

**From:** DAVID VUKMANIC <[vukmanic.david@yahoo.com](mailto:vukmanic.david@yahoo.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 9, 2022 9:42 AM  
**To:** Guhl, Marta <[mguhl@pa.gov](mailto:mguhl@pa.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External] east dunkard water authority docket c-2021-3027615

**ATTENTION:** *This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing](#) button in Outlook.*

to the honorable marta guhl it has come to my attention that in the settlement agreement written by the edwa contains a provision to remove them from the PUC jurisdiction, that would be a grave mistake, in my opinion. the customers have some protections under the puc, and would be at the mercy of the edwa board without those protections, as customers we do not get to vote on board members, as they are appointed by the township supervisors, so removing the bad ones is almost impossible. and then there is the 49 page document of violations written by the DEP. it was issued on august 25, 2022( PWSID no. 5300012) it contains very serious violations. another reason to keep the edwa under the puc. and then, forgiving the fine AND removing the puc jurisdiction is like rewarding someone for wrongdoing. thats the message. after all. even though they did get letters from the outside communities, it was after the fact, they violated the law, specifically chapter 11 certificates of public convenience & 1102. by going outside with out such certificate they came under subchapter A general provisions & 1501 which clearly makes them a public utility. now i attended a board meeting where officials said they the customers shouldnt contact the DEP or the PUC. now why would they make that comment? again, please drop the fine, but keep the PUC jurisdiction, for the sake of the customers.