

Tori L. Giesler, Esq.
(610) 921-6658
(330) 315-9263 (Fax)

December 13, 2019

VIA ELECTRONIC AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Administrative Law Judge Darlene Heep
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Office of Administrative Law Judge
801 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107

RECEIVED
2020 FEB -4 PM 2:16
PA PUC
SECRETARY'S BUREAU
FRONT DESK

**Re: Liza Mousios and Roy Cumming v. Metropolitan Edison Company
Docket No. C-2019-3007989 and C-2019-3007995**

Dear Judge Heep:

By letter dated November 12, 2019, Ms. Mousios and Mr. Cumming requested a continuance of the evidentiary hearing scheduled for January 14, 2019. Please allow this letter to serve as Metropolitan Edison Company's ("Met-Ed" or "Company") response and objection to the request. Ms. Mousios and Mr. Cumming state that they are requesting an extension of the evidentiary hearing until the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania has ruled upon multiple appeals which have been filed by various individuals. Met-Ed notes that none of the appeals referenced by Ms. Mousios and Mr. Cumming involve the Complainants or Met-Ed. Further, Met-Ed is not privy to any conversations between "Dr. and Dr. McKnight" or any other complainants and the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. In addition, there has been no explanation given that would explain why the resolution of those matters must occur prior to the resolution of the instant complaint. To the extent that this continuance would be granted, it would establish precedent of a policy that could abruptly halt all such proceedings before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission in such a way that not only would delay the orderly disposition of formal complaint matters such as this, but also would significantly delay the full execution of the Company's deployment plan.

Notably, the hearing sought to be continued is already a continuance from an earlier scheduled hearing date. Further, Ms. Mousios alleges that she is living in a circumstance of "state mandated homelessness." Given this allegation, one would think that she would be more than eager to see her case move through litigation so that she can see resolution of her concerns. seems counterintuitive to the request to delay the evidentiary hearing. For all of the reasons outlined above, the Company strongly opposes the Complainants' request for continuance.

Judge Darlene Heep
December 13, 2019
Page 2 of 2

Copies of this response are being provided in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service. Should you have any questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Tori L. Giesler

Tori L. Giesler

krak

c: As Per Certificate of Service

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

LIZA MOUSIOS AND ROY CUMMING

v.

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY

:
:
:
:
:

Docket No. C-2019-3007989
C-2019-3007995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing document upon the individuals listed below, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).


Service by First Class Mail, postage prepaid, as follows:

Liza Mousios
P.O. Box 116
Revere, PA 18953

Administrative Law Judge Darlene Heep
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Office of Administrative Law Judge
801 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107

Roy Cumming
P.O. Box 396
Revere, PA 18953

Dated: December 13, 2019



Lauren M. Lepkoski
Tori L. Giesler
FirstEnergy Service Company
2800 Pottsville Pike
P.O. Box 16001
Reading, Pennsylvania 19612-6001
(610) 921-6203
(610) 921-6658
llepkoski@firstenergycorp.com
tgiesler@firstenergycorp.com