

Noreen McCarthy
18 Millstone Lane
Pottstown, PA 19465
610-469-2009
contactnoreen1@gmail.com

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

September 11, 2020

ERRATA SHEET SUBMISSION OF PAGES 22-115 OF TRANSCRIPT

DOCKET NO. C-2019-3006923

Dear Secretary Chiavetta,

As per ALJ Johnson's instructions I am submitting errors/omissions from pages 22-115 from the transcript on the Errata sheet found on the following pages.

I have emailed the Errata sheet to the MetEd attorneys, Dan Pallas, and to the transcript company.

Sincerely,

Noreen McCarthy

ERRATA SHEET

I, Noreen McCarthy, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, from pages 22-115, and further certify that it is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the corrections listed below).

I have noted every error and omission in order to insure the most accurate transcript. I made corrections of the smallest of word errors and/or omissions because when it comes to legal matters I believe even small words are important for accuracy in what was said during the telephonic hearing on July 14, 2020.

Transcript Errors and Omissions

I have **bolded and underlined** correct words, and I have **bolded** incorrect words following each correction.

I have **bolded and underlined** words that were omitted.

p. 22, line 2: Transcript should read: "...WITHOUT **SALE**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...without **fail**..."

p. 22, line 11: Transcript should read: "**See: Titles**..."

Transcript omits: "**See:**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "--**titled**..."

p. 22, line 20: Transcript should read: "...**assent** to law."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**consent** to law."

p. 22, line 25: Transcript should read: "**See:** Constitution..."

Transcript omits: "**See:**"

p. 23, line 5: Transcript should read: "...**assent** to law."

Transcript incorrectly says, "**consent** to law."

p. 23, line 8: Transcript should read: "result in **the**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "result in **a**..."

p. 23, line 9: Transcript should read: "...here **in this**"

Transcript omits "**in this**", instead uses dashes "--"

p. 23, line 11: Transcript should read: "...07-14-**2020** A.D. **See:**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...07-14-**2023** A.D."

Transcript omits "**See:**..."

p. 23, line 20: Transcript should read: "...duress. **See: UCC 1-103**,..."

Transcript omits "**See:**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**PUCC, 1 dash 03**..."

p. 23, line 22: Transcript should read: "...OF **REMEDIES**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...of **remedy**..."

p. 24, line 4: Transcript should read: "**within** the..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "**in** the..."

p. 24, line 7: Transcript should read: "**2.** Noreen McCarthy..."

Transcript omits "**2.**"

p. 24, line 10: Transcript should read: "...enumerated **in**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...enumerated **and**"

p. 24, line 12: Transcript should read: "**3.** If the..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "**One**, if the..."

p. 24, line 15: Transcript should read: "...**on** 07-14-2020"

Transcript omits "**on**"

p. 24, line 19: Transcript should read: "...of 18 **USC 241.18 U.S.C.**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...of 18 **UFC-24118** USC"

p. 24, line 25: Transcript should read: "...privilege **secured**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...privilege **procured**..."

p. 25, line 3: Transcript should read: "exercised **the same;**"

Transcript omits "**the same;**" by placing dash marks: "exercised --"

p. 25, line 9: Transcript should read: "...right **or**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...right **offer**..."

p. 25, lines 10-11: Transcript should read: "...title **or** imprisoned..."

Transcript omits "**or**"

p. 25, line 11: Transcript should read: "...or **both;**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...or **more**"

p. 25, line 16: Transcript should read: "...acts **include**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...acts **includes**..."

p. 25, line 24: Transcript should read: "...68 **Stat.** 696; **Pub.** L..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...68 **at** 696"

Transcript omits "**Pub.**"

p. 26, line 1: Transcript should read: "...82 **Stat.**, 75; **Pub.** L. 100-690, title **VII**,"

Transcript omits "**Stat.**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...75 **hub** L"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...title **BBII**"

p. 26, line 3: Transcript should read: "**Stat.** 4396; **Pub.** L. 103-322, **title VI**,"

Transcript incorrectly says, "**dash** 4396, **hub** L."

Transcript omits "...**title**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "**B I**" instead of "**VI**"

p. 26, line 5: Transcript should read: "...**320201** (a),"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**32020** A."

p. 26, line 8: Transcript should read: "...108 **Stat.**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...108 **dash**..."

p. 26, line 9: Transcript should read: “2113, 2147; **Pub** L...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “**132147 hub** L...”

p. 26, line 11: Transcript should read: “...110 Stat. 3507, 3511.”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...110 **dash 35073511**”

p. 26, line 14: Transcript should read: “...from such actions.”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...from such **acts**.”

p. 26, line 16: Transcript should read: “Deprivation of...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “**Depravation** of...”

p. 26, line 18: Transcript should read: “...custom, willfully”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...custom, **will**”

p. 26, line 19: Transcript should read: “...subjects any...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...**fully subject** any...”

p. 26, line 21: Transcript should read: “...the deprivation of...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...the **depravation** of...”

p. 26, line 23: Transcript should read: “...or to”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...or **two**”

p. 26, line 24: Transcript should read: "...punishments, **pains, or penalties**, on account..."

Transcript omits "...**pains, or penalties**..."

p. 26, line 25: Transcript should read: "...of **his** color,..."

Transcript omits "...**his**..."

p. 27, line 1: Transcript should read: "**than** are..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "**then** are..."

p. 27, line 8: Transcript should read: "**explosives**, or..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "**explosive**, or..."

p. 27, line 16: Transcript should read: "...title, **or** imprisoned"

Transcript omits "...**or**..."

p. 32, line 24: Transcript incorrectly says, "**Ms. McCarthy**: ..."

I, Noreen McCarthy, did not say what is in the transcript from p. 32, line 24 through p. 33, line 3.

p. 33, line 13: Transcript incorrectly says, "**Ms. McCarthy**:..."

I, Noreen McCarthy, did not say what is in the transcript from p. 33, line 13.

p. 33, line 24: Transcript incorrectly says, "**Ms. McCarthy**:..."

I, Noreen McCarthy, did not say what is in the transcript on p. 33, line 24.

p. 36, line 23: Transcript should read: “never **requested a** smart meter.”

Transcript omits “...**requested a**...” placing dash marks “--“ in place of those words

p. 36, line 25: Transcript should read: “...have **dyslexia**,...processing **disorders**...”

Transcript omits “...**dyslexia**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...processing **orders**...”

p. 37, line 1: Transcript should read: “...processing **disorders**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...processing **orders**...”

p. 37, line 2: Transcript should read: “and **visual** processing...”

Transcript omits “...**visual**...”

p. 37, line 14: Transcript should read: “**forced** smart meter...”

Transcript omits “**forced**...”

p. 38, line 17: Transcript should read: “**disabilities, namely**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “**disability, mainly**...”

p. 38, line 20: Transcript should read: “instead **of a so-called** “smart” **meter**...”

Transcript omits “...**of a so-called**...” placing dash marks “--“ in place of those words

Transcript incorrectly says, “smart **meters**...”

p. 38, line 21: Transcript should read: “**emits** wireless...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “**submit** wireless...”

p. 40, line 6: Transcript should read: "...42 U.S.C.A."

Transcript omits the letter "A" in U.S.C.A

p. 40, line 7: Transcript should read: "...et seq."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...et **sec**"

p. 40, line 12: Transcript should read: "...et seq."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...et **sec**"

p. 42, line 6: Transcript should read: "...I aver the right..."

Transcript omits "aver" by placing dash marks: "-- " in place of the word aver

p. 42, line 19: Transcript should read: "...Code provides: (f)..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**provide**..."

Transcript omits "(f)" by placing dash marks: "-- " in place of (f)

p. 42, line 20: Transcript should read: "...time of use rates..."

Transcript omits "of use rates" by placing dash marks: "-- " in its place

p. 42, line 21: Transcript should read: "...companies..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**company**..."

p. 43, line 10: Transcript should read: “**Pizzingrilli and counsel Shane** Rooney...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “**Pivingrilly**...”

Transcript omits “...**and counsel Shane**...” by placing dash marks “--“ in its place

p. 43, line 22: Transcript should read: “...12 Ms. **Pizzingrilli**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...12 Ms. **Pivingrilly**...”

p. 44, line 2: Transcript should read: “**in** all new...”

Transcript omits “**in**” by placing dash marks “--“ in its place

p. 44, line 12: Transcript should read: “...in **the** service...”

Transcript omits “...**the**...”

p. 44, line 16: Transcript should read: “...”**shall furnish**” **has**...”

Transcript omits “...**shall**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...**furnished**...”

Transcript omits “...**has**...”

p. 44, line 18: Transcript should read: “Act 129 **opt**-in mandate **is preserved**...”

Transcript omits “...**opt**...”

Transcript omits “...**is preserved**...” by placing dash marks “--“ in its place

p. 45, line 14: Transcript should read: “Commission **believes that** it...”

Transcript omits “...**believes that**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...commission **will be** --“

p. 45, line 16: Transcript should read: "...all covered **EDC's**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**PUC's**..."

p. 45, line 21: Transcript should read: "...the **EDCs**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...the **EDC**"

p. 45, line 22: Transcript should read: "...installation **plans.**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**plan.**"

p. 45, line 23: Transcript should read: "...of **the**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...of **a**"

p.46, line 4: Transcript should read: "**(C)** One hundred..."

Transcript omits "**(C)**..."

p. 46, line 20: Transcript should read: "**and clause** (iii)..."

Transcript omits "**and clause**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "**laws**, lower case triple I,..."

p. 46, line 21: Transcript should read: "...accordance with **a**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...accordance with **the**"

p. 47, line 4: Transcript should read: "**This** third..."

Transcript omits "**This**..."

p. 47, line 5: Transcript should read: “**conflates** an accounting...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “**completes**...”

p. 47, line 6: Transcript should read: “the **EDC’s** scheduling **of**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “the **EDC** scheduling **are**...”

p. 47, line 20: Transcript should read: “schedule **for them speaks** otherwise.”

Transcript incorrectly says, “schedule **then means** otherwise.”

p. 48, line 2: Transcript should read: “...house **bills**”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...house **bill**”

p. 48, line 18: Transcript should read: “...passage **in**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...passage **of**...”

p. 49, line 2: Transcript should read: “...feel will **help**”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...feel will **they**”

p. 49, line 4: Transcript should read: “Senator **Boscola**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “Senator **Bascolas**...”

p. 49, line 16: Transcript should read: “...**these declarations**...”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...the declaration...”

p. 49, line 19: Transcript should read: "...which **they passed** Act 129 is **also**"

Transcript omits "...**they passed**..." and "**also**"

p. 50, line 14: Transcript should read: "...requires **accommodation**..."

Transcript omits "...accommodation..." by placing dash marks "--" in its place

p. 50, line 16: Transcript should read: "...is an **act** of..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...is an **active** of..."

p. 50, line 17: Transcript should read: "...I **did** not..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...I **do** not..."

p. 50, line 18: Transcript should read: "I **did** not..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "I **do** not..."

p. 62, line 23: Transcript should read: "...**915 megahertz**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**915 megawatts**..."

p. 62, line 25: Transcript should read: "...single-**mode cavities**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...single **motavities (ph)**..."

p. 63, line 3: Transcript should read: "...field **components** of..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...field **competence** of..."

p. 63, line 12: Transcript should read: "...x-ray **diffraction**, scanning **electron microscopy**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...x-ray **defraction**, scanning **electromicroscopy**..."

p. 63, line 13: Transcript should read: "...materials **characterization** spectroscopic **tools**"

Transcript omits, "...**characterization**..."

Transcript omits, "...**tools**"

Transcript incorrectly says, "...the materials of the spectroscopic..."

p. 64, line 3: Transcript should read: "...**graduate** student..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**transfer** student..."

p. 64, line 4: Transcript should read: "...in the **I**-triple-E..."

Transcript omits, "...**I**..."

p. 64, line 10: Transcript should read: "...**Zhang, Z-H-A-N-G**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**Chang, C-H-A-N-D**..."

p. 65, line 11: Transcript should read: "...**photovoltaic**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**photo**..."

p. 65, line 12: Transcript should read: "...**array**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...- - **ray**..."

p. 66, line 15: Transcript should read: "...talk on **Bioadvances**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...talk **a bio advances**..."

p. 66, line 16: Transcript should read: "...**in Electromagnetic Fields at the Second**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...in electromagnetic fields at the second..."

p. 66, line 18: Transcript should read: "...**Future Energy** and..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**future energy** and..."

p. 66, line 19: Transcript should read: "...**Future Medicine**..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**future medicine**..."

p. 67, line 1: Transcript should read: "...**which was** collaborative..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "...**to be** collaborative..."

p. 67, line 12: Transcript should read: "**Isn't** your..."

Transcript incorrectly says, "**Is on** your..."

p. 68, line 11: Transcript incorrectly says, "**Mr. Zimmerman**..." Mr. Zimmerman did not say what follows his name from p. 68, line 11 through p. 70, line 2

p.78, line 3: Transcript incorrectly says, "**Mr. Zimmerman**..." Mr. Zimmerman did not say what follows his name from p. 78 line 3 and 4

p. 78, line 7: Transcript incorrectly says, "Mr. Zimmerman..." Mr. Zimmerman did not say what follows his name on p. 78 line 7

p. 78, line 10: Transcript incorrectly says, “Mr. Zimmerman...” Mr. Zimmerman did not say what follows his name from p. 78 lines 10-19

p. 78, line 21: Transcript should read: “**email**...”

Transcript omits “**email**” by placing dash marks “--“ in its place

p. 86, line 16: Transcript should read: “...Dr. **Laura**”

Transcript incorrectly says, “...**Lauren**”

