

Ms Rosemary Chiavetta, Esquire

January 20, 2022

Secretary

PA Public Utility Commission

Po Box 3265

Harrisburg PA 17105-3265

Re: **Docket No C-2021-3028418**

**Michael and Karen Fedash Vs Metropolitan Edison Company**

**Motion of Met-Ed for Judgement on the Pleadings**

Dear Secretary Chiavetta,

In response to the Motion of Met-Ed company for judgement on the pleadings received in the mail from Margaret A Morris, Esquire on January 10, 2022, I am submitting my acknowledgement of receiving it and our intention to pursue this very important matter. Please refer below to my responses to Met-ET as listed below in response to the document that I received in the mail.

**Procedural Background**

- 1 I agree that I filed a formal complaint regarding the overhead transmission lines in August of 2021. I agree that I do not receive power from these lines since I am a customer of PP and L.
- 2 We were not aware that the transmission line is owned by Mid Atlantic since every paper given to us during the time this project was in question had Met-Ed letterhead.
- 3 We did not file a reply to the new matter because we did not know how to.
- 4 We would like to add Mid Atlantic if they are the owners of the power line to the initial complaint in addition to Med Ed.
- 5 Agree with the call in hearing date we received this information.

**Legal Standard**

- 6 As stated
- 7 As stated
- 8 As stated
- 9 As stated

10 As stated

11 As stated

12 As stated

13 Agree Met Ed appears to not be the owner of the power lines per documents provided from Ms Morris. But we received a letter from Med Ed and met with a member from Med Ed during the very beginning phase that this construction was going to occur. And they appear to be the driving force behind this project. I believe that Med Ed still has a lot of decision making with this project and is a possible stake holder relating to this project since all paperwork during this entire project had Med Ed on the paperwork.

14 Complaints were filed against Met Ed since all paperwork and spokesperson we talked to was from Med Ed months ago. I am not sure if we truly filed against the wrong party.

### **Conclusion**

From documentation submitted to us there is no dispute that the owner of the transmission line is MAIT. However, in my opinion it is not clear if Met Ed is part of the decision process with the implementation and changes occurring to the power lines in question since they are the primary party that has been responding to our development in written mail and came by and made contact during the early phases of this project. We plan on attending the prehearing call set to occur on February 2, 2022. We request this case not be dismissed and we are prepared to present our case when the time arises.

Sincerely,



Michael and Karen Fedash

Cc

The Hon. Mark A Hoyer, PA Public Utility Commission

The Hon. John Coogan, PA Public Utility Commission

Tori Giesler, Esquire, First Energy Service Company

Margaret A Morris, Esquire