

Rashid El Malik
1320 Via Margarita
Palos Verde's Estate Ca 9274
310 465-1376
elmaliksr@gmail.com

TO: Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, Second Floor
Harrisburg, Pa 17120

Date: June 5, 2020

RE: Rashid El Malik v PECO Energy Company

PUC Docket No. F-2020-3018838

Dear Ms. Chiavetta

Attached for filing with the Commission is a clarification of the record to include a withdrawal of the May 25, 2020, removal of PECO from these proceedings

I have enclosed a Certificate of Service showing that a copy of the above document was served on the interested party

Respectfully Submitted,

_____/RELM/_____

Rashid El Malik, Sr JD

1 **PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

2
3
4 **Rashid El Malik**)
5 **COPLAINANT**)
6 **V**) **DOCKET NO > F-2020-3018838**
7 **PECO ENGERY COMPANY**) **CLEARIFICTION**
8 **RESPONDENTS**)

9
10 On May 25, 2020, Complainant filed his answer to the preliminary
11 objection of Respondent "PECO Energy Company withdrawing PECO from this
12 proceeding
13

14
15 Now comes Complaint to correct the record. Complainant caption
16 stated the United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims. The caption
17 should have stated Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

18 Now comes Complaint to ask the Commission to disregard the removal
19 of PECO from these proceeding dated May 25, 2020, and reassert PECO in the
20 proceeding.

21 Complainant and respondent counsel Kadajah Scott agreed to have a
22 telephone conference with Anna Mae Migliaccio regulatory assessor. The
23 conference was held in good faith on Friday May 29, 2020.
24

25 Complaint requested documents from PECO pursuant to section 23.3
26 that "a customer must provide to the New EGS the customer's PECO
27 Energy account number." Complainant never provided his account number
28 to NRG
29
30 PECO could not provide the requested document.
31
32

1 Counselor for PECO stated; *"I looked into our system and could not locate*
2 *the documents that were submitted by the supplier. I am not sure that we*
3 *house that information. But we are reaching out to another department,*
4 *who may be able to provide you with what you need."*

6 Complainant during the telephone conference requested the
7 regulatory assessor to seek the documents with signature from NRG since
8 the PUC stated in their investigation of Complainant complaint that; *"NRG*
9 *Residential Solution records show on 1/21/2019, El Malik enrolled your*
10 *account in person at a retail event which authorized NRG to make changes*
11 *to your account."* The commission never produced the document.
12
13
14
15

16 Conclusion

17
18
19 Complainant is withdrawing his previous statement removing PECO from
20 the proceeding and now seeks to move forward in his lawsuit against
21 PECO and NRG.
22
23
24
25

26
27 Respectfully Submitted

28
29 Friday, June 5, 2020

30 Rashid El Malik, Sr, JD
31
32

PROOF OF SERVICE

On June 5, 2020, I served the following documents to the respondent in this action

PUC DOCKET NO. F-2020-3018838

Clarification of the record and the withdrawal of the May 25, 2020 removal of PECO from the proceedings

By e-mail to Khadijah.scott@exeloncorp.com

ATT: Khadijah Scott Esquire

Assistant General Counsel, Exelon BSC

I swear under the penalty of Perjury under the laws of California that the above is true and correct.

_____/RPELM/_____

Rosalind P. El Malik