Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street, 2 nd Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Docket No. P-2021-3024328 Date: June 20, 2023

Dear Secretary Chiavetta: Enclosed please find attached Certificate of Service for

JEFFREY STRONG'S OFFICIAL PROTEST

Copies of this document have been served in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully Submitted, Your Name

Jeffrey Strong

8 Grove Lane Broomall, PA 19008 June 20, 2023

BEFORE THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Petition of PECO Energy Company for a Finding of Necessity	:	
Pursuant to 53 P.S. § 10619 that the Situation of Two		
Buildings Associated with a Gas Reliability Station in Marple	:	Docket No. P-2021-3024328
Township, Delaware County Is Reasonably Necessary for the		
Convenience and Welfare of the Public	:	

JEFFREY STRONG'S PROTEST (OFFICIAL)

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.222 and in accordance with the Prehearing Conference Order dated June 5, 2023, Jeffrey Strong respectfully submits the following Protest.

On December 7, the Initial Decision was published, and, although the PUC decided in PECO's favor, the Initial Decision included the following statement:

While we find that the <u>concerns</u> raised by the municipalities and the individual intervenors <u>are valid</u>, and <u>we are not unsympathetic</u> to those concerns, issues related to noise, gas emissions, aesthetics, traffic and other health and safety concerns are <u>beyond</u> <u>the Commission's review</u>.

On March 19, a seven member panel of PA Commonwealth Court judges unanimously decided that the PUC had erred in its decision, and remanded the case back to the PUC, with the following instructions:

...that it issue an Amended Decision regarding Intervenor PECO Energy Company's "Petition... . . . For a Finding Pursuant to 53 P.S. § 10619," <u>which must incorporate</u> <u>the results of a constitutionally sound environmental impact review</u>... "

Therefore, following the order of the Commonwealth Court, the main issues in the case at this point are concerned with Who, What, When, Where, Why, and How the Environmental Impact Review will be completed.

- WHO The PUC lacks the expertise to consider the environmental impacts of a Gas Expansion Plant; therefore, an independent third party must be tasked with the review
- WHAT the review must include (but not be limited to) issues of **noise**, **gas emissions**, **aesthetics**, **traffic and other health and safety concerns**.
- WHEN The review should be completed in a timely manner; however, speed is not a priority, and the thoroughness of the review must not be compromised by a desire for a hasty decision.

- WHERE The review must not be limited to PECO's theoretical estimates. The review needs to compare and evaluate real data from similar facilities both within and beyond the PECO service area. Projections about future noise, vibration, gas emissions, aesthetics, traffic and other health and safety concerns need to be based on real equipment operating in the same environment as the Gas Expansion Plant proposed for the Corner of Sproul and Cedar Grove Roads.
- WHY The purpose of this environmental review is to ensure that, IF the Gas Expansion Plant proposed for the Corner of Sproul and Cedar Grove Roads is, in fact, ever built, that it will have negligible deleterious effects on the noise, gas emissions, aesthetics, traffic and other health and safety concerns that have been identified by Marple Township, the residents of Marple Township, the ALJ, the Commonwealth Court, and just about everybody except PECO.

In addition, the protest, both previous and present, is not solely about the necessity of the plant for PECO's customers, but more about the location of the corner of Sproul Rd. and Cedar Grove Lane. PECO claims that it previously reviewed 15 locations before making a final decision. I ask that PECO disclose and reconsider such locations that may better fit the zoning requirements and noise, gas emissions, aesthetics, traffic and other health and safety concerns.

Respectfully Submitted,

Jeffrey Strong 8 Grove Lane Broomall, PA 19008 June 20, 2023

P-2021-3024328 - PETITION OF PECO ENERGY COMPANY FOR A FINDING OF NECESSITY PURSUANT TO 53 P.S. § 10619 THAT THE SITUATION OF TWO BUILDINGS ASSOCIATED WITH A GAS RELIABILITY STATION IN MARPLE TOWNSHIP, DELAWARE COUNTY IS REASONABLY NECESSARY FOR THE CONVENIENCE AND WELFARE OF THE PUBLIC.

FULL-SERVICE LIST:

Revised 4/29/21

CHRISTOPHER A. LEWIS ESQUIRE FRANK L. TAMULONIS ESQUIRE STEPHEN C. ZUMBRUN ESQUIRE BLANK ROME, LLP ONE LOGAN SQUARE 130 NORTH 18TH STREET PHILADELPHIA PA 19103 **215-569-5793** lewis@blankrome.com ftamulonis@blankrome.com szumbrun@blankrome.com Accepts eService

Representing PECO Energy Company

JACK R. GARFINKLE ESQUIRE PECO ENERGY COMPANY 2301 MARKET STREET PO BOX 8699 PHILADELPHIA PA 19101-8699 215.841.6863 jack.garfinkle@exeloncorp Accepts eService

KAITLYN T. SEARLS ESQUIRE J. ADAM MATLAWSKI ESQUIRE MCNICHOL, BYRBE & MATLAWSKI, P.C. 1223 N PROVIDENCE ROAD MEDIA PA 19063 <u>ksearls@mbmlawoffice.com</u> <u>amatlawski@mbmlawoffice.com</u> Accepts eService Representing Marple Township

ROBERT W. SCOTT ESQUIRE CARL EWALD ESQUIRE ROBERT W. SCOTT P.C. 205 NORTH MONROE STREET MEDIA PA 19063 610.891.0108

rscott@robertwscottpc.com

<u>carlewald@gmail.com</u> Accepts eService *Representing County of Delaware*

THEODORE R. UHLMAN 2152 SPROUL RD BROOMALL PA 19008 484.904.5377

uhlmantr@yahoo.com Accepts eService

JULIA M. BAKER 2150 SPROUL RD BROOMALL PA 19008 610.745.8491 jbakeroca@gmail.com

Accepts eService