

**Lawrence Kingsley**  
**2161 W. Ridge Dr.**  
**Lancaster, PA 17603**  
**646-453-2226**  
**mail@research-1.com**

July 8, 2022

The Hon. Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
400 North Street, Keystone Building Second Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Your Honor:

I am replying to the July 8, 2022 letter from PPL's counsel in PUC Docket No. C-2020-3019763 (*Lawrence Kingsley v. PPL Electric Utilities*).

PPL has a right to oppose my Motion for Leave to Amend Exceptions and the Amended Exceptions. However, in a preemptory strike, already starting an Opposition, PPL's letter addressed to Your Honor should not mischaracterize my Exceptions as "late."

My Motion leaves no doubt that I seek leave to amend Exceptions that were timely filed on July 5 (within 20 days of the decision in question).

Since Commissioners will need to render a decision on these Exceptions, there is no reason why Commissioners should not review my Motion at the same time as part of a normal appeal. PPL, in contrast, would like to short-circuit my Motion without due process.

The Exceptions already raise the question of whether I have had a fair hearing. That question should be answered by Commissioners without new, underhand pleading by PPL which seeks to create a new appellate issue.

As the Motion points out, the verbal improvements and enhanced clarity in the Amended Exceptions will aid decision-making and thus are in the interest of justice. PPL will not be harmed since the underlying facts in this case remained unchanged.

Respectfully submitted,

/S/

---

Lawrence Kingsley, *Pro Se*

cc: The Honorable Dennis J. Buckley via email  
Benjamin Lewis, Esq., counsel for PPL, via email