

BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA UTILITY COMMISSION

ETHAN HABRIAL

v : Docket No. C-2018-3005907

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY

---

NOTICE TO PLEAD REBUTAL

---

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 20 2019  
PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

MetEd has lost all my trust in any matter. 5 day responds? Who is kidding who? Mail can take longer. So I am filing my rebuttal as soon as passable, passing up work today, 8/20/2019 to finish this off and go to the library to print off and mail. Today is within the five days that I received this notice.

A brief history of MedEd's actions.

1. The flyer that was sent out was designed do deceive the public about the truth about Smartmeters.
2. Lying about how they sent their first responds to my filing that they sent by presorted mail.
3. Demanding that I prove that the Smartmeters use electric. Their last mailing.

MetEd has failed to tell the public how much electric the Smartmeters use.

MetEd has failed to warn the public of passable health effects from Smartmeters.

In my opinion, this is criminal.

People like me are affected by Transmission radiation.

Since the roll out of Smartmeters, the ringing in my ears (tinnitus) has gone up. Some times to high levels. See below about humming birds.

Therefore, NO Smartmeter will go on my house. If MetEd wants to keep selling me their low grade electric, it will be with an analog meter.

Their request for information is as bogus as anyone can think.

1. Cell phones I control; I want MetEd to provide proof that I can control a Smartmeter like I can a cell phone. ie. A. turn it off, B. move it easily to where it is best suited for my condition with ease and change it as need be, C. control what it cost to operate, ie. 1. turn it off, 2. not accept calls, 3. control the plans or recharge card I purchase.

2. Do I trust MetEd with my cell phone information? NO! When trust comes into play, MetEd cannot be trusted in anything.

4. MetEd's claim the your bill will not go up, ( they want me to provide proof that it will go up which is an outrage ) Take Rebecca, who I talked to last Thursday. she helps her parents pay various bill as need be. After having a Smartmeter installed, their bill went up almost \$100.00. for several months. Has tapered down a little since. Or Jeff who asked me about Smartmeters and why his bill went up over \$100.00 one month with the main breaker being turned off for many months in an empty house he own. I hear so many, someone should go to jail for will full miss-representation of the facts. A. Who pays for the electric to run the circuit board in the Smartmeter? B. Who pays for the energy to dirty the electric into your house with a carrier radio wave? C. Who pays for the microwave transmissions to other Smartmeters and to MedEd? D. Who controls the ping rate that can go up or down? MetEd is hiding from the TRUTH and will even lie about it.

5. Tinnitus? Doctors, etc. do now want to touch this subject in any way, shape or form. I tried in the last month to get a Object test from one doctor that did it in the past and he refused. He also stated that all records were destroyed as with that states law and legal cases. I currently do not know of another. Within the last month, I talked with the wife of a tinnitus suffer, she helps him cope with the problem as best she can. He has no help other than her. Do I trust MetEd with any other details? NO!

6. Work history? Most companies that treated me bad are out of business. Most of what is left, cannot be trusted. Experience: computers, design development, trouble shoot, security, help desk, machinist, dairy work, and much more. Education: Accounting, computers, electric. Do I trust MetEd with details? NO!

7. Trust MetEd with Confidential anything? NO! I have read court records with confidential information released in them that should have never been released! The above condition of Tinnitus is general known about me, and I have been coping fairly well and know what effects me and what does not.

8. Wifis? See 1 above. I have had one wifi hacked already and keep them off unless in use. Providing MetEd with detail information will only put me at greater risk of more hacks.

9. Next door to me, the lady has been feeding humming birds for years with 3 feeders. This year, after Smartmeter roll out, there is an estimate 90% decrease in humming birds to the two feeders she now has out. How safe are Smartmeters? I believe the humming birds make it very clear as well as all the other research that MetEd chooses to ignore.

To this point, MetEd has FAILED to prove that I am wrong as to what I have already stated. I will be providing documents that are readily available, even to them as to the truth about Smartmeters and Electromagnet Radiation and the rights of people like me, As per court request.

Request to deny Notice to Plead *Dismissal*

*Sample*

# **Frequency used by 1990s cell phones linked to cancer in rats, study finds**

The impact on humans is still unknown.

by

- Abrar Al-Heeti

November 1, 2018 3:34 PM PDT

Toxicology studies more than 10 years in the making have found that high exposure to radiation from radio frequencies used by cell phones was linked to tumors in male rats.

The National Toxicology Program, which released the results of its \$30 million studies on Thursday, found that radio frequency radiation (RFR) similar to that used in 2G and 3G cell phones is associated with cancerous heart tumors in male rats. The studies also found evidence that the radiation was linked to tumors in male rats' brains and adrenal glands. It's unclear whether tumors observed in female rats, as well as male and female mice, were linked to radiation exposure.

"We believe that the link between radio frequency radiation and tumors in male rats is real," John Bucher, a senior scientist at NTP, said in a statement. He added that external experts agreed with the finding.

The studies examined radiation similar to that used in 2G and 3G cell phones because those networks were standard at the time the studies were designed. Both 2G and 3G networks remain in use for calls and texts. The studies didn't look into the kinds of RFR used for WiFi or 5G networks.

"5G is an emerging technology that hasn't really been defined yet," lead toxicologist Michael Wyde said in the statement. "From what we currently understand, it likely differs dramatically from what we studied."

The studies could be an important step to understanding RFR's impact on humans. But the exposures in the studies can't be directly compared to what humans experience, Bucher said. The rats and mice in the study were exposed to radiation across their entire bodies, while humans are usually exposed to radiation near where they keep their phones.

The exposure levels and lengths were also greater in the studies. The lowest exposure level was the same as the maximum exposure allowed for cell phone users, a power level that "rarely occurs with typical cell phone use," according to the NTP statement. The highest exposure level used in the studies was four times greater than the maximum power level allowed.

In the studies, the rats were exposed to RFR starting from when they were in the womb. Mice were exposed beginning at 5 or 6 weeks old. The animals were exposed for up to two years, for about nine hours a day (with 10 minutes of exposure and 10-minute breaks in between).

One of the strengths of the studies was that scientists could control how much radiation the rats and mice were getting, which isn't possible when studying how humans use cell phones, Wyde said. Studies on human cell phone use often rely on questionnaires. The RFR levels in the studies ranged from 1.5-6 watts per kilogram in rats, and 2.5-10 watts per kilogram in mice.

The National Toxicology Program will share the results of the studies with the US Food and Drug Administration and the Federal Communications Commission, which will then review the information as part of its monitoring of research on the potential effects of RFR.

Sent to: By Priority Mail

Rosemary Chiavetta , Secretary

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

Commonwealth Keystone Building

400 North Street, 2nd Floor

Harrisburg, Pa. 17120

**RECEIVED**

AUG 20 2019

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

P.O. Box 3265

Harrisburg, Pa. 17105-3265

Administrative Law Judge Jeffrey A. Watson

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

Piatt Place, Suite 220

301 5th Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pa 15222

Lauren M. Lepkoski

Tori L. Giesler

FirstEnergy Service Company

2800 Pottsville Pike

P.O. Box 16001

Reading, Pennsylvania 19612-6001

08/20/2019

Ethan Habrial

Ethan Habrial


100 A Street

Pen Argyl, Pa. 18072

610-863-9962

EXPECTED DELIVERY DAY: 08/22/19

USPS TRACKING NUMBER




9505 5129 5241 9232 1452 77

SEAL FIRMLY TO SEAL



1026



17120

U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
PM 2-Day  
PEN ARGYL, PA  
18072  
AUG 20, 19  
AMOUNT

\$7.35

R2305K141088-02

**PRIORITY MAIL**

FROM:

**PRIORITY MAIL**



VISIT US AT USPS.COM®  
ORDER FREE SUPPLIES ONLINE

FROM:

Ethan Habrial  
100 A Street  
Pen Argyl Pa 18072

DATE OF DELIVERY SPECIFIED\*

USPS TRACKING™ INCLUDED\*

INSURANCE INCLUDED\*

PICKUP AVAILABLE

\* Domestic only

TO:

Secretary  
Rosemary Chiavetta  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
400 North Street, 2nd Floor  
Harrisburg Pa 17120

WHEN USED INTERNATIONALLY,  
A CUSTOMS DECLARATION  
LABEL MAY BE REQUIRED.

Label 228, March 2016

FOR DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL USE



S00001000014

EP14F July 2013  
OD: 12.5 x 9.5

VISIT US AT USPS.COM®  
ORDER FREE SUPPLIES ONLINE

