds that an order compelling disclosure of information and materials, which disclosure in the absence of such an order might be deemed to violate the provisions of the Interstate Commerce Act, would facilitate discovery in this matter, and be in the public interest. The undersigned also finds that, consistent with the purpose and intent of Section 15(13) of the ICA—*i.e.* to preclude disclosure of competitively sensitive information that could be used to the detriment of a shipper—such disclosure should be made contingent upon and subject to a

protective order that will adequately protect shipper interests. Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that such information and materials shall be disclosed in accordance with, and subject to, the terms and conditions of this Protective Order.

Dated: _____

The Hon. Administrative Law Judge

APPENDIX A

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Giant Eagle, Inc.; Guttman Energy, Inc.;	:	
Lucknow-Highspire Terminals, LLC;	:	
Monroe Energy, LLC; Philadelphia Energy Solutions	:	Docket No. C-2018-3003365
Refining and Marketing, LLC;	:	Docket No. P-2018-3003368
and Sheetz, Inc.	:	
	:	
Petitioners,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
Laurel Pipe Line Company, L.P.	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

NON-DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The undersigned is the _____ of _____
(the retaining party). The undersigned has read and understands the Protective Order deals with
the treatment of Proprietary Information, and the undersigned is a (check ONE):

- Reviewing Representative for CONFIDENTIAL information.
- Reviewing Representative for CONFIDENTIAL & HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information.

The undersigned agrees to be bound by and comply with the terms and conditions of said Protective Order.

Name

Signature

Address

Employer

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
(Docket No. P-2018-3003368)**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

VIA E-MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Timothy K. McHugh, Esquire
Bureau of Investigation & Enforcement
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor West
PO Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

John R. Evans
Small Business Advocate
Office of Small Business Advocate
300 North Second Street, Suite 202
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Tanya J. McCloskey, Esquire
Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street
Forum Place, 5th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

Robert A. Weishaar, Jr., Esquire
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
1200 G Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005

Adeolu A. Bakare, Esquire
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166


Alan M. Seltzer, Esquire
John F. Povilaitis, Esquire
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney, PC
409 N. Second Street, Suite 500
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1357

Jonathan D. Marcus, Esquire
Daniel J. Stuart, Esquire
Marcus & Shapira LLP
One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor
301 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-6401

Kevin J. McKeon, Esquire
Todd S. Stewart, Esquire
Whitney E. Snyder, Esquire
Hawke McKeon & Sniscak LLP
100 North Tenth Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Richard E. Powers, Jr., Esquire
Joseph R. Hicks, Esquire
Venable LLP
575 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Date: July 19, 2018



Anthony D. Kanagy