

C-2018-3000257

Secretary Rosemary Chiawetta, WPPC, ALJ Jeffery A Watson,

My response to your most recent report, dated: 10-01-2019.

I do not agree with your findings of the report, your witnesses statements and their opinions that were based on no proven facts. No one could provide a independant researshed study that could show that the smart meters are safe. I did provide several published, researshed studies to show otherwise.


Enclosed are EXHIBITS; A-1, proof that the smart meters do catch on fire.

A-2, pages 1 thru 5, Dr. David Carpenter scientific evidence, several other ;MD's, PhD, Professors, the list is too long to mention all of them. If that is not proof I do not know what is!

A-3, Smart meter FCC Compliance Violations report. Pages 1 thru 2.

My complaint is based on; You never got my consent to install the smart meter and release my data usuage to other third parties. You are violating my rights, therefore I should be granted the analog meters at 140 and 150 Inwood ave. carmichaels pa.

Kim Betchy:



Date:

10-7-19

sent: CERTIFIED

RECEIVED

OCT 07 2019

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

"The Pennsylvania Utilities Commission wants more information about PECO Energy's handling of meter program, including failure rates of its meters, the number of overheating incidents and how n overheating incidents resulted in damage.

...Regulators in other states, including Illinois and Maryland, are investigating allegations of danger overheating electric smart meters and reports of meter fires."

9/7/2012

Home Scorched by PECO "Smart Meter:" Fire Officials

PECO confirms to NBC10 that there have been 26 incidents of smart meters overheating



Enclosed exhibits
A-1

8/30/2012 Chicago Utility Company admits to Smart Meter related fires

The Chicago Tribune reports, "Commonwealth Edison (ComEd) confirmed on Thursday that three o meters, which wirelessly relay power-use data between homes and the company, have been involu fires" in the Chicago region."

Recently Maryland utility regulators held a hearing with four major electricity companies about sma Baltimore Gas and Electric Co. was reported to admit their company experienced five incidents of th meters overheating.

According to the Tribune, ComEd replaced 15 heat damaged Smart Meters, and is sending its meter independent evaluation, before it deploys more meters next year.

Search Authors...
Search...

A-2
Pages 1-5

US Nato War Economy Civil Rights Environment Poverty Media Justk

Latest News & Top Stories

Spreading the Anti-War Message: New Challenges to Freedom of Expression

Death and Destruction In the Wake of the "Liberation" of Mosul

A Smart-Power Approach for the Seas. The Geopolitics of the World's Oceans

What Is Meant by Permanent War?

Narco-Corruption, ISIS 3.0, and the Terror Drone Attack That Never Happened

Trudeau's Reckless Support for Kinder-Morgan. Billions of Tax Dollars to Buy an Unbuildable, Financially Doomed Pipeline

Kinder Morgan Escapes: The Cut to Cut of the

Most Popular All Articles

Smart Meter Dangers: The Health Hazards of Wireless Electromagnetic Radiation Exposure

The Latest from Dr. David O. Carpenter

By Dr. Ilya Sandra Perlingieri
Global Research, June 28, 2014
Global Research 13 July 2012

Region: Canada,
Theme: Science and Med

SMART METERS
DANGER
BIOLOGICAL HAZARDS



This article by the late Dr. Ilya Sandra Perlingieri was first published on Global Research on July 13, 2012.


Over the past two years, there has been mounting medical and scientific evidence of the grave biological dangers to humans from so-called "Smart" Meters exposure that are being installed by the hundreds of thousands all over North America and Europe. Scientists have been documenting the EMF/RF exposure effects for decades. However, it is only in the last two years, with the constant wireless electromagnetic radiation exposure to these new meters, that other medical evidence (down to cellular level) has been reported. In the US, there has never been a mandate to force these utility meters on millions of unsuspecting people. There has been no Precautionary Principle used, where corporate greed has abounded. Various utility companies have not told their customers of the danger. What they told their customers about these new meters was that it would update the grid and help the control individual usage. Customers have not been told about the serious health problems that the RF pulsing meters cause. We have been given no informed consent to this dangerous but invisible exposure.

With broken and unethical global social mores, this is considered standard business practice: deceive customers, while gaining enormous profits. As long as a "revolving-door" policy remains between corporations and [no longer] public agencies, citizens will not have their medically valid concerns ever addressed. The corporate bottom line, profit, is what counts—not our well-being or safety. Further, the synergistic effects of various wireless technologies combined with other environmental toxins to which we all are exposed daily have not been tested.


Over the past year, I have already personally seen the damage these dangerous meters have done, numerous people and several animals I know—all across the US. Although not generally reported in mainstream media, the serious impacts on peoples' health are already evident. A short list includes neurological impairment, ear pain and hearing problems, breathing dysfunctions, chest pains and ailments, burning skin, sleep disturbances, headaches, depression, vision troubles, blood pressure changes, sterility, autism, and neurodegenerative diseases. There are numerous reports of people who are completely incapacitated from EMF exposure. Several people I know are almost constantly debilitated and housebound, due to city-wide exposure to cell towers and Wi-Fi that has become ubiquitous. Most allopathic physicians are not trained in environmental medicine, and so oftentimes symptoms are mis-diagnosed.


On July 8, Global Research published Prof. Tracy's important Smart Meter update, "Looming Health Crisis: Wireless Technology and the Toxicification of America": www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=31816

Although Dr. David O. Carpenter notes below that the "evidence of the link between long-term cell phone use and brain cancer comes primarily from Northern Europe", I know personally of two people who have died from glioma cancer. The glioma cancer originated behind the ear where the cell phone



Global Research Publishers





Click Here To Order Online

NEWS

THEMES

E-BOOKS SERIES

IN-DEPTH REPORTS

GLOBAL RESEARCH VIDEOS

THE GLOBAL RESEARCH NEWS HOUR

Join Us On Facebook



Global Research (...)

Like Page

The 21st Century
clarity press
an independent publisher on global issues and alternatives

was held. This used to be a rare form of malignant brain cancer. It is now thought to be epidemic, but not reported by the media, as more than 6-billion cell phones are in use globally. Senator Ted Kerne died of glioma cancer.

There is a very long history of "expendable" customers [we're no longer called citizens or people], who multi-national corporations continue to make tremendous profits on dangerous drugs and technology: toxic shock syndrome; Vioxx; thalidomide; HPV vaccines. This list is very long and well documented. For example, in September 2011, the Los Angeles Time reported "that [prescription] drug deaths outnumber traffic fatalities in US." See: <http://articles.latimes.com/2011/sep/17/local/la-me-drug-epidemic-20110918>

What is now termed Electro-Hypersensitivity (EHS), and is recognized in Sweden as a document debilitating illness, can actually be called poisoning, as humans and all other living organisms are being damaged by these meters' moment-to-moment RF pulsing rates. For more than 30 years, distinguished professor Olo Johansson, of the Karolinska Institute, Sweden, has been a highly visible and ardent advocate for those who have been harmed by this technology. His scientific research is groundbreaking. In Sweden, more than 248,000 people are ill from EHS. Most laws are completely outdated and address this crisis. In the US and Canada, many poisoned customers cannot opt-out. Or, if this is available to them, customers are charged high opt-out fees as well as monthly fees. It is a win-win situation financially for the various utility companies, while customers are being harmed daily. The corporate bottom line takes precedence over health and safety.

In a Canadian magazine, *La maison du 21e siècle* [the house of the 21st century], Dr. David Carpenter, a distinguished physician and former founding dean of the School of Public Health, State University, Albany (New York), has just published a letter called "Smart Meters: Correcting the Gross Misinformation." (**) It is here reproduced in its entirety:

"We, the undersigned are a group of scientists and health professionals who together have coauthored hundreds of peer-reviewed studies.

We wish to correct some of the gross misinformation found in the letter regarding wireless "smart" meters that was published in the Montreal daily *Le Devoir* on May 24. Submitted by a group of Quebec engineers, physicists and chemists, the letter in question reflects an obvious lack of understanding of the science behind the health impacts of the radiofrequency (RF)/microwave EMFs emitted by these meters.

The statement that "Thousands of studies, both epidemiological and experimental in humans, show no increase in cancer cases as a result of exposure to radio waves of low intensity..." is false(1).

In fact, only a few such studies — two dozen case-control studies of mobile phone use, certainly not thousands, have reported no elevations of cancer, and most were funded by the wireless industry. In addition, these reassuring studies contained significant experimental design flaws, mainly the fact that the populations followed were too small and were followed for a too short period of time.

Non industry-funded studies have clearly demonstrated a significant increase in cancer cases among individuals who have suffered from prolonged exposure to low-level microwaves, transmitted notably by radio antennas. The effects were best documented in meta-analyses that have been published and that include grouped results from several different studies: these analyses consistently showed an increased risk of brain cancer among regular users of a cell phone who have been exposed to microwaves for at least ten years.

Brain Cancer Rates

Furthermore, the argument that brain cancer rates do not indicate an overall increase in incidence is not evidence that cell phones are safe: the latency for brain cancer in adults after environmental exposure can be long, up to 20-30 years. Most North Americans haven't used cell phones extensively for that long. The evidence of the link between long-term cell phone use and brain cancer comes primarily from Northern Europe, where cell phones have been commonly used since the 1990s.

Children are especially at risk. In May 2012, the U.K.'s Office of National Statistics reported a 50 percent increase in incidence of frontal and temporal lobe tumors in children between 1999 and 2009. This statistic is especially disturbing since in May 2011, after reviewing the published scientific literature regarding cancers affecting cell phone users, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radiofrequency radiation as a 2B, possible human carcinogen. Despite the absence of scientific consensus, the evidence is sufficiently compelling for any cautious parent to want to reduce their loved one's exposure to RF/microwave emissions as much as possible, as recommended by various countries such as Austria, Belgium, Germany, Russia and the United Kingdom.

Electrosensitivity

Public fears about wireless smart meters are well-founded. They are backed by various medical authorities such as the Public Health Departments of Santa Cruz County (California) and of Salzburg State (Austria). These authorities are worried about the

growing number of citizens who say they have developed electrohypersensitivity (EHS), especially since for many of them, the symptoms developed after the installation of such meters (it takes some time for most people to link the two events).

Since the turn of the millennium, people are increasingly affected by ambient microwaves due to the growing popularity of wireless devices such as cell phones and Wi-Fi Internet. Therefore, the mass deployment of smart grids could expose large chunks of the general population to alarming risk scenarios without their consent. According to seven surveys done in six European countries between 2002 and 2004, about 10% of Europeans have become electrosensitive, and experts fear that percentage could reach 50% by 2017. The most famous person to publicly reveal her electrosensitivity is Gro Harlem Brundtland, formerly Prime Minister of Norway and retired Director of the World Health Organization (WHO).

While there is no consensus on the origins and mechanisms of EHS, many physicians and other specialists around the world have become aware that EHS symptoms (neurological dermatological, acoustical, etc.) seem to be triggered by exposure to EMF levels well below current international exposure limits, which are established solely on short-term thermal effects.(2) Organizations such as the Austrian Medical Association and the American Academy of Environmental Medicine have recognized that the ideal way to treat of EHS is to reduce EMF exposure.

Therefore, caution is warranted because the growing variety of RF/microwave emissions produced by many wireless devices such as smart meters have never been tested for their potential biological effects.

Well-known bioeffects

While the specific pathways to cancer are not fully understood, it is scientifically unacceptable to deny the weight of the evidence regarding the increase in cancer cases in humans that are exposed to high levels of RF/microwave radiation.

The statement that "there is no established mechanism by which a radio wave could induce an adverse effect on human tissue other than by heating" is incorrect, and reflects a lack of awareness and understanding of the scientific literature on the subject. In fact, more than a thousand studies done on low intensity, high frequency, non-ionizing radiation, going back at least fifty years, show that some biological mechanisms of effect do not involve heat. This radiation sends signals to living tissue that stimulate biochemical changes, which can generate various symptoms and may lead to diseases such as cancer.

Even though RF/microwaves don't have the energy to directly break chemical bonds, unlike ionizing radiation such as X-rays, there is scientific evidence that this energy can cause DNA damage indirectly leading to cancer by a combination of biological effects. Recent publications have documented the generation of free radicals, increased permeability of the blood brain barrier allowing potentially toxic chemicals to enter the brain, induction of genes, as well as altered electrical and metabolic activity in human brains upon application of cell phone RF/microwaves similar to those produced by smart meters.

These effects are cumulative and depend on many factors including RF/microwave levels, frequency, waveform, exposure time, bio-variability between individuals and combination with other toxic agents. Clear evidence that these microwaves are indeed bioactive has been shown by the fact that low-intensity EMFs have proven clinically useful in some circumstances. Pulsed EMFs have long been used to successfully treat bone fractures that are resistant to other forms of therapy. More recently, frequency-specific, amplitude-modulated EMFs have been found useful to treat advanced carcinoma and chronic pain.

High frequency EMFs such as the microwaves used in cell phones, smart meters, Wi-Fi and cordless "DECT" phones, appear to be the most damaging when used commonly. Most of their biological effects, including symptoms of electrohypersensitivity, can be seen in the damage done to cellular membranes by the loss of structurally-important calcium ions. Prolonged exposure to these high frequencies may eventually lead to cellular malfunction and death.

Furthermore, malfunction of the parathyroid gland, located in the neck just inches from where one holds a cell phone, may actually cause electrohypersensitivity in some people by reducing the background level of calcium ions in the blood. RF/microwave radiation is also known to decrease the production of melatonin, which protects against cancer, and to promote the growth of existing cancer cells.

Early warning scientists attacked

In recommending that the Precautionary Principle be applied in EMF matters, the European Environment Agency's Director Jacqueline McGlade wrote in 2009: "We have noted from previous health hazard histories such as that of lead in petrol, and methyl mercury, that 'early warning' scientists frequently suffer from discrimination, from loss of research funds, and from unduly personal attacks on their scientific integrity. It would be surprising if this is not already a feature of the present EMF controversy..." Such unfortunate consequences have indeed occurred.

The statement in the Le Devoir letter that "if we consider that a debate should take place, it should focus exclusively on the effects of cell phones on health" is basically an acknowledgement that there is at least some reason to be concerned about cell phones. However, while the immediate exposure from a cell phone is of much greater intensity than the exposure from smart meters, cell phone use is temporary.

Smart meters

Wireless smart meters typically produce atypical, relatively potent and very short pulsed RF/microwaves whose biological effects have never been fully tested. They emit these millisecond-long RF bursts on average 9,600 times a day with a maximum of 190,000 daily transmissions and a peak level emission two and a half times higher than the stated safety signal [Perfingieri's italics], as the California utility Pacific Gas & Electric recognized before that State's Public Utilities Commission. Thus people in proximity to a smart meter are at risk of significantly greater aggregate exposure than with a cell phone, not to mention the cumulative levels of RF/microwaves that people living near several meters are exposed to.

People are exposed to cell phone microwaves primarily in the head and neck, and only when they use their device. With smart meters, the entire body is exposed to the microwaves, which increases the risk of overexposure to many organs.

In addition to these erratic bursts of modulated microwaves coming from smart meters, that are transferring usage data to electric, gas and water utilities, wireless and wired smart (powerline communication) meters are also a major source of "dirty electricity" (electrical interference of high frequency voltage transients typically of kilohertz frequencies). Indeed, some scientists, such as American epidemiologist Sam Milham, believe that many of the health complaints about smart meters may also be caused by dirty electricity generated by the "switching" power supply activating all smart meters. Since the installation of filters to reduce dirty electricity circulating on house wiring has been found to relieve symptoms of EHS in some people, this method should be considered among the priorities aimed at reducing potential adverse impacts.

Rather be safe than sorry

The apparent adverse health effects noted with smart meter exposure are likely to be further exacerbated if smart appliances that use wireless communications become the norm and further increase unwarranted exposure.

To date, there have been few independent studies of the health effects of such sources of more continuous but lower intensity microwaves. However, we know after decades of studies of hazardous chemical substances, that chronic exposure to low concentrations of microwaves can cause equal or even greater harm than an acute exposure to high concentrations of the same microwaves.

This is why so many scientists and medical experts urgently recommend that measures following the Precautionary Principle be applied immediately — such as using wired meters — to reduce biologically inappropriate microwave exposure. We are not advocating the abolishment of RF technologies, only the use of common sense and the development and implementation of best practices in using these technologies in order to reduce exposure and risk of health hazards.

Notes

1. Scientific papers on EMF health effects:
www.powerwatch.org.uk/science/studies.asp
2. Explanation and studies on electrosensitivity:
www.powerwatch.org.uk/health/sensitivity.asp
3. Governments and organizations that ban or warn against wireless technology:
www.cellphonetaskforce.org/?page_id=128

[Letter signed by:]

*David O. Carpenter, MD, Director, Institute for Health & the Environment, University at Albany, USA

*Jennifer Armstrong, MD, Past President, Canadian Society of Environmental Medicine, Found Ottawa Environmental Health Clinic, Ontario, Canada

*Pierre L. Auger, M. D., FRCPC, Occupational medicine, Multiclinique des accidentés 1464, Montre Quebec, Canada

*Fiorella Belpoggi, Director, Cesare Maltoni Cancer Research Center, Ramazzini Institute, Bologna Italy

*Martin Blank, PhD, former President, Bioelectromagnetics Society, Special Lecturer, Department Physiology and Cellular Biophysics, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, USA

*Barry Breger, MD, Centre d'intégration somatosopique (orthomolecular medicine), Montreal, Quebec

*John Cline, MD, Professor, Institute for Functional Medicine, Federal Way, WA, USA, Medi Director, Cline Medical Centre, Nanaimo, BC, Canada

*Alvaro Augusto de Salles, PhD, Professor of Electrical Engineering, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

- *Christos Georgiou, Prof. Biochemistry, Biology Department, University of Patras, Greece
- *Andrew Goldsworthy, PhD, Honorary lecturer in Biology, Imperial College, London, UK
- *Claudio Gómez-Perretta, MD, PhD, Director, Centro de Investigación, Hospital Universitario LA F Valencia, Spain
- *Livio Giuliani, PhD, Senior Researcher, National Insurance Institute (INAIL), Chief of Radiation and Ultrasounds Research Unit, Rome, Italy
- *Yury Grigoriev, PhD, Chair Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, Moscow, Russia
- *Settimio Grimaldi, PhD, Director, Institute of Translational Pharmacology (Neurobiology and molecular medicine), National Research Council, Rome, Italy
- *Magda Havas, PhD, Centre for Health Studies, Trent University, Canada
- *Lennart Hardell, MD, Professor of Oncology, University Hospital Örebro, Sweden
- *Denis L. Henshaw, PhD, Professor of Physics, Head of The Human Radiation Effects Group, University of Bristol, UK
- *Ronald B. Herberman, MD, Chairman of Board, Environmental Health Trust, and Founding Director emeritus, University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, USA
- *Isaac Jamieson, PhD Environmental Science (electromagnetic phenomena in the built environment), independent architect, scientist and environmental consultant, Hertfordshire, UK
- *Olle Johansson, PhD, Professor of Neuroscience (Experimental Dermatology Unit), Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
- *Yury Kronn, PhD, Soviet authority on physics of nonlinear vibrations and high frequency electromagnetic vibrations, founder of Energy Tools International, Oregon, USA
- *Henry Lai, PhD, Professor of Bioengineering, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA
- *Abraham R. Liboff, PhD, Professor Emeritus, Department of Physics, Oakland University, Rochester, Michigan, USA
- *Don Maisch, PhD, Researcher on radiation exposure standards for telecommunications frequency, EMFacts Consultancy, Tasmania, Australia
- *Andrew A. Marino, MD, PhD, JD, Professor of Neurology, LSU Health Sciences Center, Shreveport, LA, USA
- *Karl Maret, MD, M.Eng., President, Dove Health Alliance, Aptos, CA, USA
- *Sam Milham, MD, former chief epidemiologist, Washington State Department of Health, USA
- *Joel M. Moskowitz, PhD, Director, Center for Family and Community Health, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley
- *Gerd Oberfeld, MD, Public Health Department, Salzburg State Government, Austria
- *Jerry L. Phillips, PhD, Director, Center for Excellence in Science, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado, USA
- *John Podd, PhD, Professor of Psychology (experimental neuropsychology), Massey University, New Zealand
- *William J. Rea, MD, thoracic and cardiovascular surgeon, founder of the Environmental Health Center, Dallas, Tx, USA
- *Elihu D. Richter, MD, Professor, Hebrew University-Hadassah School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Jerusalem, Israel
- *Leif G. Salford, MD, Senior Professor of Neurosurgery, Lund University, Sweden
- *Nesrin Seyhan, MD, Founder and Chair of Biophysics, Medical Faculty of Gazi University, Turkey
- *Cyril W. Smith, PhD, lead author of "Electromagnetic Man", retired from Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Salford, UK
- *Morando Soffritti, MD, Scientific Director of the European Foundation for Oncology and Environmental Sciences "B. Ramazzini" in Bologna, Italy
- *Antoinette "Tony" Stein, PhD, Collaborative on Health and the Environment (CHE-EMF Workgroup), Co-Coordinator, Berkeley, CA, USA
- *Stanislaw Szmigielski, MD, PhD, Professor of Pathophysiology, Consulting Expert, former director Microwave Safety, Military Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Warsaw, Poland
- *Bradford S. Weeks, MD, Director, The Weeks Clinic, Clifton, WA, USA
- *Stelios A. Zinelis, MD, Vice-President, Hellenic Cancer Society, Cefalonia, Greece

Maison Saine. 11 July, 2012. Quebec-based magazine La Maison du 21e siècle asked physician David O. Carpenter, former founding dean of the University at Albany (NY)'s School of Public Health, comment on a letter published in the Montreal daily Le Devoir last May 24. This letter claimed wireless smart meters pose no risk to public health. Some forty international experts contributed to the following rebuttal. See, Dr. David O. Carpenter:

<http://maisonsaine.ca/smart-meters-correcting-the-gross-misinformation#comment-120283>

NOTE: This original article link (above) also has numerous medical links.

Also see:

"The Invisible Hazards of Smart Meters": www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=26082

A-3
Pages 1-2

Burbank ACTION (Against Cell Towers In Our Neighborhood)

Home > Smart Meter Concerns >

EMF Safety Network Uncovers Smart Meter FCC Compliance Violations - Dec 14 2010

Breaking News: EMF Safety Network investigates PG&E's smart meter installations and uncovers FCC RF exposure compliance violations. Network calls for immediate halt to Smart Meter installations throughout California and statewide investigation of FCC RF exposure compliance violations. Read summary report below and share it with others and the media.



- Read the full report here: <http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf/>
- Also read the Addendum, a follow-up field study, released Feb. 18, 2011, that found wireless smart meters again exceeding FCC RF compliance standards: http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf/?page_id=429
- In addition, read and share: Sage Associate's Briefing Letter on Electric Utility Smart Meters, <http://emfsafetynetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/07/Briefing-Letter-on-Electric-utility-Smart-Meters.pdf>

For related news stories, read:

Novato Patch: "Report: SmartMeters Could Exceed FCC's Radiation Limits; Environmental consultants find FCC public safety limit violations could be possible within six inches of meters," February 21, 2011: <http://novato.patch.com/articles/report-smartmeters-could-exceed-fccs-radiation-limits>

KGO-TV ABC San Francisco: "Study: SmartMeters more powerful than thought," February 21, 2011: http://abclocal.go.com/kgo/story?section=news/local/north_bay&id=7971215&rss=rss-ipad-kgo-article-7971215

Metering.com: "Smart meters in California likely to exceed FCC safety limits according to environmental consultants," January 12, 2011: <http://www.metering.com/Smart/meters/California/likely/exceed/FCC/safety/limits/according/environmental/consultants>



EMF Safety Network
Promoting education & responsible use of EMF and RF technology

EMF Safety Network
PO Box 1016 Sebastopol CA 95473
707-824-0824
www.emfsafetynetwork.org

Menu


Burbank residents: Sign our Petition now, "Burbank Residents Oppose Smart Meters":
<http://burbankaction.wordpress.com/petition/>

Visit our Burbank ACTION blog:
<http://burbankaction.wordpress.com/>

Calendar - upcoming events:
<http://burbankaction.wordpress.com/calendar/>

Go to our "Smart Meter Concerns" Section:
<https://sites.google.com/site/nocelltowerinourneighborhood/home/wireless-smart-meter-concerns>

Join our facebook page - network, share and post info that's going on in your community, inform and help other communities



Click below for more info:

Burbank UPDATES:

- June 3-17, 2011: City of Burbank Planning & Transportation Division issues its draft updated wireless facility ordinance - it fails to protect our residential areas - go here to read how you can help: <https://sites.google.com/site/nocelltowerinourneighborhood/home/june-17-2011-resident-responses-comments-to-proposed-wf>

PG&E Smart Meters Violate FCC RF Exposure Compliance Rules
December 14, 2010

PG&E has based its radiation (RF) health and safety claims on their assertions that Smart Meters comply with all Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations. The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has upheld without question or investigation PG&E's unproven claims. PG&E must be held accountable for following FCC RF compliance safety rules.

The EMF Safety Network alleges that PG&E Smart Meters violate one or more FCC conditions that determine RF exposure compliance. The FCC Grants of Equipment Authorization, which govern the rules upon which FCC compliance is based, warns that RF exposure compliance depends on specific conditions. The conditions include one or more of the following, depending on the specific make and model of Smart Meter.

- limited single module approval requires professional installation;
- antenna(s) must provide a separation distance of at least 20 cm from all persons;
- antenna(s) must not be co-located or operating in conjunction with any other antenna or transmitter;
- end-users and installers must be provided with antenna installation and transmitter operating conditions for satisfying RF exposure compliance

Network believes that completion of a few weeks of training does not qualify PG&E's Smart Meter installers as "professionals." Based on informal observations, Network has serious doubts about installer training and professional status. Network suspects that installers are also not given accurate information about RF operating conditions.

Many Smart Meters are installed within 20 cm of public access. In some cases the meters are installed inside homes and businesses. In many situations Smart Meters are easily accessible to the public. This rule is clearly violated.

Smart Meters are widely co-located in banks of multiple meters. Co-location also occurs within Smart Meters because electric Smart Meters include at least two internal RF antennas. One antenna is used for the mesh network system and the other is for the Home Area Network (HAN) systems. Antennas are designed to work in conjunction with the HAN and RF appliances and with other Smart Meters in a mesh network. Antennas have separate Grants of Equipment Authorization, which suggests that manufacturers have tested antennas in isolation and individually, and not in combination, which is how the Smart Meter and the Smart Grid system were designed to operate.

Network believes "end users," are the customers. PG&E has not provided end users with antenna installation and transmitter operating conditions for satisfying RF exposure compliance. FCC conditions that specify that end users are to have no manual instructions to remove or install the device confirm Network's belief that the end user is the customer.

Network alleges one or more FCC exposure compliance violations for the following meters PG&E is deploying: FCC ID numbers: OWS-NIC514, OWS-NIC507, and LLB6327PWM.

Research into other Smart Meter Grants of Equipment Authorizations indicates there are similar violations in other utility districts in California. Network is calling for an immediate halt to the Smart Meter installation in California and a statewide investigation into these FCC compliance violations. Network further calls for copies of all Grants of Equipment Authorization for all Smart Meters installed under CPUC jurisdiction, with complete contact information for laboratory testing personnel responsible for performing RF compliance tests.

Sandi Maurer
 EMF Safety Network

Cindy Sage
 Sage Associates

- [ordinance-update](#)
- [Read Burbank ACTION resident response to proposed Draft Update of our Wireless Telecommunications Facility Ordinance here.](#)
- [Please go here for our list of "Top 20" Resident Recommendations - thanks to residents who have e-mailed these to our city officials. To read about the Dec. 1, 2010 Community Meeting, click the item under "Burbank UPDATES" in the column to your right.](#)
- [Dec. 1, 2010: Community Meeting](#)
- [August 31, 2010: City Council Meeting - Interim Regulations Approved](#)
- [July 26, 2010: Planning Board Meeting - Interim Regulations Approved](#)
- [June 14, 2010 Study Session and Upcoming TBD Community Meeting](#)
- [Dec. 8, 2009 Study Session & City Hall Meetings](#)
- [Nov. 18, 2009 Planning Board and Nov. 17 City Hall Meetings](#)
- [November 12, 2009 Public Meeting](#)

City of Burbank website: Wireless ordinance updates

Burbank Leader Newspaper Stories and Editorials


Tools: Reasons To Deny A Proposed Cell Tower and/or push for stronger regulations:

- [Reasonable Discrimination Allowed](#)
- [Decrease In Property Value](#)
- [We Already](#)

For supporting Documents and Photos, and a PDF version of this summary report above:

KB
140 Inwood Ave
Carmichaels, Pa
15320

CERTIFIED MAIL®



7019 0700 0001 8063 8581



1000



17105

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
CARMICHAELS, PA
15320
OCT 07, 19

AMOUNT

\$4.80

R2304E104963-1B

Secretary P.U.C.
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pa
17105

Rosemary Chiavetta