

May 20, 2022

Via Electronic Filing

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esquire
Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: Docket No. C-2021-3028464
Tracy and Jill Troutman v. Metropolitan Edison Company, et al.
Response to Consolidation Request

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Attached for filing is the Answer of Metropolitan Edison Company and Mid-Atlantic Interstate Transmission, LLC (collectively Respondents) to the Consolidation Request of Tracy and Jill Troutman (Complainants) in the above-referenced proceeding.

A copy of the enclosed Response to Consolidation Request has been forwarded to the Complainants in the manner indicated on the attached Certificate of Service.

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP



Margaret A. Morris

MAM/co
Enclosures

cc: The Hon. Mark A. Hoyer, PA Public Utility Commission [w/encls.]
The Hon. John Coogan, PA Public Utility Commission [w/encls.]
Tori Giesler, Esquire, FirstEnergy Service Company [w/encls.]
Tracy and Jill Troutman [w/encls.]

**Re: Docket No. C-2021-3028464
Tracy and Jill Troutman v. Metropolitan Edison Company, et al.
Response to Consolidation Request**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served upon the following person(s), in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

Via Electronic Mail

Tracy and Jill Troutman
160 Hawthorne Drive
Hershey, PA 17033
Troutmantracy@outlook.com

Dated: May 20, 2022


Margaret A. Morris, Esquire

May 20, 2022

Via Electronic Filing

The Hon. Mark A. Hoyer
The Hon. John Coogan

**Re: Docket No. C-2021-3028464
Troutman v. MAIT and Met-Ed
Response to Consolidation Request**

Your Honors:

Please accept this letter¹ on behalf of Mid-Atlantic Interstate Transmission, LLC and Metropolitan Edison Company (collectively Respondents) in response to the letter request of Tracy and Jill Troutman (Complainants) seeking to consolidate the above-referenced proceeding with other complaints filed against the Respondents.

The Respondents do not object to the consolidation of the above-referenced proceeding with the following dockets where consolidation is requested:

- C-2021-3028186; Debora N. Connell v. Met-Ed
- C-2021-3028211; Michael and Margaret Marino v. Met-Ed and MAIT
- C-2021-3028278; Rebecca Walizer v. Met-Ed and MAIT
- C-2021-3028286; Michael R. Florio v. Met-Ed and MAIT
- C-2021-3028346; Stanley and Eileen Krasinski v. Met-Ed and MAIT
- C-2021-3028414; Andrew S. Freiberg v. Met-Ed and MAIT
- C-2021-3028416; Neal Walmer v. Met-Ed and MAIT
- C-2021-3028418; Michael and Karen Fedash v. Met-Ed and MAIT

Consistent with 52 Pa. Code § 5.222, the Respondents respectfully request that a Prehearing Conference be scheduled for the consolidated proceeding so that a litigation schedule, including the filing of written testimony, may be considered.

A copy of this response has been forwarded to the Complainants in the manner indicated on the attached Certificate of Service.

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

¹ While the Complainants' letter states that it is a motion, it does not conform with Commission regulations at 52 Pa. Code §5.103. The filing does not contain the mandatory notice to plead or certificate of service.

Troutman v. MAIT and Met-Ed
Re: Response to Consolidation Request
May 20, 2022
Page 2

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP



Margaret A. Morris

MAM/mam
Enclosures

cc: Tori Giesler, Esquire, FirstEnergy Service Company
Tracy and Jill Troutman