

**PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-3265**

**Public Meeting held June 17, 2021**

**Windstream D&E, Inc. 2021 Annual  
Price Stability Index / Service Price  
Index Filing and Supplement No. 24  
to Tariff Telephone PA P.U.C. No. 18**

**Docket No. R-2021-3025076**

**Windstream D&E, Inc. Amended  
Alternative Regulation and Network  
Modernization Plan**

**Docket No. P-00981430F1000**

**STATEMENT OF  
VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID W. SWEET**

In this year's annual alternative regulation filing, Windstream D&E proposes to increase its monthly residential rates by banking all revenues available in this 2021 filing and drawing the required funds from its banked revenue increases.

Our order acknowledges that the company may bank deferred increases "for a period not to exceed four (4) consecutive years."<sup>1</sup> The point of the bank is that if circumstances are not right for the company to increase rates in this annual revenue filing, it may bank the opportunity and, in the case of a 1-year bank, use it in next year's annual filing. The same applies for a 4-year bank. The company has the opportunity to use a bank deposited in the 2017 filing at any time up to and including in the 2021 filing, the end of 4 consecutive years. If not fully used by the time of the annual filing on the 4<sup>th</sup> anniversary of its bank, then it must be retired in that filing, but not before having the final opportunity to use it.

My position today has been consistent since my first review of these annual filings in 2017. Any remaining amount of a bank that reaches its 4<sup>th</sup> anniversary and is not used is retired. It lapses. It is forfeited. At the end of 4 years, you use it or lose it. But a carrier has 4 consecutive years to use it before it is retired. Our order today states exactly that: "The Company will bank the entire \$40,639 available in its current filing for future use. ...The Company is retiring the remaining unused amount of \$50,184 authorized to it under its 2017 PSI/SPI filing in accordance with the banking terms of its Chapter 30 Plan."<sup>2</sup>

Our orders are not clear, however, nor are they correct as applied. While we state that the company is retiring the 2017 bank in the filing, in practice the company's 2017 bank has already been deemed to have lapsed at some prior time. Rather than drawing from the 2017 bank as it should have, and notwithstanding an April 6, 2021 notice of a proposed rate increase that should have effectively preserved the full 4<sup>th</sup> year, the company in its annual filing identified the 2017

---

<sup>1</sup> Order at 3.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* (Emphasis added.)

bank as “forfeited,” at some unstated time, “due to [a] 4-year limit” and withdrew the funds from its 2018 bank.<sup>3</sup>

Our rural incumbent local exchange carriers have not implemented all their annual authorized revenue increases. They have, instead, lost millions of dollars in banked revenue opportunities that legitimately have expired because they were not used in the annual filing made on the 4<sup>th</sup> anniversary of their bank. These companies should not be denied the opportunity to maximize use of deferred revenue increase opportunities they already substantially forego. We approve these companies’ use of the 4<sup>th</sup> year bank to reflect adjustment of their state tax adjustment surcharge in the period between the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> years’ anniversaries.<sup>4</sup> I have seen no quantitative or qualitative explanation to justify how, why, or when a 4-year bank is compelled to lapse at some unknown or unidentified date and time before the 4<sup>th</sup> anniversary of its initial deferral.

While I believe this is the intended and only logical interpretation of a 4-year bank, no company has requested clarification from the Commission of the application of that provision despite having been encouraged to do so. And, as previously stated, in this case the company did not propose to use the 2017 bank in its 2021 filing. For those reasons, I am not dissenting in the order before us. However, I am voting to concur in the result only and approve the proposed rate increases.



**Date: June 17, 2021**

---

**David W. Sweet**  
**Vice Chairman**

---

<sup>3</sup> Filing Attachment 5.

<sup>4</sup> Order at 4: “The Company used \$92,445 of its 2017 PSI/SPI filing banked revenue amount of \$142,629 for its 2020 annual State Tax Adjustment Surcharge (STAS) filing[,]” citing Docket No. R-2020-3021468; related STAS filing made August 21, 2020, to be effective September 1, 2020, with approval by Secretarial Letter issued November 5, 2020.