

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY  
COMMISSION**

Thomas Maslar

Complainant

v.

DOCKET NO. C-2018-3003075

PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES CORPORATION

Respondent

**THOMAS MASLAR'S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND  
REHEARING OF THE COMMISSION'S  
May 21, 2020 FINAL ORDER IN HIS FORMAL COMPLAINT**

Thomas Maslar hereby submits this Petition for Reconsideration And Rehearing in the above-captioned proceeding pursuant to Section 5.572 and 5.41 of the Public Utility Commission's ("Commission") regulations 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.571, 5.41. Thomas Maslar requests that the Commission approve this Petition for Reconsideration and Rehearing after its Final Order entered May 21, 2020 in the above-captioned case, regarding the installation of a smart meter by PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL) on the complainant property.

***Standards for Reconsideration of a Commission Order***

The Pennsylvania Public Utility Code ("Code") provides that a party may seek reconsideration of a Commission order within 15 days after it is entered. See 52 Pa. Code § 5.572. A petition for reconsideration is proper where the party raises "new or novel arguments, not previously heard, or considerations which appear to have been overlooked or not addressed by the Commission." *Duick v. Penn. Gas & Water Co.*, 56 Pa. PUC 553,559 (1982).

These considerations, which involve "new or novel arguments, not previously heard, or considerations which appear to have been overlooked or not addressed by the Commission," justify reconsideration of the Maslar Order pursuant to Section 5.572 of the Code. Id.

I am submitting this Petition to request the Commission reconsider its Maslar Order because of the following new or novel arguments and information which did not arise until after the close of my formal hearing and those issues which arose after the conclusion of my formal complaint hearing and briefing process, in addition to arguments previously overlooked by the Commission.

As discussed further below, Thomas Maslar respectfully submits that the May 21st Final Order does not take into account the most recent information discovered. As such, the Commission should grant this Petition for a Rehearing and Reconsideration and consider all relevant information. In support of its Petition for a Rehearing and Reconsideration, Thomas Maslar submits as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

1. I have new evidence to present as there are over 4,000 new scientific studies that report biological and adverse health effects resulting from exposure to non-thermal radio-frequency radiation (RF).
2. I am still in possession of new information that I was disallowed to present at my in person hearing regarding the safety of long term exposure to low levels of non-thermal microwave radiation.
3. I was told by Elizabeth Barnes during the telephonic pre-hearing conference call on August 29<sup>th</sup>, 2018 that people who elected to have an in person hearing would not be required to provide copies exhibits ahead of the hearing as long as 3 copies of the exhibits were provided to the court at the time of the hearing.
4. I came to the hearing prepared with 4 copies of my exhibits as instructed by Elizabeth Barnes during my pre-hearing telephone conference. Those exhibits were authored by experts in the field of health. These studies rebut PPL and their PAID EXPERT witnesses Dr. Israel and Dr. Davis claims that there is no biological effect on biological systems from exposure to non-thermal levels of microwave energy emitted by the type of "Smart Meter" being deployed by PPL Corporation. I should be allowed to present this information at a new hearing as I was not allowed at my initial hearing.

5. Elizabeth Barnes misled me during the pre-hearing conference call by instructing me that I was able to submit my exhibits in person at my in person hearing. Then proceeded to rule against her own instructions for submitting exhibits at the in person hearing.
6. I will present new information that smart meters are not safe (per scientific studies) if I am granted another hearing.
7. In November 2018, the National Toxicology Program ("NTP"), part of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, published a "Fact Sheet" with information about possible harmful biological effects from RF exposure, based on studies it had conducted. It is available at [https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell\\_phone\\_radiofrequency\\_radiation\\_studies\\_508.pdf](https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf). It is an agency with primary jurisdiction in the federal government for determining health and safety of RF exposure.

According to its website, it is an interagency program composed of, and supported by, three government agencies within the Department of Health and Human Services: the National Center for Toxicological Research of the Food and Drug Administration; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

8. 250 experts from 42 countries have asked the UN to protect the health of humans and the environment from electromagnetic radiation ([www.EMFScientist.org](http://www.EMFScientist.org)) "Smart" meters emit pulses of such electromagnetic radiation, in many cases every second.
9. The Baby Safe Project - ([www.babysafeproject.org](http://www.babysafeproject.org)) - 258 physicians, scientists and educators have signed the Joint Statement on Pregnancy and Wireless Radiation urging the protection of pregnant women and their unborn baby from harm.
10. See the William and Jean Haas v. Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission case currently in the PA Commonwealth Court Docket # 658 MD 2019.
11. There is always the Cybersecurity risk surrounding the smart meter program and someone needs to be held responsible. I recently acquired new information on the vulnerability of hackers to the electric grid.
12. Wireless Devices and Health Concerns - <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/wireless-devices-and-health-concerns>.

13. You do not need a degree to understand the dangers surrounding smart meters. People are being affected and many in this nation seem oblivious to the dangers while this is still being pushed as a verified law in PA. When will it all stop? Only God Almighty is the final judge to this wrongly interpreted and unconstitutional law which is being forced on the residents of Pennsylvania. I should have a right to be free of any governmentally mandated intrusion into my home and body as a citizen of Pennsylvania and the U.

14. Relief Sought:

Therefore, I respectfully request that the Commission reconsider and reverse the Maslar Order and grant a rehearing and order PPL to refrain from installing a smart meter on my house so that I can be safe in my own home.

I pray that the PUC makes changes in the PUC regulations which allow sensitive customers to keep analog or receive non-RF emitting producing meters on their homes. If laws are passed by the Pennsylvania House of Representatives and signed by the State Governor, then I request a safe meter (with no non-thermal radio-frequency radiation) for my individual health requirements be installed on my house.

WHEREFORE, Thomas Maslar respectfully requests that the Public Utility Commission grant this Petition for a Rehearing and Reconsideration of its Final Order entered May 21st, 2020 in the above-referenced docket.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas Maslar

3556 Apollo Ct.  
Orefield, PA 18069  
610-395-6082

Dated: June 4, 2020

BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Thomas Maslar

v.

C-2018-3003075

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of Petition for Rehearing and Reconsideration for Docket No. C- C-2018-3003075 upon the individuals listed below, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code 1.54(relating to service by a participant).

Service via email

Devon T. Ryan

Post & Schell, P.C.

17 North 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601

DRyan@PostSchell.com

Dated June 4, 2020



Thomas Maslar

3556 Apollo Ct.

Orefield, PA 18069