

Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Harrisburg PA 17120

**RECEIVED**  
**RECEIVED**  
NOV 19 2018  
PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Notice to Plead: Evelyn D. Dreher v. Metropolitan Edison Company  
Docket No. C-2018-3005217

Dear Secretary Chiavetta,

I am in receipt of a legal document dated October 29<sup>th</sup> 2018 from Lauren M. Lepkoski, Attorney for First Energy that requires a response within 20 days. Please note that this document was received on Saturday November 3<sup>rd</sup> 2018.

In regard to the Notice to Plead set forth by the legal team for (First Energy) Met Ed, I, Evelyn D. Dreher residing at 31 Crown Point Drive, East Stroudsburg PA 18302 (service location) have stated that I do not wish to have a radiation emitting smart meter installed upon my home.

**Response to Notice to Plead**

The attorney's for Met Ed state that they provide service to my address but that the account is not in my name. While that may be true, the account is in my husband's name, Edward J. Dreher, and it was set up by the builder who built my home in 1994. However, both my husband's name and mine appear on the deed. I am usually the one who opens and responds to all matters. Ms. Lepkoski admits that I am authorized on the account, therefore, as the home owner I have every legal right to pursue this issue.

**Regarding Intent to terminate my service:**

**1** The legal team for the company states on page 2 that it is denied that the Company *had* active intent to terminate my service. I disagree with that statement. They did have active intent and they proved that in letters they sent to my home, which include a pre-disconnection notice and a 10 day disconnection notice. They had every intention of disconnecting my service until that I filed a formal complaint.

**2** I consider the letters Met Ed sent to my home to be coercion, which is a crime. The use of coercion is the means by which a person, or group of people, imposes their will upon another or others. Coercion is the negation of individual freedom, self-ownership, and individual sovereignty. 1st Amendment. I have never denied Met Ed access to my property to read my meter. The legal team for First Energy cites Pa.C.S. 1406 and 52 Pa.Code 56.81 as proof that they are legally bound by the Commission to turn my power off if I do not comply. I understand that Met Ed has the right of access to my meter for the purpose of replacement, maintenance, repair or meter reading, but the codes cited make absolutely no mention to replacing an analog meter with a radiation emitting smart meter. This is where my opposition begins. Met Ed has been to my home to read the meter since this whole fiasco began, which proves to me that they do not need to install a smart meter on my home to measure my energy usage.

#### **1406. Termination of utility service.**

**(a) Authorized termination.**—A public utility may notify a customer and terminate service provided to a customer after notice as provided in subsection (b) for any of the following actions by the customer:

- (1) Nonpayment of an undisputed delinquent account.
- (2) Failure to comply with the material terms of a payment arrangement.
- (3) Failure to complete payment of a deposit, provide a guarantee of payment or establish credit.
- (4) Failure to permit access to meters, service connections or other property of the public utility for the purpose of replacement, maintenance, repair or meter reading.

Regarding Commission approved tariffs:

**3** I do not believe that I am in violation of the Tariff as I have not committed fraud or material misrepresentation, although Met Ed has. I have not tampered with the meter or other equipment, and I am not endangering the safety of a person or the integrity or the operation of the Met Ed facilities. Again, Met Ed does not *need* to put a smart meter on my home in order to measure my energy usage.

**4** On the Met Ed/First Energy website, on the Radio Frequency fact sheet, Met Ed/First Energy states: "*Be assured that the smart meter technology being implemented has been rigorously tested and proven by manufacturers to be accurate, safe and secure in systems throughout the country.*" (Emphasis mine) That is misrepresentation and consumer fraud! Exhibit D.

#### **Regarding accuracy**

**1** There have been cases nationwide where consumers have been over charged since the installation of a smart meter. From MaineBiz:

JULY 20, 2018

#### **Class-action lawsuit filed against CMP over alleged over billing**

Central Maine Power faces a class-action lawsuit from customers alleging they've been overcharged in their monthly bills from the utility over the past winter.

The Associated Press reported that the lawsuit was filed Thursday in Cumberland County Superior Court.

Bangor Daily News reported on Wednesday that the Augusta law firm Lipman & Katz was joined by two other law firms — Trafton, Matzen, Belleau & Frenette of Auburn and Napoli Shkolnik of New York City — in bringing the lawsuit against CMP.

"As a result of our investigation, it is estimated that over 97,000 customers of Central Maine Power Co. have been overcharged 50% or more on their utility bills, and another 200,000 customers have been overcharged up to 50%," attorney Sumner Lipman wrote in an announcement reported by the BDN.

Lipman told the BDN the law firms have been contacted by more than 200 people wanting to be included in the class-action lawsuit to recoup the alleged overbilling by CMP.

CMP spokesperson Gail Rice told the Associated Press that under state law the Maine Public Utilities Commission is tasked with ensuring fair, accurate bills and has not yet issued its findings in its investigation launched on Feb. 22 regarding the alleged over billings.

<http://www.mainebiz.biz/article/20180720/NEWS0101/180729999/class-action-lawsuit-filed-against-cmp-over-alleged-overbillings>

**BOSTON (WGME)** – A class-action lawsuit has been filed against the parent company of Central Maine Power, claiming the company overcharged customers in a year's long scheme. The suit was filed against Eversource Energy and Avangrid Inc. in a Massachusetts court. It states 7.1 million customers in all six New England states were affected by a "unique monopoly" and were allegedly overcharged \$3.6 billion.

<https://wgme.com/news/local/lawsuit-filed-against-parent-company-of-cmp-for-allegedly-overcharging-customers>

And also see: Robert Cordts and Jennifer Cordts v. Oncor Electric, in Texas, whose bills skyrocketed from \$500 per month to \$5,000 within a 3 month period.

**2** You cannot state on the First Energy Fact sheet that these meters have been *proven* to be *accurate*. That statement is not factual? Every day new light is shed on your dark scheme to rip off the people of America. The only ones benefitting from your SMP program are the power companies, the Utilities Commissions and the unscrupulous politicians who wrote legislation that is violating the rights of the people.

### **Regarding Fire Hazards**

**1** In researching fire hazards I found several articles and reports from fire chiefs. I am enclosing a copy of a report from the City of Berkeley Fire Department that specifies that a house fire was caused by a smart meter. (Exhibit A)

**2** Please see also the report published on Oct. 21, 2014 by Utility Dive, an industry dashboard designed to keep energy and utility executives connected with information that is critical to their jobs. In the report it states that Fire Chiefs in Reno and Sparks Nevada linked *nine* fires to smart meters. It also states that PECO replaced 70,000 meters in its territory after a fire in Philadelphia. That hit much closer to home. (Exhibit B)

Here's a link to the Pennsylvania fire: <http://www.buckscountycouriertimes.com/93cf65bb-a298-5978-a88e-464c2f4451e1.html>

**3** I also found a letter from the City Council of Berkeley to the California PUC requesting that the CPUC continue its independent investigation of PG&E's smart meter program, allow consumers to opt out, place a moratorium on the removal, disposal, or permanent alteration of old PG&E meters within the city limits of Berkeley, and consider and installation moratorium as well. Their concern was that the meters would start a fire that would destroy multiple homes and businesses. (Exhibit C)

**4 People have died in fires caused by smart meters it appears that the utility companies and commissions care nothing about the tragic loss of life caused by the meters they have installed. This is reckless endangerment and depraved indifference.**

**5 Besides causing fires, these meters have been known to explode. It's been reported that hundreds have been replaced due to explosions and hundreds of thousands for other safety issues.**

In September of 2014 tens of thousands of defective meters were replaced in AZ,  
[https://www.oregonlive.com/business/index.ssf/2014/07/pge\\_replacing\\_some\\_electricity.html](https://www.oregonlive.com/business/index.ssf/2014/07/pge_replacing_some_electricity.html)

In August of 2014 10,000 meters were replaced in Lakeland FL,  
<https://www.theledger.com/article/LK/20140826/News/608092163/LL/>

In October of 2014 the CEO of SASK Power resigned following an investigation of a smart meter catastrophe and 105,000 meters were replaced. <https://www.ctvnews.ca/canada/saskpower-ceo-resigns-after-smart-meters-report-1.2072954>

In April of 2015 Stockton CA, hundreds of smart meters exploded  
<https://sacramento.cbslocal.com/2015/03/30/stockton-smart-meters-explode-after-truck-causes-power-surge/>

In May 2015 100 meters exploded in Capitola CA  
<http://nesaranews.blogspot.com/2015/06/100-more-smart-meters-explode-in.html>

### **Regarding Privacy**

**1 In regard to my concerns about privacy and hacking, I believe that smart meters are a violation of the 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the US Constitution and the 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the Pennsylvania Constitution. These meters will be tracking our energy usage and will let you, and criminals who hack into them, know whether a person is home or not, when we go to sleep and when we rise, how many hours per day we watch television or use a computer or other electronic device; how often we do laundry or use a dish washer, how long we're in the shower, etc. This is information that would be unobtainable without a warrant.**

**2 Smart meters can be turned off remotely and individual appliances can be turned off as well. It's happened in other states already. And what if someone who knows how to hack them, or someone from the power company who is angry with a customer, decides to play a little game with someone's life and home? In researching privacy issues I found a few important articles that helped me make an informed decision.**

**3 The Electronic Privacy Information Center cites a list of potential privacy consequences of Smart Grid Systems including, but not limited to, identity theft, activity censorship, profiling, tracking behavior of renters/ leasers, and real-time surveillance. This is being done without our consent and without a warrant and is a violation of our 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment rights under the Pennsylvania Constitution. The website doesn't allow me to print so here's the link. <https://epic.org/privacy/smartgrid/smartgrid.html>**

**4 In 2010, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) published a report identifying privacy issues. They placed them in two categories: privacy concerns that smart meters will reveal the**

activities of people inside of a home by measuring their electricity usage *frequently* over time; and fears that inadequate cyber-security measures surrounding the digital transmission of smart meter data will expose it to misuse by authorized and unauthorized users of the data.

5 An article in Security Week dated January 4, 2017 entitled, Smart Meters Pose Security Risks to Consumers, Utilities, they talk about the Zigbee and GMS chips that are used in the meters and their vulnerabilities. Since I couldn't print it to include as an exhibit I'll put the link here.

<https://www.securityweek.com/smart-meters-pose-security-risks-consumers-utilities-researcher>

Hacking Expert David Chalk Joins Urgent Call to Halt Smart Grid

<https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20120412005992/en/Hacking-Expert-David-Chalk-Joins-Urgent-Call%23.UzkEc8fsvyw>

**Data collected by the meters is being given freely to ICE agents upon request:**

***See: United States v. Jones and Naperville Smart Meter Awareness v. City of Naperville***

***See article:*** Seattle City Light provided ICE Agents, on three separate occasions, with detailed information on customers including names, phone numbers and social security numbers. ICE investigators requested the information directly from staff who handles customer accounts. See link here: <https://crosscut.com/2018/04/city-light-provided-customer-information-ice>

**Our rights under the Constitution of Pennsylvania are being violated:**

**§ 1. Inherent rights of mankind.**

All men are born equally free and independent, and have certain inherent and indefeasible rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing and protecting property and reputation, and of pursuing their own happiness.

**§ 2. Political powers.**

All power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority and instituted for their peace, safety and happiness. For the advancement of these ends they have at all times an inalienable and indefeasible right to alter, reform or abolish their government in such manner as they may think proper.

**§ 8. Security from searches and seizures.**

The people shall be secure in their persons, houses, papers and possessions from unreasonable searches and seizures, and no warrant to search any place or to seize any person or things shall issue without describing them as nearly as may be, nor without probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation subscribed to by the affiant.

**6** The FBI has already reported that smart meters have been hacked: A series of hacks perpetrated against so-called "smart meter" installations over the past several years may have cost a single U.S. electric utility hundreds of millions of dollars annually, the FBI said in a cyber intelligence bulletin obtained by KrebsOnSecurity. Please see link below to read article in its entirety.

<https://krebsonsecurity.com/2012/04/fbi-smart-meter-hacks-likely-to-spread/>

<https://www.mnn.com/earth-matters/energy/stories/smart-grid-company-telvent-struck-by-chinese-hackers> **Smart grid company Telvent struck by Chinese hackers**

**Implantable medical devices can be hacked to harm patients.**

These include insulin pumps, pace makers, defibrillators, deep brain implants. Microwave RF Radiation can interfere with the settings and turn them off or back to factory standards.

Please see link: <https://www.csoonline.com/article/3146604/security/implantable-medical-devices-can-be-hacked-to-harm-patients.html>

[http://cdn.intechopen.com/pdfs/13783/InTech-Electromagnetic\\_interference\\_of\\_the\\_pacemakers.pdf](http://cdn.intechopen.com/pdfs/13783/InTech-Electromagnetic_interference_of_the_pacemakers.pdf)

<http://www.electronicsilentspring.com/gary-olhoeft/>

### **Health Problems**

**1** The utility companies claim that there is no credible proof of harmful effects from smart meters. That's a fabrication based on outdated information or a complete failure to study the new evidence put forth by doctors and scientists.

**2** Santa Cruz County (California) Public Health Department voiced their concerns. They are worried about the growing number of people who say they have developed electrical sensitivity (ES) and other health complaints. In many cases, their symptoms developed after smart meters were installed. As you know, smart meters emit electromagnetic radio-frequency (RF) radiation. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), has clearly singled out RF radiation, as emitted by smart meters and similar wireless devices, as a possible Class 2B carcinogen. This type of radiation exposures has been found to contribute to DNA damage (an indirect link to cancer), and the creation of free radicals; molecules which damage cells and are associated with cancer, according to medical professionals who have been studying the effects of microwave radiation exposure.

See article: <https://patch.com/california/lamesa/bp--california-health-department-cites-smart-meter-he4ec8108525>

[http://sccounty01.co.santa-cruz.ca.us/bds/Govstream/BDSvData/non\\_legacy/agendas/2011/20110111/PDF/034-1.pdf](http://sccounty01.co.santa-cruz.ca.us/bds/Govstream/BDSvData/non_legacy/agendas/2011/20110111/PDF/034-1.pdf)

Poki Stewart Namkung, M.D. M.P.H., prepared this report: Health Risks Associated With SmartMeters which recognizes:

- Smart Meters transmit pulsed radiation (RF) 24/7
- There are evidence-based health risks of RF
- RF exposure can be cumulative and additive
- The massive increase in RF public exposures since the mid-1990's
- The controversy between independent and industry science, including lack of funding for independent research
- Evidence to support an Electrical Sensitivity (EHS) diagnosis
- The public health issue is that Smart Meters are involuntary RF exposures
- FCC thermal guidelines are irrelevant for non-thermal public exposures.
- The lack of relevant safety standards for chronic pulsed RF

**MPSC Launches Investigation into the Deployment of Smart Meters by Regulated Electric Utilities in Michigan** [https://www.michigan.gov/mpsc/0,4639,7-159-16400\\_17280-269136--,00.html](https://www.michigan.gov/mpsc/0,4639,7-159-16400_17280-269136--,00.html)

**Marin County Imposes Moratorium on smart Meters**

<https://www.marincounty.org/-/media/files/maringov/board-actions/2014/jan/2014012812ccsmartmetersltr.pdf>

**Lake County, CA Board of Supervisors: Temporary Smart Meter installation moratorium**

One after another, cases are multiplying because people are concerned with the impact smart meters have on their health, safety and privacy.

**3** Met Ed's website has a radio frequency fact sheet that assures the public that smart meters are less dangerous than cell phones, micro wave ovens and baby monitors and/or any other type of electronic device we have in our homes. However, fail to disclose that the radiation from a smart meter differs from a cell phone in that smart meters emit between 9,600 and 190,000 pulses of high frequency radiation in one 24-hour period and cannot be shut off. Please type link below into your search bar to find BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA where CPUC was directed by a court to file clarifying radio frequency information. <http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/efile/RESP/149398.pdf>

Please see report by Professor Emeritus Martin L. Pall, a biochemist with 8 international awards, who writes about dangers of electromagnetic fields and where this is all leading to.

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/jcmm.12088>

**4** Met Ed's Fact sheet is misrepresentation and consumer fraud. The studies that they allude to are outdated. Current FCC standards are based on tissue heating effects only whereas recent research has revealed cellular, genetic, and hormonal disruptions from RF exposure. Please see the 68 page Sage Report. <http://sagereports.com/>.

**5** Scientific evidence for biological impacts from microwave energy has been known for decades and it impacts not only the health of humans but also wildlife including bees. Commissions should have opted for caution before implementing these meters nationwide, but in their haste to use the latest, and what

they deem the greatest, technology available, they have chosen to ignore the facts *to our peril*. Please see report authored by David O. Carpenter, MD submitted to the United States Court, District of Oregon, Portland Division date June 1, 2011. Dr. Carpenter was educated at Harvard Medical School and is currently Director of the Institute for Health and Environment at the University at Albany and Professor of Environmental Sciences within the School of Public Health. He's the Former Dean of the School of Public Health at the University of Albany and currently the Director of the Wadsworth Center for Laboratories and Research of the New York State Department of Health. His credentials are impeccable.

<https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/7022118538.pdf>

### **Other life forms affected**

**1 The International Journal for Forest Research shows that radiation is destroying our trees, on which we depend for oxygen. The FCC's current exposure guidelines, as well as those of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) and the International Commission on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection, are thermally based, and do not apply to chronic, non-thermal exposure situations.**

**Healthy trees and plants are more important than wireless. Eating and breathing are essential to life. We will not do either for long if we kill off our trees and plants.**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2010/836278>

<http://www.electricalpollution.com/WirelessKillsTrees.html>

**2 Trees are not the only living beings radiation from wireless technologies harming, please read the following papers about the harm being done to a variety of living creatures, including bees, frogs, and birds.**

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19264463>

[https://www.pathophysiologyjournal.com/article/S0928-4680\(09\)00003-0/fulltext](https://www.pathophysiologyjournal.com/article/S0928-4680(09)00003-0/fulltext)

[http://www.biolmedonline.com/Articles/Vol4\\_4\\_2012/Vol4\\_4\\_202-216\\_BM-8.pdf](http://www.biolmedonline.com/Articles/Vol4_4_2012/Vol4_4_202-216_BM-8.pdf)

### **Dirty Electricity**

One of the most dangerous types of EMF pollution is so-called "Dirty Electricity". This is when the electrical power lines and wiring within your home contain frequencies other than the normal 60 Hz electrical current. These additional frequencies piggyback on the electrical wiring and radiate into your living environment. These frequencies then interact with your body, causing the following health effects:

Headaches

Sleep Disturbances

Fatigue

Tinnitus

Cognitive Impairment

Heart Arrhythmia

Mood Swings

Weakening of the nervous, endocrine and immune systems

Increased risk of serious chronic illnesses such as cancer

Typical causes of "Dirty Electricity" include:

Solar System Inverters (converting DC power to AC power)

PLC Smart Meters (sending data over the power lines by adding additional frequencies)

Wireless Smart Meters that add pulsed frequencies to the power lines and household wiring

CFL and LED Light bulbs

Light Dimmer Switches

Electrical devices in your home and on the grid that have a switch-mode power supply (SMPS)

Wireless technology (all wireless frequencies conduct along copper wiring to some extent)

**\$25 Million US Government Study Finds Increased Cancer in Rats from Cell Phone Radiation.** (This is the same type on radiation smart meters emit 24/7 which cannot be shut off. This is constant exposure you want to pump into our homes regardless of the damage it may cause). I've listed numerous links to studies done on electric pollution also called dirty electricity.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

<https://www.dirtyelectricity.org/>

**Dr. Sam Milham has written a book after many years of study on electric pollution, its causes and its effects. Please see article:**

[https://www.naturalnews.com/035211\\_dirty\\_electricity\\_myths\\_facts.html](https://www.naturalnews.com/035211_dirty_electricity_myths_facts.html)

Havas, M. (2008). Dirty Electricity Elevates Blood Sugar Among Electrically Sensitive Diabetics and May Explain Brittle Diabetes. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 27(2), 135–146.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15368370802072075>

Havas, M., & Olstad, A. (2008). Power quality affects teacher wellbeing and student behavior in three Minnesota Schools. *Science of The Total Environment*, 402(2–3), 157–162.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.04.046>

Milham, S., & Morgan, L. L. (2008). A new electromagnetic exposure metric: high frequency voltage transients associated with increased cancer incidence in teachers in a California school. *American journal of industrial medicine*, 51(8), 579-586.

Genius, S. J. (2008). Fielding a current idea: exploring the public health impact of electromagnetic radiation. *Public Health*, 122(2), 113–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2007.04.008>

Havas, M. (2006). Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity: Biological Effects of Dirty Electricity with Emphasis on Diabetes and Multiple Sclerosis. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 25(4), 259–268. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15368370601044192>

Milham, S., & Stetzer, D. (2013). Dirty electricity, chronic stress, neurotransmitters and disease. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 32(4), 500–507.  
<https://doi.org/10.3109/15368378.2012.743909>

Hillman, D., Stetzer, D., Graham, M., Goetze, C. L., Mathson, K. E., VanHorn, H. H., & Wilcox, C. J. (2013). Relationship of electric power quality to milk production of dairy herds — Field study with literature review. *Science of The Total Environment*, 447, 500–514.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.089>

Pall, M. L. (2013). Electromagnetic fields activation of voltage-gated calcium channels to produce beneficial or adverse effects. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine*, 17(8), 958–965.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.12088>

Richman, R., Munroe, A. J., & Siddiqui, Y. (2014). A pilot neighborhood study towards establishing a benchmark for reducing electromagnetic field levels within single family residential dwellings. *Science of The Total Environment*, 466–467, 625–634.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.010>

### **Disagree**

**1 I disagree with the legal team's statement regarding the requirement to file and implement SMP. In HR2200 Section 2807 (f) 1 is the requirement of power companies to submit and procure a plan and it is this portion that I believe was mandatory. However, section 2807 (f) 2 states that smart meters are to be installed at the customer's request.**

**2 I am perplexed as to what the legal team for Met Ed is alluding to on page 4 #4b marked as Other. I stated that I had concerns with health, safety and privacy which are all mentioned and documented previous to this paragraph; I mentioned that the WHO classified radio frequency radiation a class 2b carcinogen, you can find it on their website; and I mentioned that asbestos, tobacco and DDT were once considered safe and are now listed as carcinogens, and I believe that the same will proven with radiation exposure. What I don't know is how many people will have to suffer and/or die before our spineless government officials take a stand and say no to your human radiation experiment with smart meters.**

**Below please find information regarding the silence and denial regarding health effects from asbestos, and DDT and the current EVIDENCE that these are carcinogens that are banned.**

**Library of Congress Report on Asbestos:**

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1405162/pdf/amjph00206-0121.pdf>

**EPA Report DDT:** <https://archive.epa.gov/epa/aboutepa/ddt-regulatory-history-brief-survey-1975.html>

**DDT Article from PANNA:** <http://www.panna.org/resources/ddt-story>

**Library of Congress Report on Tobacco**

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1497700/pdf/15842123.pdf>

<http://www.who.int/tobacco/media/en/TobaccoExplained.pdf>

It is not just my opinion on any of these matters, or an allegation that is unproven, but facts recorded in national archives by scientists qualified in their fields that have reported on asbestos, tobacco and DDT. Whatever happened to the precautionary approach? The power companies and the Commission have erred on the side of technology. Are we supposed to ignore all the studies (thousands) and believe the few industry studies that have been funded by the wireless industry?

### **Smart Meter Procurement and Installation Plan**

**1** In regard to page 8 and paragraph 15, the legal team for First Energy states that no previous complaint was ever received from me although the legislation that required power companies to file and procure a *plan* was approved in 2008.

**(1) WITHIN NINE MONTHS AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION COMPANIES SHALL FILE A SMART METER TECHNOLOGY PROCUREMENT AND INSTALLATION *PLAN* WITH THE COMMISSIONER FOR APPROVAL.**

**THE *PLAN* SHALL DESCRIBE THE SMART METER TECHNOLOGIES THE ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION COMPANY PROPOSES TO INSTALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH (2).**

**(2) ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION COMPANIES SHALL FURNISH SMART METER TECHNOLOGY AS FOLLOWS:**

**(I) UPON REQUEST FROM A CUSTOMER THAT AGREES TO PAY THE COST OF THE SMART METER AT THE TIME OF THE REQUEST.**

**(II) IN NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION.**

**(III) IN ACCORDANCE WITH A DEPRECIATION SCHEDULE NOT TO EXCEED 15 YEARS.**

**2** The reason I had not made a complaint before is that I was in the dark about smart meters. Call it a *failure to disclose* on the part of Met Ed. The first inkling I had about smart meters was the letter from Met Ed in mid August of 2018, stating that they would be installing the smart meters soon. But rather than educate and advise the public on how to avoid exposure to microwave radiation, the industry has kept Pennsylvania citizens in the dark. Practically everyone I speak to about smart meters knows nothing about them and most don't even know if they've already been installed on their home. So I guess all my neighbors and friends and church family must be as ignorant as I was. Met Ed's failure to disclose the dangers and health risks from smart meters is the *real issue* here, not if I ever complained about smart meters before filing the complaint.

**3** Met Ed sent a letter to my home, and my neighbor's homes, with bogus claims about the accuracy, safety and security of smart meters when in reality the information is incorrect. You love that people seem to be silent on the issue because our silence is considered tacit agreement, but our silence is only because we weren't informed until it was too late. Now that I know, I will not be silent because my

family's health and safety are at stake as are my neighbors. We never signed a contract with Met Ed agreeing to any of this. We were never made privy to any agreements between the Commission and the power companies. You took it upon yourselves to make a decision for us that you had no right to make. I do not agree to be irradiated! Read again Article 1 of the Constitution of Pennsylvania:

**§ 1. Inherent rights of mankind.**

All men are born equally free and independent, and have certain inherent and indefeasible rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing and protecting property and reputation, and of pursuing their own happiness.

**Disagree: Act 129 Not Mandatory**

1The legal team for first Energy keeps referring to Act 129/HR2200 to state their claim that the SMP is mandatory but I don't read it that way. There is no federal mandate forcing customers to comply with a smart meter program or installation. And if I happen to be wrong, though I don't believe I am, are **you** going to accept liability when your smart meter impacts the health of the members of my family; are **you** going to accept liability when your smart meter causes a fire and burns my home to the ground; and finally, are **you** going to accept liability when Met Ed employees sell my data to a third party or I start getting outrageous bills post installation? I'd like that in affidavit form please. The Commission and the power company is making decisions that will affect the health, safety and well being of hundreds of thousands of people in Pennsylvania. You don't have that right! If you cannot, or will not, accept liability then you obviously have something to hide and/or you **do not** stand behind the claims regarding the accuracy, security and safety of the smart meters **you** endorse on your website.

**Scenarios**

If a person were to come to my home with his suitcases in hand and show me a letter stating that he had been mandated by the Public Utilities Commission to enter my home and live with me for an unspecified period of time, to track my energy usage, and report back to the Commission on how long 1) I'm in the shower, 2) how often I use my microwave, dish washer, washing machine, or air conditioner; 3) when I leave and when I return, 4) when I go to bed and when I rise; 5) how many hours per day I watch television or use my computer or other electronics, etc., I would tell him to turn around and start walking. There is no way I would let him in my house. Would you? That's Invasion of Privacy!

If you were to come to my home with a letter in hand telling me that you were mandated by the commission to make me drink a bottle of poison, clearly marked with skull and bones, and you tell me that industry studies have concluded that it is safe to drink, I would tell you to drink it if you believe that study. I would use my better judgment and decline the bottle of poison. That's my right!

And, if you were to come to my home with an experimental gadget that you were supposedly mandated to deliver to my home, that's been reported to cause fires and explosions, and you tell me you're going to install it with the blessing and approval of the fire department, I'd tell you you're out of your mind.

These scenarios may seem silly to you but in actuality this is exactly what you are demanding of us with your supposed mandate. You have proven yourselves to be bullies who have deceived the public and who could give a rat's hair if people get sick, die or lose everything so you can have your way with these radiation emitting smart meters.

I, Evelyn D. Dreher, submit this document as a Reply to Notice to Plead dated on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of November 2018.

Copies sent to:

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
PO Box 3265  
Harrisburg Pa 17105-3265

Lauren M. Lepkoski  
First Energy Service Company  
200 Pottsville Pike  
PO Box 16001  
Reading PA 19612-6001

Date: November 17, 2018




Evelyn D. Dreher

**RECEIVED**

NOV 19 2018

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

PR: *Kate Bernier*

<b>NFIRS-1 Basic</b> 	<b>A City of Berkeley Fire Department</b> <small>Fire Department</small>	<b>05/19/2010</b> <small>Date</small>	<b>17:57:00</b> <small>Time</small>	<b>2010-004443</b> <small>Incident Number</small>	<b>00</b> <small>Extension</small>
	<b>B Street address</b> 2984 COLLEGE AVE Berkeley, CA 94704			Census Tract	

<b>C Incident Type</b> Smoke or odor removal	<b>E<sub>1</sub> Dates and Times</b> Alarm Time 05/19/2010 17:57:00 Time Out 05/19/2010 17:59:45 Arrival 05/19/2010 18:03:08 Controlled Cleared 05/19/2010 18:48:51	<b>E<sub>2</sub> Shift and Alarms</b> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><b>A</b></td> <td><b>3</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><small>Shift</small></td> <td><small>Alarm</small></td> </tr> <tr> <td><small>District</small></td> <td><small>Alarm Box</small></td> </tr> </table>	<b>A</b>	<b>3</b>	<small>Shift</small>	<small>Alarm</small>	<small>District</small>	<small>Alarm Box</small>
<b>A</b>	<b>3</b>							
<small>Shift</small>	<small>Alarm</small>							
<small>District</small>	<small>Alarm Box</small>							
<b>D Mutual Aid</b> <small>Team P-DID State Incident</small>		<b>E<sub>3</sub> Special Studies</b>						

<b>F Actions Taken</b> 1. Investigate 2. Refer to proper authority	<b>G<sub>1</sub> Resources</b> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><small>Apparatus</small></td> <td><small>Personnel</small></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Suppression</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>EMS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Personnel No: on Apparatus</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Personnel</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	<small>Apparatus</small>	<small>Personnel</small>	Suppression		EMS		Other		Personnel No: on Apparatus		Total Personnel		<b>G<sub>2</sub> Estimated Dollar Losses</b> Losses Property \$0 Contents \$0 Pre Incident Value Property \$0 Contents \$0
<small>Apparatus</small>	<small>Personnel</small>													
Suppression														
EMS														
Other														
Personnel No: on Apparatus														
Total Personnel														

<b>H<sub>1</sub> Casualties</b> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><small>Deaths</small></td> <td><small>Injuries</small></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fire Service 0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Civilian 0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	<small>Deaths</small>	<small>Injuries</small>	Fire Service 0	0	Civilian 0	0	<b>H<sub>3</sub> Hazardous Materials Release</b>	<b>J Property Use</b> Food and beverage sales, grocery store
<small>Deaths</small>	<small>Injuries</small>							
Fire Service 0	0							
Civilian 0	0							

<b>H<sub>2</sub> Detector</b>	<b>I Mixed Property Use</b>
-------------------------------	-----------------------------

**L Remarks**  
 E3 responded as single company for "smoking meter" in a restaurant. Investigation revealed the newly installed PG&E smart meter in the kitchen was hot to touch and smoking, with a orange glow inside the meter housing. Power was immediately shut off to the meter. The meter was pulled from its housing, and a small portion of the wall above the meter was taken out to check for possible extension behind the wall where the wires exited the meter. Also investigated was the attic space; where the metal conduit, containing electrical wires entered. No extension was noted. PG&E contacted, BC2 noted due to business being shut down, and also Environmental Health was notified by dispatch due to a Dry Chem extinguisher was discharged by employees in the kitchen. A follow up email was sent to Manuel Ramirez of Enviro. Health about the discharged extinguisher in a commercial kitchen. Scene turned over to PG&E.

<b>M Kevin M White</b> <small>Officer in Charge</small>	<b>Apparatus Operator</b> <small>Rank</small>	<b>2243</b> <small>Assignment</small>	<b>05/19/2010</b> <small>Date</small>
<b>Kevin M White</b> <small>Member Making Report</small>	<b>Apparatus Operator</b> <small>Rank</small>	<b>2243</b> <small>Assignment</small>	<b>05/19/2010</b> <small>Date</small>

*Smart meter in kitchen?*

*[Handwritten signature]*

**RECEIVED**

NOV 19 2018

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
 SECRETARY'S BUREAU

**BRIEF**

# **Nevada regulators launch investigation into smart meter fires**

By Davide Savenije

Published Oct. 21, 2014

**Dive Brief:**

- The Nevada Public Utilities Commission voted last week to investigate the safety of smart meters in NV Energy's service territory, the Associated Press reports.
- Fire chiefs in Reno and Sparks had asked the PUC to investigate after linking nine fires back to residential smart meters.
- NV Energy has been ordered to provide regulators with documents and information on meter fires, malfunctions and lawsuits within 60 days. Pat Eagan, senior vice president at NV Energy, said the utility would follow the order, according to the Reno Gazette-Journal.

**Dive Insight:**


1.1 million smart meters have been installed in NV Energy's service territory since 2011. 70 of those meters have been reported by the utility as "consumed," which means the casing on the meters was either melted or breached.

NV Energy uses meters made by Sensus, the manufacturer whose meters have now been recalled or replaced in Oregon, Pennsylvania and the Canadian province of Saskatchewan. In August, several unexplained fires in SaskPower's service territory in Canada led to the recall of 105,000 Sensus meters.


Just before that, three fires in Portland General Electric's service territory led to the replacement of 70,000 Sensus meters. And in 2012, Philadelphia utility PECO replaced Sensus meters in its territory after fires were reported.

Prior to the Nevada investigation, Sensus maintained any meter issues "are systemic in the industry" and are likely due to external factors, according to a statement following the Oregon recall. "The industry, as a whole, has experienced meter issues for years," spokeswoman Laura Palmer told the Oregonian in a statement at the time. "Given that there are more than 40 million meters deployed to date in North America, the failure rates are very low."

### **Recommended Reading:**

 Reno Gazette-Journal

Formal inquiry into smart meter fires begins [↗](#)



## Kriss Worthington

Councilmember, City of Berkeley, District 7  
2180 Milvia Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704  
PHONE 510-981-7170 FAX 510-981-7177 [kworthington@ci.berkeley.ca.us](mailto:kworthington@ci.berkeley.ca.us)

19

### CONSENT CALENDAR

June 29, 2010

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
From: Councilmember Kriss Worthington  
Subject: Follow-up on Smart Meters: City Response to Smart Meter Problems and Preparation of a Letter to the California Public Utilities Commission.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Take action in responding to Smart Meter problems and send a letter to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), asking for continued investigation of the Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) Smart Meter program, requirement of PG&E to submit a characterization study of the system planned to the City of Berkeley, requirement of PG&E to allow consumers to 'opt out' of the program without repercussions, as well as an immediate moratorium on the disposal, recycling, or permanent alteration of old PG&E meters and a call for consideration of a moratorium on the further installation of PG&E Smart Meters.

#### BACKGROUND

Cities statewide have begun to question the validity of Pacific Gas & Electric's new Smart Meters. PG&E has been installing Smart Meters in Berkeley and many other Californian cities in recent months, and the corporation has come under attack for such reported problems that have come with these Smart Meters, ranging from overbillings and improper data transferrals to fire safety concerns. With such a startling number of new problems arising so quickly, cities like San Francisco, Sebastopol, Camp Meeker, Cotati, Bolinas, and Fairfax have all sent letters to the CPUC demanding and supporting moratoriums on Smart Meter installments in their city limits until Smart Meters can be safely installed without such unintended alleged consequences. Additionally, the entire county of Santa Cruz submitted a similar letter.

The city of Berkeley might well consider sending a similar letter. In order to assure Berkeley residents that any unintended consequences of Smart Meter installment will be avoided, such a letter is direly needed—and soon.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None.

#### CONTACT PERSON

Councilmember Kriss Worthington 510-981-7170  
Audrey Gutierrez 510-981-7170

Attached:

1. Letter to President of CPUC.

RECEIVED

NOV 19 2010

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Exhibit C

Michael R. Peevey  
President  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mr. Peevey:

The City of Berkeley writes you today to communicate its displeasure and concern over the installations of Pacific Gas & Electric's Smart Meters. Smart Meters, as you're well aware, have sparked a host of concerns with consumers statewide, relating to the safety, efficacy, and necessity of these new meters.

Our constituents have approached the Berkeley City Council with questions, horror stories, and worries. A resident of Berkeley by the name of Ms. Hill asked PG&E to cease installation of a Smart Meter on her home and was informed that she could not only not opt out of installation, but that "residents had no recourse" when it came to the issue. Also noteworthy is the fact that Berkeley constituents have formed, in conjunction with residents of many other Alameda County cities, Alameda County Residents Concerned About Smart Meters, or ACRCASM. ACRCASM, like other Smart Meter-related groups statewide, has publicized their concerns, many of which echo with the City of Berkeley's aforementioned requests to the CPUC.

Many other Berkeley residents have reported overbilling and overcharging problems. Berkeley residents Ms. Jelinek and Ms. Smith separately submitted to the Council letters affirming that their PG&E bills have significantly increased since the installations of their Smart Meters, as have countless others. The Council has been alarmed as well by the number of consumer complaints streaming in when it comes to such other issues as economic impact, fire safety, security risks and privacy, meter accuracy, improper data transferrals, job losses, and PG&E's remote ability to control consumer usage.

Some such issues run deeply in Berkeley. Fire safety, for one, strikes a nerve to Berkeley residents. Berkeley is a city which has suffered from fire damage in the past—risking further potential for wildfire in the City borders on reckless. With wildfire potential a very real possibility, based on the cases reported in which Smart Meters have been known to malfunction in such a dangerous way as to cause fires, physical safety of Berkeley residents is called into question.

Accuracy of the meters also has been a topic of debate. The vice-president of PG&E, Helen Burt, admitted to the San Francisco Chronicle that 43,000 Smart Meters have had "at least" one type of problem or another. Staggering enough on its own, but the Chronicle also later, on June 21<sup>st</sup> 2010, published an editorial calling for the immediate cessation of Smart Meter installations until Californian consumer worry is alleviated, and until public satisfaction is gained and meters are deemed fully "accurate". Finally, concern of overbillings remain a priority for the City of Berkeley.

In light of the numerous issues voiced by so many Berkeley and California residents, we request on behalf of the City of Berkeley the following:

**Continued Investigation:**

That the CPUC continue its independent investigation of PG&E's Smart Meter program.

**Characterization Study:**

That the CPUC require PG&E to submit a characterization study of the system planned to the City of Berkeley.

**'Opt Out' Option:**

**That the CPUC require PG&E to allow consumers to 'opt out' of the Smart Meter program, without the potential for repercussions to consumers.**

**Disposal of Meters:**

**That the CPUC place an immediate moratorium on the removal, disposal, or permanent alteration of old PG&E meters within the city limits of Berkeley.**

**Consideration of Installation Moratorium:**

**That the CPUC consider placing a moratorium on installation of PG&E Smart Meters.**

**Thank you for your time, attention, and consideration.**

**Sincerely,**

Smart Meter

# RADIO FREQUENCY FACT SHEET



RECEIVED

NOV 19 2018

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

**FirstEnergy's Pennsylvania utilities** – Met-Ed, Penelec, Penn Power and West Penn Power – are starting to roll out new smart meter technology to homes and businesses throughout our service areas. This effort is in response to Pennsylvania Act 129, which requires all large electric utilities in Pennsylvania to install smart meters.

This step toward a more modernized electric system will enable automated meter readings and may enhance our ability to respond to outages faster and more efficiently.

Plus, in the future, you will have access to more detailed energy information through our online Home Energy Analyzer tool that will help you better understand your electricity use – which means you can then make informed decisions on how to manage and control your electricity consumption.

As with any new technology, you may have questions about how a smart meter works. The following FAQs discuss how smart meters use radio frequencies (RF) to provide communication between your meter and our billing system. While there have been some concerns about the potential impact of the RF generated by smart meters, numerous studies have proven that smart meters using RF technologies pose no health risk. For additional information, please visit [firstenergycorp.com/PAsmartmeter](http://firstenergycorp.com/PAsmartmeter).

## Q. What is radio frequency (RF)?

A. According to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), "Radio waves and microwaves... are one form of electromagnetic energy. They are collectively referred to as 'radiofrequency' or 'RF' energy."<sup>1</sup> Radio waves are used for telecommunications services. However, most homes already have electric devices that use RF signals, such as cell phones, garage door openers, televisions, microwaves and wireless internet. Radio waves have been used for communications in highly populated regions for over 100 years. The FCC has established safe limits for exposure. The RFs from smart meters are well below those limits.

<sup>1</sup> Federal Communications Commission Web site, Office of Engineering and Technology, "Radio Frequency Safety," <http://transition.fcc.gov/oet/rfsafety/rf-faqs.html>.

Be assured that the smart meter technology being implemented has been rigorously tested and proven by manufacturers to be accurate, safe and secure in systems throughout the country.




Exhibit D

**Q. How does a smart meter work?**

**A.** Our smart meters send readings electronically to a network of receivers that are installed on poles. Energy consumption data for utility billing purposes is collected and transmitted a few times a day using short, extremely low-power radio transmissions through a wireless network system. These electronic transmissions nearly eliminate the need for meter readers to record your meter's usage because the readings are automated.

**Q. Is the RF generated by smart meters hazardous to your health?**

**A.** No.

As with any electric device that utilizes RF, smart meters have been monitored, tested and certified to ensure they meet certain safety standards. The RF exposure levels from smart meters are far below the levels permitted by the FCC, which sets health standards for RF exposure, based on extensive reviews of the biological and health literature.

According to the Electric Power Research Institute, the "relatively weak" strength of the RF signals generated by smart meters means that any impact of RF exposure would be minimal – similar to the levels of the exposure from televisions and radios.<sup>2</sup>

In fact, smart meters produce significantly less RF exposure than other common electric devices, such as cell phones, baby monitors, wireless routers, laptop computers and microwave ovens.

What's more, RF exposure depends partly on the proximity of the RF source to a person. Smart meters are usually located on the outside of your house in a metal box, away from your daily routine activity. Due to the extremely brief exposure to the radio waves that smart meters produce, there have been no long-term health effects identified as a result of the installation of smart meters, according to a study conducted by the California Council on Science and Technology.<sup>3</sup>

**Q. How does RF exposure from a smart meter compare to other electric devices?**

**A.** RF exposure from a smart meter is far below – and more infrequent – than other common electric devices. In fact, smart meters typically broadcast their signal for less than a minute at a time and usually less than a total of 15 minutes each day. The communication is usually from outside the home, so exposure to radio waves is minimal.

<sup>2</sup> "An Investigation of Radiofrequency Fields Associated with the Itron Smart Meter," Electric Power Research Institute, December 2010.


<sup>3</sup> "Health Impacts of Radio Frequency Exposure from Smart Meters," California Council on Science and Technology, March 31, 2011.

RF is measured in units of microwatts per square centimeter. A microwatt is very small – one-millionth of a watt.

- Held at your ear, a cell phone's RF signal would be 1,000 to 5,000 microwatts per square centimeter.
- Standing two feet from a microwave oven, the RF signal would be 50 to 200 microwatts per square centimeter.
- Standing 10 feet from a smart meter, the RF signal would be 4 microwatts per square centimeter.

Experts calculate that it would take 30 years of living with a smart meter to receive the same RF exposure that a typical cell phone user receives in just one day.

**Radio Frequency Power Density Levels of Common Devices (In microWatts/cm<sup>2</sup>)**



For additional information about our smart meter technology, please visit our website at [firstenergycorp.com/PAsmartmeter](http://firstenergycorp.com/PAsmartmeter).

**Met-Ed®**  
**Penelec®**  
**Penn Power®**  
**West Penn Power®**  
*FirstEnergy Companies*

RECEIVED

NOV 19 2018

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

## THE NUREMBERG CODE

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.

This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject, there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person, which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.

The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.


2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.
3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study, that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.
4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.
5. No experiment should be conducted, where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.
6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.
7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.
8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.
9. During the course of the experiment, the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end, if he has reached the physical or mental state, where continuation of the experiment seemed to him to be impossible.
10. During the course of the experiment, the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgement required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.

["Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10", Vol. 2, pp. 181-182. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1949.]

Exhibit E

Evelyn D. Dreher  
31 Crown Point Dr.  
E. Strbg PA 18302

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
P.O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg PA 17105-3265

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE.		Retail
<b>P</b>	<b>US POSTAGE PAID</b>	Origin: 18335 11/19/18 4151560335-03
	<b>\$6.70</b>	
<b>PRIORITY MAIL 2-Day®</b>		
EXPECTED DELIVERY DAY: 11/21/18		0 Lb 4.00 Oz 1026
SHIP TO: PO BOX 3265 HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265		<b>B099</b>
<b>USPS TRACKING NUMBER</b>		
		
9505 5121 7006 8323 2997 39		

