

TO THE HONORABLE
ELIZABETH BARNES
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS MY
REPLY TO SUNOCO'S MOTION
TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF
COMPLAINANT'S MAIN BRIEF
IN CASE.

WILMER BAKER
VS
SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P.
C-2018-3004294

2019 OCT -7 AM 9:55
PALPUC
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

RECEIVED

I, WILMER BAKER, RESPECTFULLY
REQUESTS YOUR HONOR TO DENY
THEIR MOTION IN THIS MATTER.

TO BEGIN WITH I WOULD LIKE
TO INFORM ALL PARTIES INVOLVED
WITH THESE HEARINGS, THAT I AM
A PERSON THAT IS DISABLED, I
HAVE HAD SEIZURES FOR ALMOST
A YEAR!

I'M TAKING (LEVETIRACETAM)
WHICH INTERFERES WITH MY
MENTAL ABILITY'S (ORGANIZATION)

① THEIR FIRST REQUEST OF
USING THEIR COPY OF MY BRIEFS,
LEAVES ME A LITTLE SHAKEN!
AFTER THE FIRST DAY OF THE

HEARING, WHERE THEY DISSECTED MY RECORDS, I DIDN'T GO TO LUNCH, SO THAT I COULD KEEP AN EYE ON THINGS!

YOUR HONOR THIS IS YOUR JURISDICTION, WHICH COPY TO USE IN THESE PROCEEDING, I BELIEVE WITH ALL THE COPIES I SENT OUT AND ALL THE LAWYERS FOR SUNOCO, THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO REALIZE MY ARGUMENT!

② COMPLAINT BRIEF, PAGE 8, EXTRA EXHIBITS, THIS I WAS REFERRING TO (AMICUS CURIAE, BRIEFS AS MY EXTRA INFORMATION, NOT NEW EXHIBITS!

③ ON JULY 18, THE HEARING CAME TO AN END, THE INTERIM ORDER CLOSING THE EVIDENTIARY RECORD CAME INTO EFFECT JULY 25, 2019, (THE LAWYERS USED THIS.)

BEING A LAYPERSON I WAS NOT AWARE OF THIS, BUT I FEEL I DIDN'T ADD NEW INFORMATION.

PAGE 4 OF THEIR MOTION, LAWYERS CLAIM I HAD A FULL AND FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD.

HOW WAS THIS?

JULY 17 2019, SUNOCO ENTERS TWO BENCH MEMORANDUMS.

(A) THAT I WAS A LAYPERSON,
AND THEY WOULD USE EVERY
OPPORTUNITY TO DISTORT
THE LAW AGAINST ME!

(B) SECOND MEMORANDUM, WAS
ABOUT EXPERT QUALIFICATIONS,
WHICH THEY USED AGAINST MY
WITNESSES IN A CAMPAIGN
OF CHARACTER ASSASSINATION.
I WAS NOT PRIVILEGED TO HAVE
THREE ATTORNEYS, TWO PARALEGALS
TO PREPARE THIS CASE.

NOR DID I HAVE PAID
WITNESSES THAT REHEARSE
THEIR TESTIMONY!

MY WITNESSES CAME OF
THEIR FREE WILL TO TESTIFY
FOR THE PUBLIC.

(C) THIS IMPEDED MY OPPORTUNITY
TO A FAIR HEARING.

II CONCLUSION

PAGE (5) OF MOTION TO STRIKE
PORTIONS OF COMPLAINT'S MAIN BRIEF
ATTORNEYS STATE MY STUBBORN
INTENT, TO DISREGARD YOUR
HONOR'S RULING MUST NOT BE
TOLERATED, NOR ALLOWED!

THIS CAN NOT BE FARTHER
FROM THE TRUTH!

I RESPECT YOUR AUTHORITY
IN THIS CASE, I DID NOT TRY

TO CIRCUMVENT IT.

I MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE MADE MISTAKES, BUT THIS WAS UNINTENTIONAL.

PAGES 101-102 THEIR MOTION TO STRIKE

EXHIBIT 21, FOR BOTH PICTURES AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS, RECORDS OF HARD COPY (NOT RECEIVED) THEY WERE GIVEN AN IDENTIFICATION NUMBER SO I INCLUDED THIS (C-21)

PAGES 105-109 (KIM FLEETS REBUTTAL PAGE (DATED 6/24/2019) WAS INSERTED TO PROVE THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE PHOTOS C-24 SHE TOOK

PAGE 167 OF WRITTEN TRANSCRIPT QUESTIONS THIS.

GOING BACK TO THEIR MOTION TO STRIKE

PAGE 261 IS AMICUS CURIAE
PAGE 262 IS AMICUS CURIAE
PAGE 263 THRU 270 IS
BLUMES AMICUS CURIAE
MOST OF THIS INFORMATION IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE
PAGE 271 TO 283 SHOULD BE ACCEPTED AMICUS CURIAE
PAGE 278 IS C-3 IN EVIDENCE THAT KIM FLEET EXPANDED HER AMICUS CURIAE BRIEFS ON!

PAGE 284, DELAWARE.
COUNTY COUNCIL (RESOLUTION, 2019-06,
AMICUS CURIAE

MAIN TRANSCRIPT (PAGE 370)
PAGE 285-290 IN THEIR
MOTION TO STRIKE, ALREADY
ACCEPTED IN MAIN FILE (C-26)

PAGE 291-THRU 296, AMICUS
CURIAE

PAGE 297 WAS ALREADY DENIED.

PLEASE ACCEPT THIS
AS MY ANSWERS TO THEIR
MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS
OF MY MAIN BRIEF

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
WILMER J BAKER

Wilmer Jay Baker

2019 OCT - 7 AM 9:55
PA PUC
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

RECEIVED

TO THE HONORABLE
ELIZABETH BARNES
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS MY
REPLY TO SUNOCO'S MOTION
TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF
COMPLAINANT'S MAIN BRIEF
IN CASE.

WILMER BAKER
VS
SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P.
C-2018-3004294

I, WILMER BAKER, RESPECTFULLY
REQUESTS YOUR HONOR TO DENY
THEIR MOTION IN THIS MATTER.

TO BEGIN WITH I WOULD LIKE
TO INFORM ALL PARTIES INVOLVED
WITH THESE HEARINGS, THAT I AM
A PERSON THAT IS DISABLED, I
HAVE HAD SEIZURES FOR ALMOST
A YEAR!

I'M TAKING (LEVETIRACETAM)
WHICH INTERFERES WITH MY
MENTAL ABILITY'S (ORGANIZATION)

① THEIR FIRST REQUEST OF
USING THEIR COPY OF MY BRIEFS,
LEAVES ME A LITTLE SHAKEN!
AFTER THE FIRST DAY OF THE

HEARING, WHERE THEY DISSECTED MY RECORDS, I DIDN'T GO TO LUNCH, SO THAT I COULD KEEP AN EYE ON THINGS!

YOUR HONOR THIS IS YOUR JURISDICTION, WHICH COPY TO USE IN THESE PROCEEDING, I BELIEVE WITH ALL THE COPIES I SENT OUT AND ALL THE LAWYERS FOR SUNOCO, THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO REALIZE MY ARGUMENT!

② COMPLAINT BRIEF, PAGE 8, EXTRA EXHIBITS, THIS I WAS REFERRING TO (AMICUS CURIAE, BRIEFS AS MY EXTRA INFORMATION, NOT NEW EXHIBITS!

③ ON JULY 18, THE HEARING CAME TO AN END, THE INTERIM ORDER CLOSING THE EVIDENTIARY RECORD CAME INTO EFFECT JULY 25, 2019, (THE LAWYERS USED THIS.)

BEING A LAYPERSON I WAS NOT AWARE OF THIS, BUT I FEEL I DIDN'T ADD NEW INFORMATION.

PAGE 4 OF THEIR MOTION, LAWYERS CLAIM I HAD A FULL AND FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD.

HOW WAS THIS?

JULY 17 2019, SUNOCO ENTERS TWO BENCH MEMORANDUMS.

(A) THAT I WAS A LAYPERSON,
AND THEY WOULD USE EVERY
OPPORTUNITY TO DISTORT
THE LAW AGAINST ME!

(B) SECOND MEMORANDUM, WAS
ABOUT EXPERT QUALIFICATIONS,
WHICH THEY USED AGAINST MY
WITNESSES IN A CAMPAIGN
OF CHARACTER ASSASSINATION!
I WAS NOT PRIVILEGED TO HAVE
THREE ATTORNEYS, TWO PARALEGALS
TO PREPARE THIS CASE.

NOR DID I HAVE PAID
WITNESSES THAT REHEARSE
THEIR TESTIMONY!

MY WITNESSES CAME OF
THEIR FREE WILL TO TESTIFY
FOR THE PUBLIC.

(C) THIS IMPEDED MY OPPORTUNITY
TO A FAIR HEARING.

II CONCLUSION

PAGE (5) OF MOTION TO STRIKE
PORTIONS OF COMPLAINT'S MAIN BRIEF
ATTORNEYS STATE MY STUBBORN
INTENT, TO DISREGARD YOUR
HONORS RULING MUST NOT BE
TOLERATED, NOR ALLOWED!

THIS CAN NOT BE FARTHER
FROM THE TRUTH!

I RESPECT YOUR AUTHORITY
IN THIS CASE, I DID NOT TRY

TO CIRCUMVENT IT.

I MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE MADE MISTAKES, BUT THIS WAS UNINTENTIONAL.

PAGES 101-102 THEIR MOTION TO STRIKE

EXHIBIT 21, FOR BOTH PICTURES AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS, RECORDS OF HARD COPY (NOT RECEIVED)

THEY WERE GIVEN AN IDENTIFICATION NUMBER SO I INCLUDED THIS (C-21)

PAGES 108-109 (KIM FLEETS REBUTTAL PAGE (DATED 6/24/2019) WAS INSERTED TO PROVE THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE PHOTOS C-24 SHE TOOK

PAGE 167 OF WRITTEN TRANSCRIPT QUESTIONS THIS.

GOING BACK TO THEIR MOTION TO STRIKE

PAGE 261 IS AMICUS CURIAE

PAGE 262 IS AMICUS CURIAE

PAGE 263 THRU 270 IS

BLUMES AMICUS CURIAE

MOST OF THIS INFORMATION

IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE

PAGE 271 TO 283 SHOULD

BE ACCEPTED AMICUS CURIAE

PAGE 278 IS C-3 IN EVIDENCE

THAT KIM FLEET EXPANDED

HER AMICUS CURIAE BRIEFS ON!

PAGE 284, DELAWARE.
COUNTY COUNCIL (RESOLUTION, 2019-06,
AMICUS CURIAE

MAIN TRANSCRIPT (PAGE 370)
PAGE 285-290 IN THEIR
MOTION TO STRIKE, ALREADY
ACCEPTED IN MAIN FILE (C-26)

PAGE 291-THRU 296, AMICUS
CURIAE

PAGE 297 WAS ALREADY DENIED:

PLEASE ACCEPT THIS
AS MY ANSWERS TO THEIR
MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS
OF MY MAIN BRIEF

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
WILMER J BAKER


Wilmer Jay Baker



Mr. Wilmer Baker
430 Run Rd.
Carlisle, PA 17015



1000



17120

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
PLAINFIELD, PA
17081
OCT 05, 19
AMOUNT

\$1.30

R2305K140101-10

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg Pa 17120