Morgan Lewis

Kenneth M. Kulak Partner +1.215.963.5384 ken.kulak@morganlewis.com

June 30, 2022

VIA eFILING

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania-American Water Company Docket Nos. R-2022-3031672 and R-2022-3031673

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matters is a **Stipulation** entered into by Pennsylvania-American Water Company ("PAWC") and the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement regarding the PAWC's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Direct Testimony filed on June 24, 2022 (the "Stipulation"). Copies of the Stipulation have been served in accordance with the enclosed Certificate of Service.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

A M. Juli

Kenneth M. Kulak

KMK/tp Enclosures

c: Per Certificate of Service (w/encls.)

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 United States

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY	:	DOCKET NOS.	R-2022-3031672
COMMISSION	:		R-2022-3031673
	:		
V.	:		
	:		
PENNSYLVANIA-AMERICAN WATER	:		
COMPANY	:		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that I have this day served a copy of the enclosed Stipulation

on the persons below in the manner specified in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code

§ 1.54:

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

The Honorable Joel H. Cheskis Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission 400 North Street, Second Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120 jcheskis@pa.gov

Erin K. Fure Steven C. Gray Office of Small Business Advocate 555 Walnut Street Forum Place – First Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 <u>efure@pa.gov</u> <u>sgray@pa.gov</u> Gina L. Miller Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street Harrisburg, PA 17120 ginmiller@pa.gov Laura Antinucci Erin Gannon Mackenzie C. Battle Lauren E. Guerra Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate 5th Floor, Forum Place 555 Walnut Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 ocapawc2022@paoca.org

Joseph L. Vullo Burke Vullo Reilly Roberts 1460 Wyoming Avenue Forty Fort, PA 18704 <u>jlvullo@bvrrlaw.com</u> *Counsel for CEO*

Amee L. Mazzarese Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C. Attorneys at Law Two PPG Place, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15222-5402 amazzarese@dmclaw.com Counsel for Cleveland-Cliffs Steel

Joan E. London Kozloff Stoudt Professional Corporation 2640 Westview Drive Wyomissing, PA 19610 jlondon@kozloffstoudt.com Counsel for Borough of St. Lawrence, Berks County, PA

Karen O. Moury Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 213 Market Street – 8th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 <u>kmoury@eckertseamans.com</u> *Counsel for Victory Brewing Company* Ria M. Pereira Lauren N. Berman John W. Sweet Elizabeth R. Marx Pennsylvania Utility Law Project 118 Locust Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 pulp@pautilitylawproject.org Counsel for CAUSE-PA

Kurt J. Boehm Jody Kyler Cohn BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 <u>kboehm@bkllawfirm.com</u> <u>jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com</u> *Counsel for Cleveland-Cliffs Steel*

Tucker R. Hull J. Chadwick Schnee Law Office of Tucker R. Hull, LLC 108 West Main Street P.O. Box 330 Annville, PA 17003 tucker@tucker-hull-law.com chadwick@tucker-hull-law.com Counsel for Exeter Township

Adeolu A. Bakare McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 100 Pine Street P.O. Box 1166 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166 <u>abakare@mcneeslaw.com</u> *Counsel for PAWLUG*

Lauren M. Burge Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 600 Grant Street – 44th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 <u>lburge@eckertseamans.com</u> *Counsel for Victory Brewing Company* Zachary D. O'Brien 231 Walnut Road McDonald, PA 15057 obrien1280@gmail.com

Mark Henderson 5425 East Lorane Road Reading, PA 19606 markwh24@ptd.net

Keith Sauer 4824 Farming Ridge Boulevard Reading, PA 19606 <u>keith.j.sauer@gmail.com</u>

Carl E. Meyer 100 Grayhawk Way South Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 carl.meyer@yahoo.com

James Pagel 57 Narragansett Lane Coatesville, PA 19320 J.Pagel47@gmail.com

Jerome and Sheryl Rodzinak 181 Matson Avenue Wilkes-Barre, PA 18705 <u>zibadaun@frontiernet.net</u>

Ramkrishna Sen 19 Evans Road Norristown, PA 19403 <u>Rksen1@gmail.com</u>

Bridget L. Salkowski 4382 Hillside Road Reading, PA 19606 bridgetlea115@yahoo.com Maria Elena Selznick 120 Lost Lantern Lane East Stroudsburg, PA 18301 <u>mselznick@yahoo.com</u>

Jeff Henry 4621 Hillside Road Reading, PA 19606 jeffhenry1@me.com

Simeon Dobrev and Poli Iordanova 4210 Blue Mountain Crossing East Stroudsburg, PA 18301 sd2734@yahoo.com

Ivan Figueroa 432 Drycove Street Pittsburgh, PA 15210 ivanfigueroa60@gmail.com

William H. Rissmiller 1006 Hickory Lane Reading, PA 19606 <u>Whr19606@ptd.net</u>

Stephen T. Nardi P.O. Box 224 146 Church Street Claysville, PA 15323 nardiseast@gmail.com

Earl Knight 835 West Chestnut Street Coatesville, PA 19320 <u>eknight475@aol.com</u>

Sabatini Monatesti 919 Belair Drive Berwick, PA 18603-2438 <u>smonatesti@verizon.net</u> Barbara Cetnarowski 138 Butternut Street Clairton, PA 15025 jmcbac@comcast.net

Trish E. Luberda 31 Whitetail Lane Reading Station, PA 19606-9490 <u>trish.luberda@gmail.com</u>

Amanda Barto-Ealy 102 Lawrence Avenue Butler, PA 16001 mandaln79@gmail.com

LeRoy James Watters, III #1 East Indian Lane Norristown, PA 19403-3507 ljameswatters3@gmail.com

Kathleen E. Jeffries 944 Mill Court Bridgeville, PA 15017-1115 kejeffries@hotmail.com

John Palermo 2828 Dwight Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15216 palermojl@yahoo.com

Jorge Salazar 2328 Snapdragon Point East Stroudsburg, PA 18301 jorge.m.salazar@gmail.com

Hao Larry Tang 22 Shelduck Lane Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 haotang@live.com Tacy Rutherford 60-2 Mint Tier Reading, PA 19606 scotacy@icloud.com

Nathan Woodford 6 Okmed Drive Birdsboro, PA 19606-1166 nathwoodford@gmail.com

Sean Seidel 1340 Butter Lane Reading, PA 19606 <u>sseidel@comcast.net</u>

Latrese Laporte 2309 Snapdragon Street East Stroudsburg, PA 18301 latrese.laporte@yahoo.com

Michael L. Pope 8607 Spruce Mill Drive Yardley, PA 19067 mloringpope@gmail.com

Samantha Maize 16 Freedom Drive South Bridgeville, PA 15017 samantha.maize@yahoo.com

Frank and Denise Piccola 284 Eastshore Drive East Stroudsburg, PA 18301 <u>frankpiccola@live.com</u>

Darlene Nychey 5070 Brownsville Road Pittsburgh, PA 15236 darlene.starfish@gmail.com Lisa Inmon 4625 Painted Sky Road Reading, PA 19608 <u>lisainmon@icloud.com</u>

Walter J. Yourkavitch 828 Beaver Lane Reading, PA 19606-1106 byourkavitch828@comcast.net

Diane Michalowski 94 Wessex Court Reading, PA 19606 dianemichalowski@aol.com

Samantha Wesner 64 Glen Oley Drive Reading, PA 19606 <u>samanthawesner@gmail.com</u>

Xin Xu 2121 Red Fox Drive Hummelstown, PA 17036 <u>xuletian71@gmail.com</u>

Brian Kalcic Excel Consulting 7330 Dorset Avenue St. Louis, MO 63130 <u>excel.consulting@sbcglobal.net</u> *Consultant for OSBA*

Barbara Alexander Barbara Alexander Consulting <u>ocapawc2022@paoca.org</u> *Consultant for OCA* Jessica Weiss 729 Orchard Street Carnegie, PA 15106 weissjessica22@yahoo.com

Judith A. Garofolo 2208 Ridge Road Finleyville, PA 15332-1506 jagarofolo@comcast.net

Ellen B. Katz 130 Cedar Court East Norriton, PA 19401 <u>ekatz7114@gmail.com</u>

Carla Seidel 110 Ashley Avenue Reading, PA 19606 carla.seidel@gmail.com

West Norriton Township 1630 West Marshall Street Jeffersonville, PA 19403 <u>jbobst@wntwp.com</u> john@skilkennylaw.com

Aaron Rothschild Edgar Bandera Rothschild Financial Consulting <u>ocapawc2022@paoca.org</u> *Consultant for OCA*

Jerome Mierzwa Exeter Associates <u>ocapawc2022@paoca.org</u> *Consultant for OCA* Ralph Smith Dawn Bisdorf Megan Cranston Mark Dady Larkin & Associates <u>ocapawc2022@paoca.org</u> *Consultants for OCA*

Terry Fought ocapawc2022@paoca.org Consultant for OCA

DC Patel dupatel@pa.gov Consultant for I&E

Christine Wilson <u>cswilson@pa.gov</u> Consultant for I&E

Lisa Gumby <u>lgumby@pa.gov</u> Consultant for I&E Roger Colton Fisher, Sheehan & Colton <u>ocapawc2022@paoca.org</u> *Consultant for OCA*

Ethan Cline etcline@pa.gov Consultant for I&E

Anthony Spadaccio aspadaccio@pa.gov Consultant for I&E

Joe Kubas jkubas@pa.gov Consultant for I&E

Richard A. Baudino J. Kennedy & Associates 1347 Frye Road Westfield, NC 27053 <u>rbaudino@jkenn.com</u> *Consultant for Cleveland-Cliffs Steel*

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

Lisa Tunzi 204 Susquehanna Avenue West Pittston, PA 18643

Paul J. Walaski 65 Depot Street Forest City, PA 18421 Meade Buffington 950 Orchard Avenue – Lot 39 Camp Hill, PA 17011

Jeanth M. Julik

Kenneth M. Kulak (Pa. No. 75509) Catherine G. Vasudevan (Pa. No. 210254) Brooke E. McGlinn (Pa. No. 204918) Mark A. Lazaroff (Pa. No. 315407) Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 215.963.5384 (bus) 215.963.5001 (fax) ken.kulak@morganlewis.com catherine.vasudevan@morganlewis.com brooke.mcglinn@morganlewis.com mark.lazaroff@morganlewis.com

Counsel for Pennsylvania American Water Company

Dated: June 30, 2022

DB1/ 131253266.1

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY	:
COMMISSION	:
v.	: DOCKET NOS. R-2022-3031672
	: R-2022-3031673
PENNSYLVANIA-AMERICAN	:
WATER COMPANY	:

STIPULATION

This Stipulation is entered into by and between Pennsylvania-American Water Company ("PAWC" or the "Company") and the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (the "Commission") Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement ("I&E")¹ to resolve issues raised in the Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Direct Testimony filed by PAWC in this matter.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This case was initiated on April 29, 2022, when PAWC filed Supplement No. 35 to Tariff Water – PA P.U.C. No. 5 ("Tariff No. 5") and Supplement No. 34 to Tariff Wastewater PA P.U.C. No. 16 ("Tariff No. 16") with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("Commission"). By Orders issued June 16, 2022, the Commission instituted a formal investigation to determine the lawfulness, justness and reasonableness of PAWC's existing and proposed rates, rules and regulations. Accordingly, Tariff Nos. 5 and 16 were suspended by operation of law until January 28, 2023.²

2. The pension and other post-employment benefit ("OPEB") expense claims presented in PAWC's initial water and wastewater rate filing were based on calculations

¹ The Office of Consumer Advocate and Office of Small Business Advocate have authorized the Company to represent that they do not oppose this Stipulation or the Motion with testimony as revised by Exhibit 1.

² Pa. P.U.C. v. Pennsylvania-American Water Company, Docket Nos. R-2022-3031672 and R-2022-3031673 (Orders entered June 16, 2022).

prepared by WTW (f/k/a Willis Towers Watson), a national actuarial firm. PAWC's position is that due to rapidly changing market conditions, updated actuarial calculations show a substantial change in these expense categories as compared to the initial filing.

3. On June 24, 2022, after the Commission's close of business, PAWC filed the Motion to file the limited scope Supplemental Direct Testimony of Ashley E. Everette and John R. Popiolek, which was attached to the Motion as Appendix A and B, respectively, to address updated projections of changes in the forecast of PAWC's allocated portion of pension and postretirement welfare plan costs due to rapid declines in global investment returns during 2022. Ms. Everette's Supplemental Direct Testimony included a request for deferral accounting of fluctuations in PAWC's pension and OPEB expense that PAWC avers are caused by extreme volatility in market conditions.

4. At the Prehearing Conference held before Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge Joel H. Cheskis (the "ALJ") on June 27, 2022, I&E stated its intent to file a written response objecting to PAWC's Motion. I&E also indicated that PAWC has an obligation to supplement discovery responses for any updated claim pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.332, and PAWC's counsel agreed that PAWC had such obligation. The ALJ established a deadline of July 1, 2022 for parties to file Answers to PAWC's Motion, and he requested that I&E's counsel include a reference to PAWC's obligation pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.332 when I&E submitted its response.

5. Thereafter, the Company engaged in various discussions with I&E. As a result of those discussions, I&E and PAWC have reached the agreement reflected in this Stipulation that resolves all issues with respect to PAWC's Motion as described in Section II below.

II. TERMS OF THE STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

6. The Company and I&E stipulate and agree as follows:

A. PAWC agrees to withdraw the Company's request presented in Ms. Everette's Supplemental Direct Testimony (PAWC Statement No. 1 Supplemental) for Commission approval to record any differences in actual pension and OPEB costs from the amounts authorized in rates as a regulatory asset or liability, as appropriate, from the effective date of new rates in this proceeding until PAWC's next base rate case. Accordingly, upon the execution of this Stipulation, the Company will promptly file a revised exhibit to the Motion with updated testimony by Ms. Everette that does not include the Company's request to record differences in pension and OPEB costs as a regulatory asset or liability. A blackline version of Ms. Everette's testimony as revised is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Stipulation.

B. PAWC acknowledges its regulatory obligation, pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.332, Supplementing Responses, that it is under a continuing duty to amend prior responses that are now incorrect or incomplete as a result of its Supplemental Direct Testimony that is not withdrawn by this Stipulation.

C. In light of the Company's agreement to withdraw the request as described in the preceding paragraph 6.A, I&E agrees not to oppose the Motion with testimony as revised by Exhibit 1.

D. The Stipulating Parties agree that PAWC reserves the right to file a Petition, in a separate proceeding, for Commission authorization to defer for accounting purposes any alleged fluctuations in the Company's pension and OPEB expenses that it avers are caused by extreme volatility in market conditions. PAWC will retain the burden of proof for all future

claims and this Stipulation cannot be construed as operating as any party's assent that PAWC's

claims regarding expenses and market conditions are accurate or preapproved.

Stipulated and agreed this 30th day of June 2022.

PENNSYLVANIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Susan Simma Marsh

By: Susan S. Marsh Deputy General Counsel for PAWC BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT

By: Gina L. Miller Counsel for I&E

EXHIBIT 1

Revised Supplemental Direct Testimony of Ashley E. Everette

PAWC STATEMENT NO. 1 SUPPLEMENTAL

TESTIMONY OF ASHLEY E. EVERETTE

WITH REGARD TO

UPDATES TO PENSION AND OTHER POST-RETIREMENT BENEFITS EXPENSE AND REQUEST FOR ACCOUNTING DEFERRAL

> DOCKET NOS. R-2022-3031672 (WATER) R-2022-3031673 (WASTEWATER)

DATE: JUNE 24, 2022

1	Q.	What is your name and business address?
2	A.	My name is Ashley E. Everette. My business address is 852 Wesley Drive,
3		Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania 17055. I am employed by American Water Works Service
4		Company ("Service Company") as Senior Director of Rates and Regulatory for
5		Pennsylvania-American Water Company ("PAWC" or the "Company").
6	Q.	Have you submitted any other testimony in this proceeding?
7	А.	Yes, I submitted PAWC Statement No. 1. I also sponsored portions of PAWC Exhibit 3-
8		А.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony in this proceeding?
10	А.	The purpose of my supplemental direct testimony is to address PAWC's updated claim
11		for pension and OPEB expense in the Fully Projected Future Test Year ("FPFTY"). As
12		Company witness John Popiolek explains in Statement No. 15 (Supplemental), due to a
13		significant decline in global investment returns, PAWC's pension and OPEB expense is
14		projected to increase significantly beyond the level of expense originally forecasted for
15		2023.
16	Q.	What is the revised level of pension expense that the Company is claiming for 2023?
17	А.	The chart below summarizes the Company's revised pension and OPEB expense claims
18		which are based on actual and projected calculations by Willis Towers Watson. The
19		amounts are shown separately by PAWC's direct employee costs and PAWC's expense
20		associated with the Service Company. Please refer to Schedules AEE-3 and AEE-4 for
21		detailed support of the calculations.

	PAWC (As Filed)	PAWC (Revised)	Change
2023 Pension	(\$6,290,682)	\$774,425	\$7,065,107
2023 OPEB	(\$6,648,420)	(\$5,637,157)	\$1,011,263

	AWWSC (As Filed)	AWWSC (Revised)	Change
2023 Pension	(\$417,125)	\$803,223	\$1,220,348
2023 OPEB	(\$388,790)	(\$329,005)	\$59,785

4	Q.	Please summarize the Company's request with regard to the pension and OPEB
5		expense.
6	A.	The Company requests the Commission authorize the revised level of expense identified
7		in the chart above for recovery in this proceeding. Furthermore, given the significant
8		fluctuation in this expense, the Company requests that the Commission allow the
9		Company to record any amounts above or below the amount authorized in rates to a
10		regulatory asset or liability, as appropriate, from the effective date of new rates in this
11		proceeding until the Company's next base rate case.
12	Q.	Please describe the Company's request for accounting deferral with respect to this
13		expense.
14	<u>A.</u>	The Company requests that through the conclusion of the Company's next rate proceeding,
15		the Company be permitted to record any amounts above or below the projected level of
16		expense into a regulatory asset or liability. At the time of the next rate proceeding, if the
17		volatility is continuing, the Company will address any request to continue regulatory asset
18		or liability treatment. Q. Please discuss the circumstances under which an
19		accounting deferral is appropriate.

1	<u>A.</u>	Accounting deferral is appropriate when the event is extraordinary, nonrecurring,
2		unanticipated, outside the control of the Company, and will have a significant financial
3		impact on the Company. The standard which a utility must meet when seeking Commission
4		authorization for deferral accounting is whether, based on Commission precedent, the
5		financial impact appears to be within the scope of the type of items that the Commission
6		has allowed as an exception to the general rule against retroactive recovery of past expenses
7		in order to provide the utility an opportunity to claim the expenses for recovery in a future
8		proceeding.
9	Q.	Why is it appropriate that the Company be permitted to record the amount of
10		pension and OPEB expense above or below the amount authorized in rates to a
11		regulatory asset or liability?
12	<u>A.</u>	The pension and OPEB expense increase is extraordinary, as discussed in more detail by
13		Mr. Popiolek. The level of fluctuation in this expense from year to year can change
14		
		drastically based on market fluctuations and the factors that Mr. Popiolek discusses in his
15		drastically based on market fluctuations and the factors that Mr. Popiolek discusses in his testimony. Finally, the \$9 million ⁴ fluctuation in these expenses is a significant impact for
15 16		
		testimony. Finally, the \$9 million ⁴ fluctuation in these expenses is a significant impact for
16		testimony. Finally, the \$9 million ⁴ fluctuation in these expenses is a significant impact for the Company, representing more than 3% of total O&M expenses in the historic test year.
16 17		testimony. Finally, the \$9 million ⁴ fluctuation in these expenses is a significant impact for the Company, representing more than 3% of total O&M expenses in the historic test year. In addition, counsel has advised me that the significant fluctuation in the pension and
16 17 18		testimony. Finally, the \$9 million ⁴ fluctuation in these expenses is a significant impact for the Company, representing more than 3% of total O&M expenses in the historic test year. In addition, counsel has advised me that the significant fluctuation in the pension and OPEB expense is within the scope of the type of items that the Commission has allowed
16 17 18 19		testimony. Finally, the \$9 million ⁴ fluctuation in these expenses is a significant impact for the Company, representing more than 3% of total O&M expenses in the historic test year. In addition, counsel has advised me that the significant fluctuation in the pension and OPEB expense is within the scope of the type of items that the Commission has allowed as an exception to the general rule against retroactive recovery.

¹ \$7.1 million PAWC pension + \$1.0 million PAWC OPEB + \$1.2 million Service Company pension + \$0.06 million Service Company OPEB.

1		market will continue to fluctuate in this manner. The extreme volatility of these expenses
2		is not within the Company's control. The purpose of the Company's request for deferral
3		is to both protect the Company's customers if the expense were to significantly decrease
4		in the future, as well as to allow the Company the opportunity to claim in a future
5		proceeding the increased levels of cost, without assertions that such costs are out of test-
6		year items.
7	Q.	Will this increased level of expense change the amount of additional revenue
8		requirement that the Company is requesting the Commission approve in this
9		proceeding?
10	A.	With this additional expense, the Company can support a higher level of revenue
11		requirement than was originally requested in this proceeding. However, the Company is
12		not requesting approval for more than the \$173.2 million initially requested in this case
13		and noticed to customers.
14	Q.	Does that conclude your supplemental direct testimony at this time?
15	Δ	Ves it does

15 A. Yes, it does.