

---

Megan E. Rulli

mrulli@postschell.com  
717-612-6012 Direct  
717-731-1985 Direct Fax  
File #: 201208

June 20, 2023

***VIA ELECTRONIC FILING***


Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street, 2nd Floor North  
P.O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

**Re: Alfredo Caraballo v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation**  
**Docket No. C-2023-3040988**

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Attached please find for filing the Preliminary Objection of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation in response to the Complaint in the above-referenced proceeding. Copies are being provided per the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully submitted,



Megan E. Rulli

MER/kl  
Attachments

cc: Certificate of Service


**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

**VIA EMAIL AND FIRST-CLASS MAIL**

Alfredo Caraballo  
423 West Cherry Street  
Shenandoah, PA 17976  
[beithakol@gmail.com](mailto:beithakol@gmail.com)

Date: June 20, 2023

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Megan E. Rulli

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Alfredo Caraballo,	:	
	:	
Complainant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. C-2023-3040988
	:	
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	


---

**NOTICE TO PLEAD**

---

YOU ARE HEREBY ADVISED THAT, PURSUANT TO 52 PA. CODE § 5.101, YOU MAY FILE AN ANSWER TO THE ENCLOSED PRELIMINARY OBJECTION WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF THE DATE OF SERVICE HEREOF. YOUR ANSWER TO THE PRELIMINARY OBJECTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION, P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265. A COPY SHOULD ALSO BE SERVED ON THE UNDERSIGNED COUNSEL.

Kimberly A. Klock (ID # 89716)  
Michael J. Shafer (ID # 205681)  
PPL Services Corporation  
Two North Ninth Street  
Allentown, PA 18101  
Phone: 610-774-2599  
Fax: 610-774-4102  
E-mail: [kklock@pplweb.com](mailto:kklock@pplweb.com)  
[mjshafer@pplweb.com](mailto:mjshafer@pplweb.com)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Devin T. Ryan (ID # 316602)  
Megan Rulli (ID # 331981)  
Post & Schell, P.C.  
17 North Second Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601  
Phone: 717-731-1970  
Fax: 717-731-1985  
E-mail: [dryan@postschell.com](mailto:dryan@postschell.com)  
[mrulli@postschell.com](mailto:mrulli@postschell.com)

Date: June 20, 2023

Attorneys for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Alfredo Caraballo,	:	
	:	
Complainant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. C-2023-3040988
	:	
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

---

**PRELIMINARY OBJECTION OF  
PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES CORPORATION TO  
THE COMPLAINT OF ALFREDO CARABALLO**

---

TO THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION:

AND NOW, comes PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (“PPL Electric” or the “Company”) and hereby files this Preliminary Objection, pursuant to the regulations of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) at 52 Pa. Code § 5.101, and respectfully requests that the portion of the Formal Complaint of Alfredo Caraballo (“Complainant”) requesting damages be dismissed because the Commission has no power to award damages.

In support thereof, PPL Electric states as follows:

**I. BACKGROUND**

1. PPL Electric furnishes electric distribution, transmission, and default supply services to approximately 1.4 million customers throughout its certificated service territory, which includes all or portions of twenty-nine counties and encompasses approximately 10,000 square miles in eastern and central Pennsylvania. PPL Electric is a “public utility,” an “electric

distribution company,” and a “default service provider” as defined in Sections 102 and 2803 of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. §§ 102, 2803.

2. On May 31, 2023, PPL Electric was served with the above-captioned Complaint. The issues raised in the Complaint pertain to the Company’s emergency shut-off of electric service to the Complainant on May 19, 2023. (Complaint ¶ 6.) As relief, the Complainant requests, among other things, for PPL Electric to “recompense the loss of [his] Groceries in the tune of \$100 to \$150 Dollars.” (Complaint ¶ 6.)

3. PPL Electric herein files this Preliminary Objection to the Complaint. For the reasons explained below, PPL Electric respectfully requests that the portion of the Complaint requesting damages be dismissed pursuant to Section 5.101(a)(2) of the Commission’s regulations because a request for damages constitutes impertinent matter. 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2).

## **II. STANDARD OF REVIEW**

4. Pursuant to the Commission’s regulations, preliminary objections in response to a pleading may be filed on several grounds, including:

- (1) Lack of Commission jurisdiction or improper service of the pleading initiating the proceeding.
- (2) Failure of a pleading to conform to this chapter or the inclusion of scandalous or impertinent matter.
- (3) Insufficient specificity of a pleading.
- (4) Legal insufficiency of a pleading.
- (5) Lack of a capacity to sue, nonjoinder of a necessary party or misjoinder of a cause of action.
- (6) Pendency of a prior proceeding or agreement for alternative dispute resolution.
- (7) Standing of a party to participate in the proceeding.

52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2) (emphasis added).

5. In ruling on preliminary objections, the Presiding Officer must accept as true all well-pled allegations of material facts as well as all inferences reasonable deducible therefrom. *Stilp v. Commonwealth*, 910 A.2d 775, 781 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2006) (“*Stilp*”) (citing *Dep’t of Gen. Servs. V. Bd. Of Claims*, 881 A.2d 14 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2005)), *affirmed* 974 A.2d 491 (Pa. 2009). However, the Presiding Officer need not accept as true conclusions of law, unwarranted inferences from facts, argumentative allegations, or expressions of opinion. *Stanton-Negley Drug Co. v. Dep’t of Pub. Welfare*, 927 A.2d 671, 673 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2008), *affirmed*, 963 A.2d 670 (Pa. 2009). Notwithstanding, any doubt must be resolved in favor of the non-moving party. *Stilp* at 781.

6. In addition, the Presiding Officer must determine whether, based on the factual pleadings, if recovery is possible. *See Rok v. Flaherty*, 527 A.2d 211, 214 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1987) (citation omitted). Indeed, for preliminary objections to be sustained, it must appear with certainty that the law will permit no recovery. *See Stilp* at 781; *Milliner v. Enck*, 709 A.2d 417, 418 (Pa. Super. 1998) (quoting *Santiago v. Pa. Nat. Mut. Cas. Ins. Co.*, 613 A.2d 1235, 1238 (Pa. Super. 1992)).

### **III. PRELIMINARY OBJECTION**

#### **A. THE COMPLAINANT’S REQUEST FOR DAMAGES SHOULD BE DISMISSED BECAUSE THE COMMISSION HAS NO POWER TO AWARD DAMAGES**

7. PPL Electric incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 6 as if fully set forth herein.

8. The portion of the Complaint requesting damages should be dismissed because the Commission cannot award damages.

9. In the Complaint, the Complainant requests for PPL Electric to “recompense the loss of [his] Groceries in the tune of \$100 to \$150 Dollars.” (Complaint ¶ 6.)

10. It is well-established that the Commission does not have authority to order a public utility to pay damages, as requested by the Complainant. *See DeFrancesco v. W. Pa. Water Co.*, 453 A.2d 595, 596-97 (Pa. 1982); *Elkin v. Bell of Pa.*, 420 A.2d 371, 375 (Pa. 1980); *Feingold v. Bell of Pa.*, 383 A.2d 791, 794-95 (Pa. 1977).

11. Here, the Complainant’s request for damages is impertinent matter “in the sense that it is irrelevant to [the] cause of action” because the Commission lacks authority to award damages. *See Stoner v. PPL Elec. Utils. Corp.*, Docket No. C-2013-2385588, p. 3 (Nov. 14, 2013 (order sustaining preliminary objections)). Indeed, requests for damages are regularly stricken from complaints as being impertinent matter. *See, e.g., id.* at pp. 3, 5; *Powell v. Verizon Pa., Inc.*, Docket No C-2011-226876, 2011 Pa. PUC LEXIS 652, at \*8-9, 16-17 (Dec. 21, 2011), *adopted by Comm’n*, 2012 PA. PUC LEXIS 374 (Order Entered Mar. 1, 2012); *J.E. Culbertson Co. v. Pa. Elec. Co.*, Docket No. C-2010-2204947, 2011 Pa. PUC LEXIS 781, at \*8-9, 12 (Feb. 4, 2011), *adopted by Comm’n*, Docket No. C-2010-2204947 (Order Entered Apr. 8, 2011).

12. Therefore, consistent with longstanding Commission precedent, the Complainant’s request for damages is impertinent matter and should be stricken from his Complaint pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2).

**IV. CONCLUSION**

WHEREFORE, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation respectfully requests that the Complainant's request for damages be summarily dismissed pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2).

Respectfully submitted,



Kimberly A. Klock (ID # 89716)  
Michael J. Shafer (ID # 205681)  
PPL Services Corporation  
Two North Ninth Street  
Allentown, PA 18101  
Phone: 610-774-2599  
Fax: 610-774-4102  
E-mail: [kklock@pplweb.com](mailto:kklock@pplweb.com)  
[mjshafer@pplweb.com](mailto:mjshafer@pplweb.com)

---

Devin T. Ryan (ID # 316602)  
Megan E. Rulli (ID # 331981)  
Post & Schell, P.C.  
17 North Second Street, 12th Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601  
Phone: 717-731-1970  
Fax: 717-731-1985  
E-mail: [dryan@postschell.com](mailto:dryan@postschell.com)  
[mrulli@postschell.com](mailto:mrulli@postschell.com)

Date: June 20, 2023

Attorneys for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

## VERIFICATION

I, EDWARD BYRON HARAHEL II, being a Regional Director Operations at PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, hereby state that the facts above set forth are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and that I expect PPL Electric Utilities Corporation to be able to prove the same at a hearing held in this matter. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

June 20, 2023



Edward Byron Harahele