

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Jose Galindez	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2020-3023159
	:	
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	:	

INITIAL DECISION

Before
F. Joseph Brady
Administrative Law Judge

INTRODUCTION

This Initial Decision dismisses the Complaint of Jose Galindez against PPL Electric Utilities Corporation because the Complainant failed to appear for the hearing and prosecute the Complaint.

HISTORY OF THE PROCEEDING

On November 24, 2020, Jose Galindez (Complainant) filed a formal Complaint (Complaint) against PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL or Respondent) with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Commission). In the Complaint, the Complainant alleges that his budget billing was increased, and he cannot afford it.

On December 23, 2020, PPL filed an Answer to the Complaint. In its Answer, PPL either admitted or denied the various averments of the Complaint and requested that the Complaint be dismissed.

By Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing Notice dated January 4, 2021, a telephonic hearing was scheduled for February 11, 2021, at 10:00 a.m., and the matter was assigned to me. The Hearing Notice advised the parties of the date and time of the scheduled hearing and warned in bold and underlined type: “**At the above date and time, you must call into the hearing. If you fail to do so, your case will be dismissed. You will not be called by the Presiding Officer.**”

I issued a Prehearing Order on January 14, 2021. The Prehearing Order directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and also warned in bold and underlined type: “**You must call into the hearing on the scheduled day and time. If you fail to do so, your case may be dismissed. You will NOT be called by the Administrative Law Judge.**”

The hearing began on February 11, 2021, as scheduled. Counsel for the Respondent called in with a witness available to testify. The Complainant failed to call into the hearing. The Complainant was given an additional ten minutes to call and participate in the hearing but failed to do so.

No witnesses were presented, and no exhibits were introduced into the record. Counsel for PPL moved that the Complaint be dismissed for lack of prosecution pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.245. In accordance with Commission policy, I am granting the Motion.

The record closed on March 1, 2021, upon the filing of the transcript with the Commission.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Complainant is Jose Galindez.
2. The Respondent is PPL Electric Utilities Corporation.

3. On November 24, 2020, the Complainant filed a Complaint with the Commission against the Respondent.
4. On December 23, 2020, the Respondent filed an Answer to the Complaint.
5. By Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing Notice dated January 4, 2021, a telephonic hearing was scheduled for February 11, 2021, at 10:00 a.m.
6. On January 14, 2021, I issued a Prehearing Order that directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements.
7. Both the Hearing Notice and Prehearing Order warned in bold and underlined type of possible dismissal of the case for failure to appear.
8. Both the Hearing Notice and the Prehearing Order were eServed on the Complainant.
9. Neither the Hearing Notice nor the Prehearing Order were returned as undeliverable.
10. The Complainant failed to appear at the February 11, 2021 hearing.

DISCUSSION

Administrative agencies, such as the Commission, are required to provide due process to the parties appearing before them. *Schneider v. Pa. Pub. Util. Comm'n*, 479 A.2d 10 (Pa.Cmwlth. 1984). This due process requirement is satisfied, however, when the administrative agency provides the parties notice and the opportunity to be heard. *J.P. v. Dep't of Human Servs.*, 150 A.3d 173 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2016).

On January 4, 2021, the Commission eServed Complainant notice of the Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing. The Hearing Notice advised the parties of the location, date and time of the scheduled hearing and warned in bold and underlined type: “**At the above date and time, you must call into the hearing. If you fail to do so, your case will be dismissed. You will not be called by the Presiding Officer.**”

Additionally, on January 14, 2021, the Commission eServed Complainant the Prehearing Order which reminded the parties of the location, date and time of the scheduled hearing and warned of the consequences of the failure to appear at the hearing.

Notice electronically served to a party with no notification that service failed is presumed received. *Zirkel v. Phila. Gas Works*, Docket No. C-2016-2561176 (Final Order entered April 7, 2017) (*Zirkel*); *Morella v. PPL Energy Co.*, Docket No. C-2016-2553416 (Final Order entered January 31, 2017) (*Morella*); and *Hu v. PPL Energy Co.*, Docket No. C-2019-3012075 (Final Order entered December 19, 2019).

Neither the Hearing Notice nor Prehearing Order eServed on the Complainant was returned as undeliverable. It is therefore deemed that the Complainant had notice of the date and time and participation information for the February 11, 2021, hearing. *Zirkel*; *Morella*.

As the Commission noted in *Strydio v. PPL Elec. Util. Corp.*, 2018 Pa. PUC LEXIS 258, *8, Docket No. C-2017-263304, p. 6 (Opinion and Order entered July 18, 2018) “[o]nce notice of a hearing and the opportunity to be heard have been provided by the Commission, it is the responsibility of the parties to appear and participate in the hearing.” *citing*, *Mumma v. PPL Elec. Utils. Corp.*, Docket No. C-00014869 (Order entered January 24, 2002); *Sentner v. Bell Tel. Co. of Pa.*, Docket No. F-00161106 (Order entered October 25, 1993).

The Complainant was notified of the scheduled hearing and did not appear for the hearing. Additionally, the Hearing Notice and Prehearing Order advised the Complainant that the case could be dismissed for failure to call-in and participate in the hearing. Thus, the Complainant has waived the opportunity to participate in the hearing by failing to appear.

52 Pa.Code § 5.245(a); *Jefferson v. UGI Util., Inc.*, 1995 Pa. PUC LEXIS 159 (Opinion and Order entered December 26, 1995).

Finally, Section 332(a) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa.C.S. § 332(a), places the burden of proof upon the proponent of any request for relief. By failing to appear and proffer any evidence to support the Complaint, the Complainant has failed to meet this burden. Consequently, the Complaint will be dismissed on this basis as well. *Jefferson v. UGI Util., Inc.*, Docket No. Z 00269892 (Opinion and Order entered December 26, 1995); *El-Ayazra v. W. Penn Power Co.*, Docket No. F 2015-2509292 (Opinion and Order entered June 30, 2016); 52 Pa.Code § 5.245.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter of and the parties to this proceeding. 66 Pa.C.S. § 701.

2. The Complainant received notice of the hearing. *Chartiers Indus. and Com. Dev. Auth. v. Allegheny Cnty. Bd. of Prop. Assessment Appeals & Review*, 645 A.2d 944 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1994), *appeal den.*, 653 A.2d 1234 (Pa. 1994).

3. The due process rights of the Complainant have been fully protected in this proceeding. *J.P. v. Dep't of Human Servs.*, 150 A.3d 173 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2016); *Sentner v. Bell Tel. Co. of Pa.*, Docket No. F-00161106 (Opinion and Order entered October 25, 1993); 52 Pa. Code § 5.245(a).

4. As the party seeking affirmative relief from the Commission, the Complainant bears the burden of proof. 66 Pa.C.S. § 332(a).

5. The Complainant did not participate in the hearing, failed to appear for the hearing, did not present any evidence and, therefore, failed to meet the Complainant's burden of proving eligibility for the relief sought from the Commission. 66 Pa.C.S. § 332(a).

ORDER

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Motion of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation to dismiss the formal Complaint filed by Jose Galindez at Docket No. C-2020-3023159 is granted.
2. That the formal Complaint of Jose Galindez against PPL Electric Utilities Corporation at Docket No. C-2020-3023159 is dismissed; and
3. That Docket No. C-2020-3023159 be marked closed.

Date: April 26, 2021

_____/s/_____
F. Joseph Brady
Administrative Law Judge