

**JANET E. COLE  
630 EUCLID AVENUE  
TEMPLE, PA 19560**

**FIRST CLASS MAIL**

November 12, 2018

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street 2<sup>nd</sup> Flor  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Janet E. Cole vs. Metropolitan Edison Company  
Docket No. C-2018-3003023

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

I have enclosed my Opposition to Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents of Metropolitan Edison Company to Janet E. Cole, Set 1 and Requests for Production of Documents of Metropolitan Edison Company to Janet E. Cole, Set1

A copy of this document will be forwarded to Metropolitan Edison Company and to Administrative Law Judge Jeffrey Watson.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Janet E. Cole  
630 Euclid Avenue  
Temple, PA 19560  
(610) 929.4374  
[janeco@ptd.net](mailto:janeco@ptd.net)

RECEIVED  
2018 NOV 15 PM 12:08  
PA PUC  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

November 12, 2018

Docket # C-2018-3003023

Dear Secretary Lepkoski:


I am writing regarding the Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents (Set 1) to Janet E. Cole which was dated November 5, 2018 and received by me November 9, 2018.

The due date for my answers is within twenty days of service of this letter (November 26, 2018). In addition, any objections are due within ten days of this letter (November 15, 2018).

Please be advised that I am objecting to the interrogatories for a number of reasons;

- a. It would be impossible to gather said information in that amount of time even for an attorney. As an unrepresented individual, it would take months to complete this form considering the massive amount of questions and sub-questions. Twenty (days) to respond is absolutely and utterly unreasonable.
- b. Many of the questions are thoroughly irrelevant.
- c. Many questions are duplications of the question asked in the Interim Order Establishing Initial Litigation Schedule and Interim Order Denying Preliminary Objections that I received from the PUC that are due on or before January 10, 2018.
- d. The questions relating to medical records, medications, dates of doctor visits, etc. for members of my household and myself are unnecessarily intrusive and irrelevant.
- e. Asking for the location in my home of various electronic devices is an invasion of privacy, which is one of my objections to said Controlling devices. Privacy has been one of my main concerns from the beginning and was explained in my original complaint.
- f. I question the legality of this entire process.

Sincerely,




Janet E. Cole  
630 Euclid Avenue  
Temple, PA 19560

cc: Lauren Lepkoski, Esquire  
Honorable Jeffrey A. Watson

Janet E. Cole  
630 Euclid Avenue  
Temple, PA 19560

HARRISBURG PA 171

13 NOV 2015 PM 4 1



Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

17120-009999

