

Paula and Charles Hughes  
5471 Highview Drive  
Gap, P.A. 17527  
August 15, 2020  
DOCKET # C-2019-3007631

TO: SEC  
RECEIVED  
2020 AUG 19 PM 1:29  
CHAIRMAN'S OFFICE

PA Public Utilities Commission  
Gladys Brown Dutrieveille – Chairman  
David W. Sweet - Vice President  
John F. Coleman, Jr.  
Ralph V. Yanora  
Rosemary Chiavetta – Secretary

To Whom It May Concern;

Please note, that We, Charles and Paula Hughes, Docket # C-2019-007631, DID NOT FILE A Petition for Reconsideration. We are not aware of any new or novel information that the PUC should consider and therefore, the criteria for a Petition for Reconsideration would not meet the regulatory requirements for such a petition. The PUC should know that WE DID FILE A Notice of Appeal to the Commonwealth Court dated July 16, 2020, via email to the Secretary of the PUC and the PPL attorneys. On July 17, 2020, we mailed our Notice of Appeal to the Commonwealth Court, the Attorney General, the PPL attorneys and also the PUC. We are currently working on our Petition for Review for the Commonwealth Court after having received an acknowledgment of our Notice of Appeal on July 23, 2020, and a temporary Docket # 314XXX2020.

The Commonwealth Court now has jurisdiction to hear our appeal, not the PUC.

We OBJECT to the PUC's mis-characterization of our July 16 Notice of Appeal to the Commonwealth Court as a Petition for Reconsideration. The PUC, for whatever reason, filed our clearly titled Notice of Appeal to the Commonwealth Court as a Petition for Reconsideration on July 17, 2020. We REJECT the PUC's Order, dated August 12, 2020 to review a document that we did not file, in apparent *ultra vires* move to snatch back our complaint to its jurisdiction.

We do not agree to a Petition for Reconsideration of our formal complaint nor do we agree to the PUC retaining jurisdiction of our formal complaint.

If the PUC wishes to reverse its decision in our formal complaint and grant us the relief that we asked for, that may be the PUC's prerogative, but it cannot premise any reversal of our formal complaint dismissal upon a non-existent filing on our part. And it is highly doubtful to us that the PUC would reverse itself midstream, as it has dismissed every single smart meter harm formal complaint to date. Besides, if the PUC wished to reverse itself as to its so called smart meter mandates, it could do so via a regulation, without focusing on our complaint. The PUC for many years has refused to acknowledge the issues brought before it in good faith by hundreds of Pennsylvanians who have spent much time, effort and money to no avail.

From this point forward, we are done with the PUC for good reason.

Sincerely,

Paula and Charles Hughes

CC: Commonwealth Court Of Pennsylvania  
P.A. Office of Attorney General

Paula and Charles Hughes  
5471 Highview Drive  
Gap, P.A. 17527

RETURN RECEIPT  
REQUESTED




7037 1070 0001 0275 0808

Gladys Brown Dutrieville - Chairman  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
400 North Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17120  
3rd Floor  
Room N-304

FD: SEC

1712080079 0000




  
 1000
   

  
 17120
   
 U.S. POSTAGE PAID
   
 GAP, PA
   
 17527
   
 AUG 15, 20
   
 AMOUNT
   
**\$6.95**
  
 R2308K140181-7