

To Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

October 14, 2018

I am filing an exception to Docket number C-2018-3003563 because we were never given a chance to respond to the original case. We were called by PGW and told that they wanted their money and then we received the order. From my understanding as someone who is not a lawyer, is that the exception that PGW filed a 1 year and 5 months after the case had been finished, and the only correspondence we did receive prior to the call from PGW was a copy of the original document. There is no proof from PGW that they sent us the document with the exception, only that we received a fedex package from them. If we had received that exception we could have responded, as we had already proven our side to the original judge. It only makes sense that if we had gone as far as to have a hearing, why would we not file an exception to the exception which PGW had most recently filed. We are only asking for an opportunity to right the wrong which has been done. This is not a case of raising the same claims as the prior complaint, this is asking for a continuation of the original complaint, as we were never given a chance to respond to PGW's exception. If the judge would read the last exception filed by PGW, they will find that it does not even make sense. In the original case, the judge found that the technician who was the primary witness for PGW had no knowledge about appliances or what was in the house. The house was not in a livable condition. If PGW had no issue lying about this, why would they not have an issue lying about sending us the wrong document. This could have been a mistake on their part, but the only thing we know, is that we never received it.

Thank you,
Elchanan and Esther Abergel