LAW OFFICES PINNOLA & BOMSTEIN

MICHAEL S. BOMSTEIN
PETER J. PINNOLA

ELKINS PARK OFFICE 8039 OLD YORK ROAD ELKINS PARK, PA 19027 (215) 635-3070 FAX (215) 635-3944 100 SOUTH BROAD STREET, SUITE 2126 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19110 (215) 592-8383 FAX (215) 574-0699 EMAIL mbomstein@gmail.com

MT. AIRY OFFICE 7727 GERMANTOWN AVENUE, SUITE 100 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19119 (215) 248-5800

REPLY TO:

Center City

August 26, 2020

Electronic Filing

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street, Second Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Flynn, et al. v. Sunoco Pipeline L.P.,

Docket Nos. C-2018-3006116, P-2018-3006117

DiBernardino, Docket No. C-2018-3005025 (consolidated)

Britton, Docket No. C-2019-3006898

(consolidated) (consolidated)

Obenski, Docket No.C-2019-3006905

Andover, Docket No. C-2018-3003605

Flynn Complainants' Response to Motion For Leave to Reply to Answer to Sunoco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment On Consequence Without Probability

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Attached for electronic filing with the Commission is the Flynn Complainants' Response to Sunoco's Motion for Leave to Reply to Answer to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Consequence without Probability.

If you have any questions regarding this filing, please contact the undersigned.

Very talily yours,

MENAEL S. BOMSTEIN

MSB:mik

cc: Per Certificate of Service

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

MEGHAN FLYNN

ROSEMARY FULLER

MICHAEL WALSH

NANCY HARKINS

GERALD MCMULLEN

CAROLINE HUGHES and

MELISSA HAINES

Complainants,

v.

DOCKET NO. C-2018-3006116

DOCKET NO. P-2018-3006117

DOCKET NO. C-2018-3005025

DOCKET NO. C-2019-3006898

DOCKET NO. C-2019-3006905

DOCKET NO. C-2018-3003605

SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P.,

Respondent.

FLYNN COMPLAINANTS' RESPONSE TO SUNOCO'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO REPLY TO ANSWER TO SUNOCO'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON CONSEQUENCE WITHOUT PROBABILITY

Flynn Complainants, having been served with Sunoco's Motion for Leave to Reply to Answer, and desiring to respond thereto, hereby answer as follows.

All they had to do was call! A simple request of counsel would have been greeted with the standard courtesy that any other litigant would have received. The parties could easily have entered into a stipulation and submitted it to the ALJ for approval.

1. Denied as stated. Admitted that the Mattu citation inadvertently and importantly left out the subsequent Commonwealth Court reversal. In Mattu, the Commission was reversed for accepting lay testimony on an expert matter and the case now has no precedential value.

In the present case, however, Sunoco is challenging an expert's testimony and suggesting that that testimony was insufficient. The Complainants' Answer to the Summary Judgment motion more than adequately demonstrated that Jeff Marx's direct testimony and surrebuttal testimony are sufficient to survive Sunoco's motion.

Sunoco also wishes to file a Reply in order to respond to the Flynn Complainants' statement of undisputed facts. Complainants have no objection to that request either. Sunoco cites 52 Pa. Code § 5.243(e) which "prohibits the introduction of evidence during rebuttal which should have been included in the party's case-in-chief."

What Sunoco fails to do, however, is actually apply this provision to the facts of the current proceeding. The four secret Sunoco consequence summaries were not even relevant until John Zurcher's rebuttal testimony incorrectly asserted that consequence without a probability analysis is meaningless. That happened on June 15th. In this physical world the arrow of time does not go backwards; Jeff Marx was not and could not have been on notice back in January of the new claim that Mr. Zurcher was to make in June. Flynn Complainants will be addressing this in a separate motion.

The proposed Reply attached as Attachment "A" repeats the erroneous claim that the issue of relying upon consequence without probability has been decided by Your Honor and by the Commission. The proposed Reply also asserts that "Complainants rely on a single case in their respective answers." (Reply at ¶ 4). These claims are simply and obviously wrong. Indeed, it is puzzling that experienced counsel would mischaracterize the contents of a filing which the Judge could so easily see is at odds with what Sunoco asserts.

First, Complainants' argument distinguishes the two cases upon which Sunoco incorrectly replies.

Second, the argument does rely upon another case, Pennsylvania Bureau of

Corrections v. City of Pittsburgh, 532 A.2d 12 (Pa. 1987), relative to Mr. Zurcher's entirely

unsupported claim.

In addition the Answer cites non-decisional sources as well, including,

importantly, the case regulation Judge Barnes relied upon in her previous ruling: 52 Pa. Code §

3.1.

Mostly, Sunoco is using the proposed Reply to regurgitate the erroneous claims

made in its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. The Flynn Complainants believe that their

Answer to the Motion is sufficient and they will not at this time repeat what they said in that

filing. If Sunoco wishes to do so, however, Complainants have no objection.

2. Denied as stated. Complainants disagree with the characterization of the

procedural history of the case cited by Sunoco but have no objection to allowing Sunoco a

chance to file the proposed Reply.

3. Admitted.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael S. Bomstein

Michael S. Bomstein, Esq.

Pinnola & Bomstein

PA ID No. 21328

Email: mbomstein@gmail.com

Suite 2126 Land Title Building

100 South Broad Street

Philadelphia, PA 19110

Tel.: (215) 592-8383

Dated: August 26, 2020

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of Flynn Complainants' foregoing Response upon the persons listed below as per the requirements of § 1.54 (relating to service by a party).

See attached service list.

/s/ Michael S. Bomstein
Michael S. Bomstein, Esq.

Dated: August 26, 2020

SERVICE LIST

THomas J. Sniscak, Esquire Kevin J. McKeon, Esquire Whitney E. Snyder, Esquire Hawke, McKeon & Sniscak LLP 100 North Tenth Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 tjsniscak@hmslegal.com kjmckeon@hmslegal.com wesnyder@hmslegal.com Attorneys for Respondent SPLP

Michael P. Pierce, Esquire
Pierce & Hughes
17 Veterans Square
Media, PA 19063
mppierce@pierceandhughes.com
Attorney for Edgmont Twp

James C. Dalton, Esquire Unruh, Turner, Burke & Frees P.O. Box 515 West Chester, PA 19381-0516 jdalton@utbf.com Attorney for West Chester Area

Joseph Otis Minott, Esquire
Alexander G. Bomstein, Esquire
Ernest Logan Welde, Esquire
Kathryn Urbanowicz, Esquire
Clean Air Council
135 South 19th St, Suite 300
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Joe_Minott@cleanair.org
abomstein@cleanair.org
lwelde@cleanair.org
kurbanowicz@cleanair.org

Robert Fox, Esquire
Neil Witkes, Esquire
Diana A. Silva, Esquire
Manko, Gold, Katcher & Fox
401 City Avenue, Suite 901
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004
rfox@mankogold.com
nwitkes@mankogold.com
dsilva@mankogold.com
Attorneys for Respondent SPLP

Rich Raiders, Esquire
Raiders Law
321 East Main Street
Annville, PA 17003
rich@raiderslaw.com
Attorney for Andover Homeowners

James J. Byrne, Esquire McNichol, Byrne & Matlawski 1223 North Providence Road Media, PA 19063 jjbyrne@mbmlawoffice.com Attorney for Thornbury Twp

Erin McDowell, Esquire 3000 Town Center Blvd Canonsburg, PA 15317 emcdowell@rangeresources.com Attorney for Range Resources Guy Donatelli, Esquire
Vincent M. Pompo, Esquire
Lamb McErlane PC
24 East Market Street
West Chester, PA 19382-0565
gdonatelli@lambmcerlane.com
vpompo@lambmcerlane.com
abaumler@lambmcerlane.com
Attorneys for West Whiteland,
Downingtown SD, Rose Tree
Media Sch Dist, Sen Killion

Anthony D. Kanagy, Esquire Garrett P. Lent, Esquire Post & Schell PC 17 North Second St 12th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601 a akanagy@postscheli.com glent@postschell.com Attorney for Range Resources

James R. Flandreau, Esquire Paul, Flandreau & Berger, LLP 320 West Front Street Media, PA 19063 jflandreau@pfblaw.com Attorney for Middletown SD

Leah Rotenberg, Esquire Mays Connrad & Rotenberg 1235 Penn Avenue, Suite 202 Wyomissing, PA 19610 rotenberg@mcr-attorneys.com Attorney for Twin Valley SD Mark L. Freed, Esquire
Joanna A. Waldron, Esquire
Curtin & Heefner, LLP
2005 S Easton Road, Ste 100
Doylestown, PA 18901
mlf@curtinheefner.com
jaw@curtinheefner.com
Attorney for Uwchlan Twp

PRO SE INTERVENORS: Thomas Casey, Esquire 1113 Windsor Drive West Chester, PA 19380 tcaseylegal@gmail.com

Melissa DiBernardino 1602 Old Orchard Lane West Chester, PA 19380 lissdibernardino@gmail.com

Virginia Marcielle-Kerslake 103 Shoen Road Exton, PA 19341 vkerslake@gmail.com

Laura Obenski
14 South Village Avenue
Exton, PA 19341
ljobenski@gmail.com

Josh Maxwell 4 West Lancaster Avenue Downingtown, PA 19335 jmaxwell@downingtown.org

Rebecca Britton
211 Andover Drive
Canonsburg, PA 15317
rbrittonlegal@gmail.com