

Jeffrey W. Arndt  
4141 Fundistown Road  
Trafford, PA 15085

December 12<sup>th</sup>, 2018

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING and First Class Mail**

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street, 2nd Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Jeffrey W. Arndt v. Duquesne Light Company  
Docket No. C-2018-3003482

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed for filing is my written objection to Duquesne Light Company's denial of my requested extension of time for the litigation schedule in the above-referenced proceeding. Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully Submitted,



Jeffrey W. Arndt

## Certificate of Service

**Jeffrey W. Arndt vs. Duquesne Light**

**C-2018-3003482**

I, Jeffrey W. Arndt, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of Complainant's Objection to Duquesne Light Company's denial of my requested extension of time for the litigation schedule has been served upon the following in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa Code, Section 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

**Via First Class Mail**

**Council of record for Duquesne Light Company:**

Jeremy V. Farrell, Esquire

PA I.D. No. 316258

(412) 594-3938

[jfarrell@tuckerlaw.com](mailto:jfarrell@tuckerlaw.com)

Paul Shane Miller, Esquire

PA I.D. No. 319174

(412) 594-5503

[smiller@tuckerlaw.com](mailto:smiller@tuckerlaw.com)

1500 One PPG Place

Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Counsel for Respondent

December 12, 2018

Jeffrey W. Arndt

BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

JEFFREY W. ARNDT,

Complainant,

vs.

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY,

Respondent.

No: C-2018-3003482

---

**Objection to Duquesne Light Company's denial of my requested  
extension of time for litigation schedule**

---

To the Honorable Judge Jeffrey Watson,

Your Honor, in reference to docket number: C-2018-3003482. In the email I had sent to you on December 4, 2018 I had requested an extension of time for the Interim Order establishing an initial litigation schedule that was dated September 19, 2018. The interim order listed December 28, 2018 as the date listed to have to submit all factual testimony of any person other than Complainant in writing along with their name and business address along with a written summary of the expected testimony of that person and other parties that can present expert testimony. It listed following dates such that required a deadline for witness names to be given.

The respondents are stating they do not think I need the requested 60 days, and are reciting that they allow 30 days. While I comprehend the respondent's willingness to move the schedule out by 30 days, I would emphasize that I am pro se, and from my perspective, this feels very rushed indeed for such an important undertaking. From the attorneys' perspective, my request may seem like an unnecessary delay, but they are well-versed in this kind of litigation process and have all the necessary resources to assist them. I have only myself. This was an initial litigation schedule, and as yet, a hearing date has not been determined. I am asking that you accept my plea and grant the 60 day extension.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey W. Arndt

# VERIFICATION

---

## Objection to Duquesne Light Company's denial of my requested extension of time for litigation schedule

---

Jeffrey W. Arndt v. Duquesne Light Company  
Docket No. C-2018-3003432

I, Jeffrey W. Arndt, hereby state that the facts above set forth are true and correct ( or are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief ) and that I expect to be able to prove the same at a hearing held in this matter. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

Date:

12/12/2018



---

Jeffrey W. Arndt