

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Russell Zerbo
135 South 19th Street, Suite 300
1330 S Melville
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 567-4004

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Al Ferrucci
5720 Friendship
Pittsburgh, PA 15206
(412) 363-0818

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Greta Aul
917 Columbia Ave
Lancaster , PA 17603
(717) 397-7190

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Robert D. Missimer Jr.
9 roberts rd.
malvern, PA 19355
(610) 644-3487

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Brian Resh
1153 Marticville Rd
Pequea, PA 17565
(717) 284-4564

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Karen Melton
3232 W Penn St
Philadelphia, PA 19129

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Priscilla Mattison
1052 Broadmoor Rd
Bryn Mawr, PA 19010
(215) 567-4004

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Cangin
742 Bracken Court
West Chester, PA 19382
(610) 431-0385

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
William Montgomery
124 Lindley Ln
Pottstown, PA 19465
(610) 469-6969

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Bruce Brown
709 Great Springs Road
Bryn Mawr, PA 19010
(610) 526-9069

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

Ensure pipeline safety by making the construction companies completely liable for all "accidents" and blow outs and making the companies 100% liable for all costs to completely restore the landscape after the initial laying of the pipe and all de-commissioning costs if the pipes are abandoned. I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including

public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Al Cohen
142 hoernerstown rd.
hummelstown, PA 17036
(717) 756-1372

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Eric Grote
1243 Morstein Rd
West Chester, PA 19380

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Michael Sowycz
34 N Ambler St
Quakertown, PA 18951
(215) 538-1144

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Phoebe Reese
6327 Riverfront Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15238
(323) 309-3248

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Dawn Eagle
101 S Chestnut St
Bath, PA 18014
(484) 281-3601

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Robert W. Rhodes, III
P.O. Box 355
Mercersburg, PA 17236
(717) 328-9444

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
DAVID SPANGENBERG
5743 LOWER YORK RD POB 215
LAHASKA, PA 18931
(215) 483-7421

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Benita Campbell
23 Hindman Avenue
Burgettstown, PA 15021
(724) 947-2790

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Leitch
526 Reed St
Philadelphia, PA 19147
(215) 271-7878

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Serena Levingston
6909 Henley Street
Philadelphia , PA 19119

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
jim Gergat
1689 S Main St
Bechtelsville, PA 19505
(610) 473-0137

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Dennis Hartenstine
2425 Hay Creek Rd
Birdsboro, PA 19508
(610) 582-1341

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Wesley Merkle
3458 Midvale Ave
Philadelphia, PA 19129
(215) 620-2036

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Beverly Williamson-Pecori
1295 Silver Lane
Mckees Rocks, PA 15136
(412) 401-7081

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Terrie Balko
152 FIRE HALL AVE
WEST NEWTON, PA 15089
(724) 872-6592

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Brock Dilling
406 Melvins Ln
Alexandria, PA 16611
(412) 681-1010

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Daniel Safer
3305 Hamilton Street
Philadelphia , PA 19104
(000) 000-0000

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I believe as a human being myself, who lives in a community of other human beings that rely on our environment to sustain our lives, that government agencies should be placing OUR welfare above corporate profits. I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Joan Russo
36 Whitney Lake RD
Hawley, PA 18428

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Norman Feldman
7160 Erdrick St
Philadelphia, PA 19135
(215) 333-9463

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Maurer
538 Ballytore Rd
Wynnewood, PA 19096
(610) 649-3393

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Eisenfeld
2031 Locust St Apt 402
Philadelphia, PA 19103

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Susan Shaak
3440 Stoner Ave
Reading, PA 19606
(610) 779-5360

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Seltzer
2901 Burden Rd
Brookhaven, PA 19015
(876) 818-9830

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Marielle Lerner
328 Dawson St.
Philadelphia, PA 19128
(215) 917-9535

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Sharon Newman
581 S Creek Rd
West Chester, PA 19382
(610) 793-1650

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Cindy M. Dutka
6547 Haverford Ave. APT 4
Philadelphia, PA 19151
(267) 601-5736

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jennifer YOUNG
5500 Ayers Rd
Easton, PA 18040
(610) 438-8099

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Susan Fineman
6531 Aylesboro Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
(000) 000-0000

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Melinda Geiger
834 Van Buren Blvd
Freedom , PA 15042

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Marta Guttenberg
226 West Rittenhouse Square
#3018
Philadelphia , PA 19103
(215) 545-2997

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Victoria English
617 Radnor Valley Dr.
Villanova, PA 19085
(610) 688-6748

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

Dear Secretary Chiavetta,

With increased natural gas activity in Pennsylvania it becomes even more important to make sure every weld is done properly. Every pipe is backfilled properly. Every gas monitor and relief valve is installed and tested regularly. Every municipality that a gas line goes through is kept in the loop when work is done on the pipes and infrastructure. Adequate safeguards are in place and local emergency management people are always kept in the loop. Proper monitoring of construction is done 24/7 as infrastructure is built out. These are just the tip of the 'iceberg' when it comes to safely having this infrastructure in our communities. Pipelines MUST NOT be built near schools, hospitals and emergency facilities so as to not endanger anyone. More than the minimum distance MUST be enforced when pipelines have to be built near residences, schools, hospitals and emergency centers.

Sincerely,

John D Lahr

699 Martic Heights Drive

Pequea, PA 17565-9716

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major

projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
John Lahr
699 Martic Heights Drive
Pequea, PA 17565
NA

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Linda Hilf
48 Adams dr.
Cheswick, PA 15024
(412) 828-6792

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Theodore Burger
3370 Woodbridge Circle
Bethlehem, PA 18017
(610) 691-3556

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Kathie E Takush
1026 Franklin St Apt 1206
Reading, PA 19602
(610) 816-5992

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
James Curtis
693 W. Sawmill Rd.
Port Matilda, PA 16870
(814) 692-1017

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Ed Dunn
4055 Lasher Rd
Drexel Hill , PA 19026
(555) 555-5555

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jason Rash
305 Dogwood Lane
Wallingford, PA 19086
(610) 761-4973

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Catherine Bottonari
1794 Tilton Dr.
Pittsburgh, PA 15241

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Susan Porter
2134 Hemlock Farms
Lords Valley, PA 18428
(570) 775-1648

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Beverly Chandran
7627 Brentwood Rd
Philadelphia, PA 19151

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Nancy Heastings
220 Dithridge St
#1003
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 930-0879

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Joe Wolfgang
172 Kutztown Rd
Bally, Pa 19503
(610) 845-8430

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. The permitting should include (1) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (2) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and (3) effective demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public and (4) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities and (5) the serious possibility of rejections of projects that have gaps in items 1-4, as well as rejection of projects likely to have a net serious negative impact on climate change and/or local and/or global health of the environment.

Also the process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer plastics.

Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Schongar
3009 Squires Manor La
South Park, PA 15129

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Megan Hess
7431 Boyer Street
Philadelphia, PA 19119
(215) 242-0196

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Carol McGrath
2525 Cherry Ct
Narvon, PA 17555
n/a

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Barbara Litt
6567 Bartlett St
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
(412) 421-0454

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Mark Brody
567 Brookwood Rd
Wayne, PA 19087
(610) 688-5765

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Caolo
1512 E. Gibson Street
Scranton, PA 18510
(570) 347-6665

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Catherine Gammon
947 Lilac Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
(415) 465-0851

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
As Er
316 midland
Wayne, PA 19087
(856) 235-4578

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Joseph Magid
411 Holly Lane
Wynnewood, PA 19096
(610) 506-4595

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
As Er
316 midland
Wayne, PA 19087
(856) 235-4578

August 23, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
As Er
316 midland
Wayne, PA 19087
(856) 235-4578

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
David Kagan
885 Torbert Lane
Jersey Shore, PA 17740
(570) 753-5547

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

Dear ,

Sincerely,

,

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

Dear ,

Sincerely,

,

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Don Hawkins
1406 Grant St.
North Braddock, PA 15104
(000) 000-0000

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,

B Soltis

690 Hopewell Rd

Downingtown, PA 19335

(610) 000-0000

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Lenore Sivulich
1541 Cooper ave
pittsburgh, PA 15212
(207) 926-5151

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jill Turco
2428 Manton St.
Philadelphia , PA 19146
(215) 872-3289

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Sidne Baglini
203 Channing Avenue
Malvern, PA 19355
(484) 318-8081

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jay Tarler
411 W Stafford St
Philadelphia, PA 19144
(215) 842-1623

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Janet Cavallo
1276 Providence Rd
Secane, PA 19018
(610) 328-1621

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

We call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, we urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Harry and Jill Brownfield
74 Acker Road
Newport, PA 17074

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
cheryl whittaker
465 Greenwood Road
Kennett Square, PA 19348
(610) 555-1212

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards
(Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Chiavetta,

The PUC should enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. The PUC should:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hard for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa McSwigan
4131 Bigelow Blvd
Pittsburgh, Pa 15213
(412) 687-0882

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Cindy Veloric
1165 Norsam Rd
Gladwyne, PA 19035
(610) 519-9930

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Maffei
4312 Springhouse Lane
Aston, PA 19014
(610) 416-3828

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Michael Follman
1019 Honor Dr
Bethlehem, PA 18017
(777) 777-7777

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Arlene Foreman
1906 Chestnut Circle
Ardmore, PA 19003
(610) 896-1990

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
gail clifford
224 trenton rd.
fairless hills, PA 19030

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Ronald Sebastianelli
130 Palmer Dr.
Jessul, PA 18434
(570) 499-8989

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jessica Krow
3118 W Penn St
Philadelphia, PA 19129
(215) 843-0628

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Judy Scriptunas
3434 Camp Robin Hood Rd.
Chambersburg, PA 17202
(717) 263-8751

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Linda King
346 McGirts Rd
Daisytown, PA 15427
(724) 632-2202

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Steven D Levin
1005 Surrey Rd
Philadelphia, PA 19115
(215) 840-3712

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Michael Mei
330 N 10th St
Philadelphia, PA 19147
(215) 238-4288

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to halt any further construction on pipelines. These pipelines are a danger to communities, to our health, and to our planet, and regularly violate our Article 1 Section 27 rights to clean air and pure water simply by existing.

For existing pipelines, until pipelines are completely phased out, enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk

assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Garret Wassermann
1526 Vance Ave
Coraopolis, PA 15108
(412) 329-8230

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Douglas Nightengale
281 Lawndale Avenue
King Of Prussia, PA 19406
(610) 880-3733

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

FOSSIL FUELS = DEATH. STOP HELPING THEM KILL OUR PLANET!

Sincerely,
Paul Palla
45 N Carlisle St
Greencastle, PA 17225
(000) 000-0000

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Cooper
7175 Uber Street
Philadelphia, PA 19138
(215) 224-2535

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jack Miller
130 DeLong Rd
Middleburg, PA 17842
(570) 837-6546

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Cardlin
3 Serene Ln
Yardley, PA 19067
(215) 493-6468

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Rupika Ketu
621 S 18th Street
Philadelphia , PA 19146
(908) 917-4115

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Vincent Prudente
1826 Fitzwater St
Philadelphia, PA 19146
(215) 546-9178

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Vincent Prudente
1826 Fitzwater St
Philadelphia, PA 19146
(215) 546-9178

August 24, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Susan Gottfried
619 Cricklewood
State College, PA 16803
(814) 237-2580

August 25, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
K Danowski
15 Bower Hill Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15228
(412) 555-1212

August 25, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jess Walcott
321 Station Ave
Langhorne, PA 19047
(215) 741-4895

August 25, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Herbert Elwell
350 Button Hill Rd
Lawrenceville, PA 16929
(111) 111-1111

August 25, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I am concerned about pipeline expansion and all the accidents I am seeing, hearing, and reading about.

Explosions and leaks represent poison and death to our communities. Fossil fuels are ruining our air, our water, our land, our health, and our climate.

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the

public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Florence Buckley
421 W Earham Terrace
Philadelphia, PA 19144
(267) 297-8738

August 25, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated. Disasters are better prevented than repaired.

Sincerely,
Laura Horowitz
6544 Darlington Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
(412) 421-2044

August 25, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Kelly Riley
1343 Needham Cir
PA, PA 19440
(215) 534-3972

August 25, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Michele Johnson
521 Lotz Ave
Altoona, PA 16602
(814) 949-2930

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Megan LeCluyse
1018 Christian St
Philadelphia, PA 19147
(602) 317-3446

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

In addition to those concerns, I’m also aware that with the dropping cost of renewable energy, the value of pipelines will shrink in the near future and investments in them may

become stranded assets. While currently extracted gas has a greater return, predictions that in 10 years, the value of these may become a greater expense, which taxpayers should not be held accountable for. The PUC should consider these issues when considering permitting and also the terms of the permit issued.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Dan Schwartz
2447 Yost Rd
Bath, PA 18014

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
SHANNON PENDLETON
815 FETTERS MILL
BRYN ATHYN, PA 19009
(609) 933-4872

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Pat Lupo, OSB
355 E. 9th St
355 E 9th St
Erie, PA 16503
(814) 490-3109

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Lora Snyder
1443 Gradyville Rd
Glen Mills, PA 19342
(610) 755-5712

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Karen BROCKMAN
422 Prospect St. #62
Midway, PA 15060
(724) 796-1365

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Megan Dinger
909 Fairview Road
Glenmoore, PA 19343
(484) 889-7792

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Aaron Cylinder
1024 2nd Avenue
Media, PA 19063

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Linda Healy
West second
Media, PA 19063
(484) 326-9893

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products. It is not accurate to call Sunoco’s Mariner East part of a utility. You are sacrificing public safety and private lands for corporate greed.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Skupien
824 Brandywine Rd
Downingtown, PA 19335
(610) 436-8166

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Linda Beavers
536 Astor Square
West Chester, PA 19380
(610) 724-2790

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Maggie Fernandes
8 Katie Way
West Chester, PA 19380
(610) 585-4204

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Timothy Maloney
1215 Hamlet Hill Dr
West Chester, PA 19380
(610) 719-1957

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Robin Spurlino
109 kaiser dr
Downingtown, PA 19335
(610) 942-4698

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jill Shaddock
116 south warren malvern
Malvern, PA 19355
(610) 348-2752

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Fielding
839 Blackburn Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15143

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I live 1.5 blocks from Rte 352 in Westtown Twp, well within the blast zone of the new Mariner East pipeline.

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Raymond Dandrea
1514 Marlboro Rd
West Chester, PA 19382
(215) 528-0983

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Kristin Ritter
1375 Crestmont Dr
Downingtown , PA 19335
(610) 269-0237

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Margaret Hartzell
1967 Fairview
Glenmoore , PA 19343
(610) 469-6043

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Marion Yaglinski
1248 Hunt Club Lane
Media, PA 19063
(610) 565-7221

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

This new pipeline is a threat to our safety. To over 30,000 residence. This pipeline will cause deaths that you can prevent. I live in WestWest Whiteland township and I am in the "evacuation zone." Please put safety precautions in place so we aren't all blown to pieces when (yes when) an explosion happens from the pipeline.

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk

assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Melissa Zimmerman
325 Huntington Court
West Chester , PA 19380

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and MUST be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines NOW until the safety concerns of

this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Sarah Selph
757 Iris Ln
MEDIA, PA 19063
(321) 277-4220

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

We must do everything possible to move away from fossil fuels in general and build an energy infrastructure for renewable energy. In the meantime, I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Judy Morgan
16 W. Moreland Ave.
Philadelphia, PA 19118
(267) 385-5049

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Michele Ruhl
1126 Windsor Dr
West Chester, PA 19380
(610) 291-0460

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

The Gas and Oil industry should have to abide by all Township and County ordinances.

Any person who receives payment for an easement should have to endure the

construction or share half of the settlement of payments.

Any changes made on an easement of any kind should be in the disclosure.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Shannon Healy
228 Lenni Rd
Media , PA 19063
(610) 213-5662

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I live in West Goshen, Pa 19380. This is a highly populated area. We have pipelines being installed by homes, schools, churches, retirement homes....

Yet, this does not matter as long as pockets are lined. Our safety means absolutely nothing to these large corporations.

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk

assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Donna Bonner
Windsor dr
West Chester , PA 19380
(610) 738-3354

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Dana Stephens
522 Williamson Circle
Media , PA 19063
(407) 406-4184

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Maffei
4312 Springhouse Lane
Aston, PA 19014
(610) 416-3828

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Alexa Manning
805 Graystone Lane
Downingtown , PA 19335
(610) 873-4584

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jane Frantz
14 Thompson Street
Bordentown, NJ 08505

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Ryan Dodson
175 Hess Blvd
Lancaster, PA 17601
(717) 475-0195

August 26, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Bill Ob
201 Grant St
Sewickley, PA 15143
(252) 414-7871

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Michael Genz
121 SANFORD PL
ERIE, PA 16511
(814) 449-1423

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
M. Walter Saranetz
19 South 5th Street
Oxford, PA 19363
(610) 883-7953

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Gerry Seymour
22 Slitting Mill Rd.
Glen Mills, PA 19342
(620) 358-2586

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Thom Fistner
620 S. Bergen St
Bethlehem, PA 18015
(610) 521-2040

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

In the area the pipeline is located, there aren't enough roads to safely evacuate everyone, should there be a leak. I know about pipeline leaks. I lived in King of Prussia during the 1986 Sun (now Sunoco) oil leak. The turnpike, Rt 202 and the Schuylkill Exp., King of Prussia Mall and the Upper Merion Middle School were all closed. It took about an hour after the leak was reported before a crew to shut it off was dispatched. About 100 homes were evacuated. I lived just beyond the evacuated area. I wanted to leave, but every road out of King of Prussia that was open had a massive traffic jam. Friends spoke of being stuck in traffic for 6 hours. I remained at home with my small children and my headache and stomachache from the overwhelming smell of gasoline, worrying about things like cancer. This was just oil, not nearly as dangerous as the chemicals for the new pipeline. If this had been natural gas liquids that escaped in gas form along the roadway where the traffic jams were, many people could have died.

Please stop construction of this pipeline.

Sincerely,
Mary Punshon
681 Media Line Road
Broomall, PA 19008
(610) 325-0194

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
heddy ginterreiter
105 Old Lincoln Highway
MALVERN, PA 19355
(484) 477-2181

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

The Government should put people's safety first before corporate profit. With these HVL pipelines being installed only feet from resident's homes, schools, retirement centers,

businesses, etc. there is no viable evacuation plan in place. There is no alarm system to notify the public of a potential disaster.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Terri Joran
392 Carlton Place
Exton, PA 19341
(484) 888-1969

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jen Batchelder

Glen mills, PA 19342
(610) 358-9510

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Erin Morelli
1322 Mary Jane Ln
West Chester, PA 19380
(484) 614-6783

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Maeve Morelli
Mary Jane Lane
West Chester , PA 19380
(484) 787-8840

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Ellen Gibson
2536 Clothier St
Coatesville, PA 19320

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

PS. Don't be a bitch

Sincerely,
Michael Ryan
207 Claremont Lane
Downingtown, PA 19335
(484) 678-2469

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Margaret Reiter
151 View Ct
Saylorsburg, PA 18353
(570) 992-5232

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Joan Caprio
Bradford Terrace
West Chester , PA 19382
(610) 888-3905

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Maria Duca
7700 B Stenton Ave, #306
Phila, PA 19118
(215) 508-2530

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:
Shit's dangerous, yo!

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Spencer Koelle
1336 S 51st St
Philadelphia, Pa 19143
(484) 751-7555

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Mike Morelli
Mary Jane Lane
West Chester, PA 19380
(610) 202-7708

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Sherron Martin
64 Paul Lane
Glen Mills, PA 19342
(610) 500-1310

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Joan Herman
1127 Nottingham Dr.
West Chester , PA 19380
(484) 432-7450

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
David Herman
1127 Nottingham Dr.
West Chester , PA 19380
(484) 432-7450

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Andrew Gebhart

Downingtown, PA 19335

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Valve station citing needs to be addressed. Valve stations do not belong next to schools, residences, and especially next to a marina as Sunoco built at 7 Pts Marina in Huntingdon County

Sincerely,
Janet Marchetti
411 Fairfax Dr
Exton, PA 19341
(610) 416-3768

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

There should be a new law that requires all gas and oil companies to pay a continuous rent to the homeowner for use of the homeowners property.

Ask homeowners who receive payment for an easement must endure the construction.

Any changes to an easement should be clearly stated on a disclosure if the homeowners choose to sell their property the law must enforce them to state the any changes to an easement and payments received.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Raymond Bullman
228 Lenni Rd
Media , PA 19063
(610) 558-2213

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

We are tired of enduring the environmental impact of the fossil fuel industry so that a few people can get rich exporting energy from this state. I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Russ Allen
1510 Grove Ave
Jenkintown, PA 19046
(215) 887-6301

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Frederick

,

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Frederick Bahlman
43 Meadow Creek Lane
Glenmoore, PA 19343
(610) 458-0692

August 27, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

In just a few short years there have been 3 pipeline explosions in my area. They are not safe. They are being put in too quickly. The pipeline companies in my area have had

numerous environmental infractions.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Erin McCune
1890 Barclay Hill Rd.
Beaver, PA 15009
(412) 654-5999

August 28, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Jason Cox
675 Brinton's Bridge Rd
West Chester , PA 19382
(485) 885-0285

August 28, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Rachel Kelly

77 ruby rd, PA 19317
(484) 844-5040

August 28, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Tim Hubbard
697 Stonegate Ct
West Chester, PA 19380

August 28, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

Let me preface my comments below by saying the PUC has the technical expertise to address pipeline safety, to fulfill its mission and protect our families. Several months ago I submitted comments to the DEP opposing construction of a pipeline compressor station in nearby Marcus Hook, PA due to its toxic air pollutants. Please work in concert with that request. Delaware County, PA has the 19th worst air quality in the US according to the American Lung Association's State of the Air Report.

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project,

and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Robert McMonagle, PhD
1246 PUTNAM BLVD
Wallingford, PA 19086
(646) 387-7807

August 28, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a "public utility," and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission's exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Pamela Henrichon
1612 Barbara Dr
Downingtown , PA 19335
(610) 873-5408

August 28, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Mike Morelli
Mary Jane lane
West Chester , PA 19380
(610) 202-7708

August 28, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

I call on the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) to enact stricter rule-making for hazardous highly volatile liquids lines, such as Mariner East. Specifically, I urge the PUC to address deficiencies in the following areas:

Overhaul the Process for Granting Public Utility Status:

The process by which private companies are granted public utility status is flawed and must be overhauled. Currently, a company can obtain public utility status and the right to claim private land through eminent domain by acquiring county-wide certificates of public convenience, often grandfathered in from projects completed decades earlier. This process has allowed Sunoco to claim that a hazardous highly volatile liquids export pipeline is a “public utility,” and then to seize the land of hardworking Pennsylvanians for plastics manufacturing overseas. Pipeline companies should apply for public utility status from the PUC and be clearly granted or denied on a project by project basis. The burden of proof must fall on the operator to establish the kind of public need that would justify condemnation of private lands. Public utility status should never be given to corporations for the manufacturing and/or export of non-essential consumer products.

2) New Permitting Process for New or Expanded Pipeline Projects:

The PUC should require a permitting process before pipeline companies undertake major projects to build new pipelines, or change or expand existing pipeline systems. This permitting process should not be limited to an application for public utility status. The permitting should include (1) the Commission’s exercise of its siting authority; (2) a demonstration by the applicant with sworn, written, public proof that the project will comply and continues to comply with PUC and Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) regulations; and (3) demonstration by the applicant that emergency preparedness plans and public awareness plans are both credible and effective 4) demonstration by the applicant that risk assessments have been made of potential public safety, economic, and environmental threats posed by a pipeline project, and that those assessments have been made available both to the commission and the public 5) public participation on the permitting process, including siting proposals, risk assessments, environmental assessments, emergency preparedness plans including public hearings and commenting opportunities.

Thank you for considering my comments. Additionally, I urge the PUC to halt the construction and operation of all Mariner East pipelines until the safety concerns of this

pipeline can be adequately addressed and mitigated.

Sincerely,
Pamela Onyx
657 Heatherton Lane
West Chester, PA 19380
(610) 436-4083

August 28, 2019

PUC Hazardous Liquids

RE: Public Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Hazardous Liquid Public Utility Safety Standards (Docket L-2019-3010267)

Dear ,

Dear Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta,

My family lives within 50 feet of the Mariner East Pipeline. This issue really captured my attention in September 2018 when there was a leak and explosion involving the Energy Transfer Partner's newly constructed Revolution Pipeline in Beaver County, PA. The explosion resulted in a house burning down and fortunately nobody was injured. It actually sickened me that Sunoco, ETP, and their enablers in the PA state government considered this incident to be just a minor mishap. I then learned more about the Mariner East Pipeline and found out that the PUC granted Sunoco public utility status so they can seize private landowners' land to ship these highly volatile liquids overseas to make plastics. This is an abuse of Eminent Domain as there is absolutely no benefit to Pennsylvanians or public use for this pipeline. Whoever granted them this status should hang their head in shame. What an atrocity. and to think you are serving the public. If this abomination is going to proceed, do what is right and make the operator responsible for a leak detection system that would give us fair warning before an explosion. Because if such an explosion were to occur (which is not far-fetched given the incident in Beaver Co last year), my family's lives and thousands of others' lives in my community could easily be lost. I know Sunoco and ETP really don't care about that. But it is your job as a public servant to care about that. So do your job or else it is apparent that you are just another shill for Sunoco, like Governor Wolf and many of the PA legislators.

Sincerely,
Michael Graveley
301 Quinn Ct.
Exton, PA 19341
(215) 850-3529